Alzheimer's Disease and Memory

Alzheimer's disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder and the most common cause of dementia. Its hallmark early symptom is impairment of episodic memory, the memory for specific personally experienced events, which is precisely the kind of memory at issue when a person testifies about what they witnessed. As the disease advances, memory and other cognitive functions decline further. For the law, Alzheimer's disease raises questions about the reliability of a witness's account and about competency, and the research provides a reasonably clear, if sobering, picture.

This paper summarizes what is known about memory and memory distortion in Alzheimer's disease, with attention to the distinctive pattern of false memory the condition produces and to the limits it places on testimony.

Profound Deficits in Accurate Memory

The dominant fact is a severe and worsening impairment of accurate memory. Across studies, individuals with Alzheimer's disease show markedly lower levels of correct recall and recognition than healthy older adults, reflecting degraded item-specific recollection and a diminished ability to form and retrieve new episodic memories (Weintraub, Wicklund, & Salmon, 2012). This is the central consideration for any testimony that depends on recently acquired memory for events: the capacity to encode and retrieve such events is compromised, and the compromise deepens as the disease progresses.

A Distinctive Pattern of False Memory

The pattern of memory errors in Alzheimer's disease is more particular than "more mistakes." On recall tests, false memories for thematically related items can resemble those of healthy older adults; on recognition tests, patients with Alzheimer's disease often produce fewer false recognitions than controls. The explanation is instructive: false recognition of related-but-absent material is normally driven by gist, the retained general meaning of what was studied, and in Alzheimer's disease gist memory is itself degraded, leaving less basis for that particular kind of error (Budson, Daffner, Desikan, & Schacter, 2000). When procedures that bolster gist are used, such as repeating material or presenting it as pictures, false recognition in Alzheimer's patients rises, the opposite of the effect such procedures have in healthy adults. Patients are also less able to deploy the strategies that normally suppress false recognition, such as recall-to-reject, because those strategies depend on the item-specific recollection that the disease erodes (Pierce, Sullivan, Schacter, & Budson, 2005).

Stage, Salience, and Individual Assessment

Alzheimer's disease is not all-or-nothing. In early stages, remote and well-consolidated memories, and emotionally salient material, can be relatively preserved even as memory for recent events fails, and the severity of impairment ranges widely across individuals and advances over time. A diagnosis therefore does not mechanically void everything a person remembers, but it does place serious and increasing limits on the reliability of testimony about newly experienced events. Both reliability and competency are matters for individualized assessment that takes account of the stage of the disease and the nature of the memory at issue.

Special Issues in Legal Practice

The principles described above apply with equal force to every party in a legal proceeding. Memory operates the same way regardless of who is asking the questions, and the science summarized here favors no side. What differs between the parties is not the science but the vantage

point — the particular places where memory issues tend to surface, and the particular errors each party is best positioned to guard against. The two summaries below identify those recurring issues. They are offered for orientation, not as litigation strategy; in every case the controlling question is what the evidence in that case will, and will not, support, and the expert's role is to inform the trier of fact rather than to advocate for either side.

Special Issues for Prosecutors

For the State, Alzheimer's disease most often arises with an elderly victim or witness whose memory for recent events may be substantially impaired. The prosecution is well positioned to recognize that such testimony requires careful handling: early, well-documented accounts are preferable to later ones because the underlying memory degrades over time, and reliance should center on whatever is relatively preserved, such as remote or emotionally central material in early-stage disease, rather than on detailed recall of recently witnessed events (Weintraub et al., 2012). The error to guard against is treating fluent or confident report as proof of accuracy, since gist-driven distortions and impaired error-monitoring can yield confident mistakes (Budson et al., 2000). The safeguard is corroboration and, where appropriate, timely expert and competency evaluation.

Special Issues for Defense

For the defense, the research bears directly on the reliability of testimony from a witness with Alzheimer's disease about recently experienced events, where accurate recall is substantially impaired and certain procedures can even increase false recognition (Budson et al., 2000; Pierce et al., 2005). The defense is well positioned to develop the stage and severity of the disease, the gap between the events and the testimony, and the prospect that an account reflects gist-based distortion rather than genuine recollection. The corresponding error to guard against is overclaiming. A dementia diagnosis does not establish that every memory is false; remote and emotionally salient memories may be relatively spared in early disease, and impairment varies by individual and stage. Responsible cross-examination and expert testimony should tie the reliability concern to the specific memory and disease stage at issue rather than asserting a blanket incapacity.

References

Budson, A. E., Daffner, K. R., Desikan, R., & Schacter, D. L. (2000). When false recognition is unopposed by true recognition: Gist-based memory distortion in Alzheimer's disease. Neuropsychology, 14(2), 277–287. https://doi.org/10.1037/0894-4105.14.2.277

Pierce, B. H., Sullivan, A. L., Schacter, D. L., & Budson, A. E. (2005). Comparing source-based and gist-based false recognition in aging and Alzheimer's disease. Neuropsychology, 19(4), 411–419. https://doi.org/10.1037/0894-4105.19.4.411

Weintraub, S., Wicklund, A. H., & Salmon, D. P. (2012). The neuropsychological profile of Alzheimer disease. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine, 2(4), a006171. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a006171

Legal Authorities

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993).

Frye v. United States, 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923).

Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999).

Related topic papers: Aging and Memory · Gist Memory · False Memory · The Hippocampus