Schizophrenia and Memory

Schizophrenia is a serious psychiatric disorder characterized by episodes of psychosis, including hallucinations and delusions, together with a range of cognitive changes. Memory is commonly affected, and a meta-analysis of the literature confirms a reliable, moderate-to-large impairment in memory among people with schizophrenia relative to others (Aleman, Hijman, de Haan, & Kahn, 1999). For the law, the important point is not the diagnosis as a label but the specific memory features associated with it, particularly difficulties in encoding and in monitoring the source of a memory, and an unusual pattern of confidence that can attach to errors.

This paper summarizes the memory profile associated with schizophrenia and what it implies for the evaluation of testimony, while emphasizing that the condition does not, by itself, render a person an unreliable witness.

Encoding and Source-Monitoring Difficulties

Two features of memory in schizophrenia are especially relevant. The first is difficulty at encoding: people with schizophrenia often form weaker, less elaborated, and less well-organized memory traces, which leaves them with poorer access to item-specific detail (Brébion, Amador, Smith, & Gorman, 1997). As a result they may rely more heavily on the general sense, or gist, of an experience than on its specific particulars when judging whether something happened. The second is impaired source monitoring, the process by which a person distinguishes where a memory came from, what was seen versus heard, what was experienced versus imagined or suggested. Source-monitoring errors are more frequent in schizophrenia than in the general population, and they are theoretically connected to core symptoms such as the misattribution of one's own inner speech to an external voice.

A Counterintuitive Pattern in False Memory

One might expect these difficulties to translate into dramatically elevated rates of false memory, but the picture is more nuanced. On standard laboratory recognition tests, people with schizophrenia do not consistently produce more false memories than others. What stands out instead is a striking miscalibration of confidence: they tend to be overconfident in their errors and underconfident in their correct answers (Moritz, Woodward, & Ruff, 2003; Moritz, Woodward, Whitman, & Cuttler, 2005). This metacognitive disturbance, high certainty attached to mistaken memories, is theoretically linked to delusional thinking, and patients who are actively delusional can show elevated false memories as well (Moritz & Woodward, 2006). For testimony, mistaken certainty is a more accurate summary of the risk than a simple claim that such witnesses remember more falsely.

Variability, State, and Stigma

Schizophrenia is heterogeneous, and its cognitive effects vary across individuals and over time. Symptoms fluctuate; a person may be acutely psychotic at one period and stable at another, and memory and source-monitoring performance can vary with clinical state and medication. None of this supports the stigmatizing assumption that a person with schizophrenia cannot be a competent or accurate witness. Many can and do give reliable evidence. The research identifies tendencies at the level of groups; it does not license a categorical judgment about any particular witness, whose reliability must be assessed individually and in relation to their condition at the relevant time.

Special Issues in Legal Practice

The principles described above apply with equal force to every party in a legal proceeding. Memory operates the same way regardless of who is asking the questions, and the science summarized here favors no side. What differs between the parties is not the science but the vantage point — the particular places where memory issues tend to surface, and the particular errors each

party is best positioned to guard against. The two summaries below identify those recurring issues. They are offered for orientation, not as litigation strategy; in every case the controlling question is what the evidence in that case will, and will not, support, and the expert's role is to inform the trier of fact rather than to advocate for either side.

Special Issues for Prosecutors

For the State, the memory features associated with schizophrenia bear on witnesses and, in some cases, defendants whose accounts may be affected by impaired source monitoring or by confident errors. The prosecution is well positioned to recognize that a diagnosis does not disqualify a witness, that many people with schizophrenia testify reliably, and that the relevant question is the person's functioning and clinical state at the time of the events and the testimony (Aleman et al., 1999). The error to guard against is relying on confidently asserted detail without independent support, since the characteristic pattern is one of high confidence in errors rather than uniformly poor memory (Moritz et al., 2003). The safeguard is to corroborate key details, to attend to whether the account may reflect a source-monitoring confusion, and to avoid both undue dismissal and undue reliance.

Special Issues for Defense

For the defense, the research is relevant where a witness's account may be affected by difficulties in encoding or in distinguishing what was perceived from what was imagined or suggested, and where confident testimony may not track accuracy (Brébion et al., 1997; Moritz et al., 2005). The defense is well positioned to explore source-monitoring difficulties and the miscalibration of confidence, and to seek corroboration for contested details. The corresponding error to guard against is overclaiming and stigma. Schizophrenia does not make a person incapable of accurate memory or testimony; the false-memory findings are mixed, performance varies with clinical state, and the diagnosis alone establishes nothing about a specific recollection. Responsible cross-examination and expert testimony should address the particular memory processes at issue, not invite the inference that a witness must be unreliable because of their condition.

References

Aleman, A., Hijman, R., de Haan, E. H. F., & Kahn, R. S. (1999). Memory impairment in schizophrenia: A meta-analysis. American Journal of Psychiatry, 156(9), 1358–1366. https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.156.9.1358

Brébion, G., Amador, X., Smith, M. J., & Gorman, J. M. (1997). Mechanisms underlying memory impairment in schizophrenia. Psychological Medicine, 27(2), 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291796004448

Moritz, S., & Woodward, T. S. (2006). Metacognitive control over false memories: A key determinant of delusional thinking. Current Psychiatry Reports, 8(3), 184–190. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-006-0022-2

Moritz, S., Woodward, T. S., & Ruff, C. C. (2003). Source monitoring and memory confidence in schizophrenia. Psychological Medicine, 33(1), 131–139. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291702006852

Moritz, S., Woodward, T. S., Whitman, J. C., & Cuttler, C. (2005). Confidence in errors as a possible basis for delusions in schizophrenia. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 193(1), 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.nmd.0000149213.10692.00

Legal Authorities

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993).

Frye v. United States, 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923).

Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999).

Related topic papers: Memory Inhibition · Reconstruction and Recall · Confidence and Accuracy · False Memory