Rosy Retrospection

People tend to remember the past more fondly than they experienced it. The disappointing vacation becomes, a year later, a wonderful trip; the stressful first job is recalled as a golden era; the difficult relationship is remembered, after it ends, as warmer than it felt while it lasted. Psychologists call this tendency rosy retrospection: the finding that people rate past events more positively in hindsight than they rated those same events while they were happening. The phenomenon is old enough to have a Latin name, memoria praeteritorum bonorum, the memory of good times past. For a court, the importance of rosy retrospection lies in a precise distinction. It is not primarily a claim that people misremember what happened; it is a claim that people's evaluation of what happened drifts in a positive direction over time. A witness can recall the facts of an event reasonably well and still, without any intent to mislead, describe how she felt about it in terms rosier than the contemporaneous record would support.

This paper explains the effect, the research that established it, and the mechanism most often invoked to account for it. Because that mechanism, the faster fading of negative feeling, is the subject of a companion paper, readers interested in the underlying process are encouraged to consult Fading Affect Bias as well.

The Founding Study

The defining demonstration comes from Mitchell, Thompson, Peterson, and Cronk (1997), who tracked people's evaluations of real events at three points in time: before the event (anticipation), during the event itself (real-time experience), and afterward (retrospection). Across three different settings, a twelve-day organized tour of Europe, a Thanksgiving holiday, and a multi-week bicycle trip across California, the same pattern emerged. People anticipated the events positively. While the events were actually unfolding, their moment-to-moment ratings dipped, recording the frictions of real experience, the delays, fatigue, disappointments, and interpersonal annoyances that any extended activity entails. Then, looking back, their evaluations rebounded to a level more positive than their own contemporaneous reports had been. The retrospective view was, quite literally, rosier than the lived one.

Mitchell and Thompson (1994) had earlier framed this within a broader theory of temporal adjustment, which holds that evaluations are systematically more positive at a distance from an event, both before it and after it, than during it. They labeled the forward-looking half of the pattern rosy prospection and the backward-looking half rosy retrospection. The practical upshot is that the period when a person feels least positive about an experience is often the period when they are actually living through it; memory and anticipation both tilt the assessment upward.

Why Memories Turn Rosy: The Fading Affect Bias

The most widely supported explanation for rosy retrospection is the fading affect bias, the finding that the unpleasant emotion attached to a memory tends to fade faster than the pleasant emotion attached to a comparable memory (Walker, Vogl, & Thompson, 1997; Walker & Skowronski, 2009). Because the negative feeling drains away more quickly, what remains as time passes is disproportionately the positive residue, and the overall evaluation of the event shifts upward. Walker and his colleagues argued that this asymmetry is not a defect but a broadly adaptive feature of a healthy memory system, one that helps maintain emotional equilibrium and a generally favorable view of one's own life (Walker, Skowronski, & Thompson, 2003). Consistent with that interpretation, the effect is reduced in people experiencing depression or elevated anxiety, for whom negative affect does not fade as readily.

A related body of work on aging points in the same direction. Older adults show a positivity effect, remembering and attending to positive information relatively more than negative, and in one longitudinal study recalled their earlier health and well-being as having been better than they had reported at the time (Kennedy, Mather, & Carstensen, 2004; Mather & Carstensen, 2005). The convergence of these literatures supports a simple summary: across ordinary memory, and especially as time and age increase, the affective coloring of the past tends to brighten.

What Rosy Retrospection Is and Is Not

It is important to bound the claim carefully, because the effect is easy to overstate. Rosy retrospection is, in the first instance, a shift in evaluation and feeling, not a wholesale rewriting of factual content. The well-documented finding is that people's summary judgments and remembered emotions about an event become more favorable over time, partly through the selective fading of negative affect and partly through the forgetting of minor annoyances that were salient in the moment. That said, evaluation and content are not wholly separable. A person who comes to feel warmly about a past relationship or experience may, in reconstructing it, emphasize confirming details and underweight the discordant ones, so the rosy evaluation can subtly shape which facts are foregrounded. The responsible position is therefore neither to dismiss rosy retrospection as irrelevant to factual accuracy nor to treat it as proof that an account is false. It is a reliable, normal tendency for the emotional tone of remembered experience to improve with time, with secondary and more variable effects on the details a person stresses.

Rosy Retrospection in the Courtroom

Rosy retrospection is most relevant wherever a case asks a witness to report, after the fact, how a past experience or relationship felt, or to evaluate a period of life from a distance. Such testimony is common in family and probate matters, in disputes over the character of a prior relationship, and in any setting where a witness's retrospective appraisal of events is itself in issue. The science offers two cautions that cut in different directions. First, a witness's present, favorable description of a past relationship or experience may be sincerely held and yet systematically more positive than the contemporaneous reality, because the negative feeling has faded; this does not make the witness untruthful, but it bears on the weight of retrospective affective testimony as a record of how things actually were. Second, the effect should not be pressed into service to imply that a witness who recalls a past period unhappily must therefore be mistaken, since the fading of negative affect is a tendency, not a law, and is itself diminished by depression, anxiety, and ongoing distress. As elsewhere in this series, the science is descriptive: it tells the court what to expect about the drift of remembered feeling over time, and leaves the truth of any particular account to be tested by contemporaneous records and the ordinary tools of proof.

Special Issues in Legal Practice

The principles described above apply with equal force to every party in a legal proceeding. Memory operates the same way regardless of who is asking the questions, and the science summarized here favors no side. What differs between the parties is not the science but the vantage point — the particular places where memory issues tend to surface, and the particular errors each party is best positioned to guard against. The two summaries below identify those recurring issues. They are offered for orientation, not as litigation strategy; in every case the controlling question is what the evidence in that case will, and will not, support, and the expert's role is to inform the trier of fact rather than to advocate for either side.

Special Issues for Prosecutors

For the State, rosy retrospection tends to surface when a witness offers a retrospective evaluation of a relationship or course of events, for example, a witness who now characterizes an earlier

association with a defendant in warmer or more benign terms than the contemporaneous facts suggest. The State is well positioned to recognize that such softening can be a normal product of the faster fading of negative affect rather than evidence of fabrication or recantation, and to anchor the inquiry in contemporaneous materials, statements, messages, records, and reports made at the time, that capture how the witness actually experienced events before memory brightened them. The corresponding error to guard against is overreach: invoking rosy retrospection to discount any favorable retrospective account a witness gives, or treating the effect as if it reliably proved that a remembered period was worse than the witness now reports. Because the bias is a statistical tendency that varies with the witness's emotional state, the prosecution should present it as a reason to seek contemporaneous corroboration, not as a general solvent for inconvenient testimony.

Special Issues for Defense

For the defense, rosy retrospection bears on the reliability of any testimony that asks a witness to reconstruct, from a distance, how a past experience or relationship felt. Where a witness describes an earlier period in glowing retrospective terms, the defense is well positioned to remind the trier of fact that the emotional tone of memory tends to improve with time and that minor difficulties salient at the moment are readily forgotten, so a sincerely positive recollection may overstate the contemporaneous reality. The safeguard is to develop the contemporaneous record against which the retrospective account can be measured. The corresponding error to guard against is overclaiming. Rosy retrospection does not establish that any specific positive memory is false, nor does it license the converse argument that unhappy recollections are inflated; the effect is a normal drift in the affective coloring of memory, diminished in those experiencing depression or anxiety, and it should be offered to inform the weight of retrospective evaluation rather than to brand a witness's feelings as fictitious.

References

Kennedy, Q., Mather, M., & Carstensen, L. L. (2004). The role of motivation in the age-related positivity effect in autobiographical memory. Psychological Science, 15(3), 208–214. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.01503011.x

Mather, M., & Carstensen, L. L. (2005). Aging and motivated cognition: The positivity effect in attention and memory. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9(10), 496–502. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2005.08.005

Mitchell, T. R., & Thompson, L. (1994). A theory of temporal adjustments of the evaluation of events: Rosy prospection and rosy retrospection. In C. Stubbart, J. Porac, & J. Meindl (Eds.), Advances in managerial cognition and organizational information processing (Vol. 5, pp. 85–114). JAI Press.

Mitchell, T. R., Thompson, L., Peterson, E., & Cronk, R. (1997). Temporal adjustments in the evaluation of events: The “rosy view.” Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 33(4), 421–448. https://doi.org/10.1006/jesp.1997.1333

Walker, W. R., & Skowronski, J. J. (2009). The fading affect bias: But what the hell is it for? Applied Cognitive Psychology, 23(8), 1122–1136. https://doi.org/10.1002/acp.1614

Walker, W. R., Skowronski, J. J., & Thompson, C. P. (2003). Life is pleasant—and memory helps to keep it that way! Review of General Psychology, 7(2), 203–210. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.7.2.203

Walker, W. R., Vogl, R. J., & Thompson, C. P. (1997). Autobiographical memory: Unpleasantness fades faster than pleasantness over time. Applied Cognitive Psychology, 11(5), 399–413. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1099-0720(199710)11:5<399::AID-ACP462>3.0.CO;2-E

Legal Authorities

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993).

Frye v. United States, 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923).

Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999).

Related topic papers: Fading Affect Bias · Autobiographical Memory · Emotion and Memory · Consistency Bias · Hindsight Bias