Interaction of ADHD and Memory

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a common neurodevelopmental condition marked by persistent inattention and, in many people, hyperactivity and impulsivity. It is frequently said that ADHD impairs memory, and there is truth in that — but the statement is easy to misread. The research points not to a global failure of memory but to a specific pattern: the core difficulty lies in the executive systems that support memory, especially working memory and the controlled processes that guide retrieval, while basic learning and recognition are often relatively intact. Understanding this distinction matters in any legal setting where a person with ADHD is a witness, a victim, or a suspect, because it identifies where their memory is most and least dependable. This paper explains how ADHD interacts with memory and why those effects bear on the evaluation of testimony and statements. It assumes no background in psychology, and the science it summarizes describes group tendencies, not the capacities of any particular individual.

Why ADHD Affects Memory: An Executive Problem

ADHD is best understood, for present purposes, as a disorder of executive function — the brain's system for directing attention, holding information in mind, and controlling goal-directed behavior. Its effects on memory flow largely from these executive difficulties rather than from a primary inability to store or recognize information. Because attention is the gateway through which information enters memory, inconsistent attention tends to produce patchy, incomplete encoding; and because retrieving and organizing memories is itself an effortful, controlled process, weaknesses in that control surface as disorganized or error-prone recall. The sections below trace this pattern from working memory through encoding to retrieval.

Working Memory: The Central Deficit

Working memory — the capacity to hold and manipulate a small amount of information in mind over seconds — is among the most reliably impaired abilities in ADHD. In a carefully designed study, Kofler and colleagues (2018) found large-magnitude working-memory deficits in children with ADHD (an effect size of about 1.2 standard deviations) and showed that these deficits accounted, in substantial part, for the broad organizational problems and inattentive behavior that characterize the disorder. Impaired working memory, the authors concluded, makes it extraordinarily difficult to anticipate, plan, and maintain goal-directed action.

These findings are not isolated. Meta-analyses pooling many studies confirm that working-memory deficits in ADHD are robust and span both verbal and visuospatial domains, in children (Martinussen, Hayden, Hogg-Johnson, & Tannock, 2005; Kasper, Alderson, & Hudec, 2012) and in adults (Alderson, Kasper, Hudec, & Patros, 2013). The impairment is largest for tasks that require not merely holding information but actively manipulating it. Because working memory is the workspace in which incoming information is briefly held before some of it is encoded into lasting memory, a deficit here has consequences that ripple forward into what is remembered later — a point developed in the companion paper on working memory.

Encoding and Attention

Nothing reaches durable long-term memory without first being attended to and held, however briefly, in working memory. In ADHD, the fluctuating and easily diverted character of attention means that encoding tends to be uneven: some details of an event are taken in well, while others — particularly those present when attention has wandered — are encoded weakly or not at all. The result is not a uniform dimming of memory but a spottier record, with gaps that fall wherever attention lapsed. This helps explain why a person with ADHD may recall some features of an event vividly and accurately while having little or no memory for others, and why such gaps reflect the condition rather than evasion or indifference.

Retrieval: Intrusions and Inaccurate Recall

Some of the most informative findings concern not what is stored but how it is retrieved. Pollak, Kahana-Vax, and Hoofien (2008) compared adults with and without ADHD on a standard verbal learning test (the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test) and found something telling: the two groups were similar on measures of learning and of recognition, but the adults with ADHD made significantly more "double recalls" (repeating an item they had already reported) and more intrusion errors (recalling items that were never presented). The authors interpreted this as evidence that the memory difficulty lay in deficient executive processes supporting retrieval — the monitoring and control that keep recall accurate and on track — rather than in storage itself.

This pattern has practical significance. Recognition, in which a person is asked whether a presented item is familiar, draws on cued, externally supported retrieval and tends to be relatively preserved in ADHD. Free recall, in which the person must search and organize memory without such support, places heavier demands on executive control and is more vulnerable to intrusions and inaccuracies. The implication for testimony is nuanced: a person with ADHD may correctly recognize a face, object, or fact when prompted, yet be more prone, in open-ended recounting, to insert details that did not occur or to confuse the source of what they remember — a vulnerability related to the broader problem of source monitoring.

Suggestibility, Compliance, and False Confessions

A distinct body of research examines how ADHD bears on a suspect's vulnerability during questioning, and its findings are precise enough to resist oversimplification. Studying prisoners, Gudjonsson, Sigurdsson, Bragason, Newton, and Einarsson (2008) found that ADHD symptoms were associated with greater compliance — a tendency to go along with requests and pressure to avoid conflict or please others — and with a higher rate of self-reported false confessions to police, even though ADHD symptoms were not consistently associated with greater interrogative suggestibility in the narrower sense of yielding to leading questions and shifting answers under pressure. In other words, the elevated risk during interrogation appears to run more through compliance than through memory distortion as such.

Later work has reinforced the link between developmental conditions, including ADHD, and the risk of false confession, particularly among younger and more symptomatic individuals (Gudjonsson, Gonzalez, & Young, 2021). The careful lesson is that ADHD does not make a person's memory globally untrustworthy, but it can heighten vulnerability to the pressures of interrogation in ways that bear on the reliability of statements obtained under those conditions — a consideration relevant to the voluntariness and accuracy of confessions.

ADHD, Memory, and the Law

ADHD intersects with the legal evaluation of memory at several points, and the research counsels balance rather than a blanket conclusion in either direction. For a witness or victim with ADHD, the science suggests that recognition and core recall may be largely reliable while open-ended accounts are more prone to gaps (from uneven encoding) and to intrusions or source errors (from weakened retrieval control); careful, non-leading interviewing that relies on recognition and supported recall is therefore especially valuable. For a suspect with ADHD, the compliance and false-confession findings bear on how much weight a contested confession should carry and on the conditions under which it was obtained.

These issues reach the trier of fact chiefly through expert testimony, the admissibility of which is governed by the general standards for expert evidence — Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals (1993), as extended to specialized knowledge by Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael (1999), or the Frye general-acceptance test still followed in some jurisdictions (Frye v. United States, 1923). A

witness may in any event testify only to what lies within personal knowledge (Fed. R. Evid. 602). Two cautions apply with equal force. The findings describe group-level tendencies and cannot diagnose or predict the reliability of a particular person's memory without individualized assessment; and many people with ADHD are treated with medication that can alter attention and working memory, so the person's clinical status at the relevant time matters. The science is a tool for informed evaluation, not a shortcut to crediting or discrediting an account.

Special Issues in Legal Practice

The principles described above apply with equal force to every party in a legal proceeding. Memory operates the same way regardless of who is asking the questions, and the science summarized here favors no side. What differs between the parties is not the science but the vantage point — the particular places where memory issues tend to surface, and the particular errors each party is best positioned to guard against. The two summaries below identify those recurring issues. They are offered for orientation, not as litigation strategy; in every case the controlling question is what the evidence in that case will, and will not, support, and the expert's role is to inform the trier of fact rather than to advocate for either side.

Special Issues for Prosecutors

For the State, ADHD may be relevant to a witness or victim whose account the prosecution relies on, or to a defendant who has given a statement. The issue most likely to surface with a witness is the texture of the memory: gaps where attention lapsed and occasional intrusions in open-ended recounting do not mean the witness is unreliable, since recognition and core recall are often preserved, and such features should not be mistaken for fabrication. The error best guarded against on the statement side is treating a confession from a suspect with ADHD as straightforwardly reliable without attention to the interrogation conditions, given the documented association between ADHD and compliance-driven false confessions. Recording interviews, favoring open-ended and recognition-based questioning, and documenting the conditions of any interrogation all help the State present memory and statement evidence whose reliability can be assessed on its merits.

Understood this way, the science supports accurate proof rather than undermining it. A witness with ADHD can be entirely reliable about what they encoded and recognized, and a properly conducted interview lets that reliability show; attention to interrogation conditions, in turn, strengthens the standing of any statement the State ultimately offers.

Special Issues for Defense

For the defense, ADHD may bear on the reliability of a witness's account and, distinctively, on a client's vulnerability during questioning. The issue most likely to surface is twofold: an open-ended account from a witness with ADHD may contain intrusions or source confusions that warrant scrutiny, and a client with ADHD may have been unusually susceptible to the compliance pressures of interrogation, raising questions about the voluntariness and accuracy of any confession. The error best guarded against is overclaiming — asserting that ADHD renders memory globally unreliable — when the research shows specific, bounded effects and largely intact recognition; overstatement invites rebuttal and misleads the factfinder. Examining how a witness was questioned and the conditions under which a client was interrogated is central to the task.

The corresponding safeguard is to ensure the trier of fact understands what the science does and does not establish: that ADHD chiefly affects working memory, the consistency of encoding, and the executive control of retrieval, leaving recognition relatively spared; and that its main interrogation risk runs through compliance rather than wholesale memory distortion. Where admissible, expert explanation of these findings, grounded in individualized assessment, can help the factfinder weigh testimony and statements with appropriate care.

References

Alderson, R. M., Kasper, L. J., Hudec, K. L., & Patros, C. H. G. (2013). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and working memory in adults: A meta-analytic review. Neuropsychology, 27(3), 287–302. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032371

Gudjonsson, G. H., Gonzalez, R. A., & Young, S. (2021). The risk of making false confessions: The role of developmental disorders, conduct disorder, psychiatric symptoms, and compliance. Journal of Attention Disorders, 25(5), 715–723. https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054719833169

Gudjonsson, G. H., Sigurdsson, J. F., Bragason, O. O., Newton, A. K., & Einarsson, E. (2008). Interrogative suggestibility, compliance and false confessions among prisoners and their relationship with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) symptoms. Psychological Medicine, 38(7), 1037–1044. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291708002882

Kasper, L. J., Alderson, R. M., & Hudec, K. L. (2012). Moderators of working memory deficits in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): A meta-analytic review. Clinical Psychology Review, 32(7), 605–617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2012.07.001

Kofler, M. J., Sarver, D. E., Harmon, S. L., Moltisanti, A., Aduen, P. A., Soto, E. F., & Ferretti, N. (2018). Working memory and organizational skills problems in ADHD. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 59(1), 57–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12773

Martinussen, R., Hayden, J., Hogg-Johnson, S., & Tannock, R. (2005). A meta-analysis of working memory impairments in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 44(4), 377–384. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.chi.0000153228.72591.73

Pollak, Y., Kahana-Vax, G., & Hoofien, D. (2008). Retrieval processes in adults with ADHD: A RAVLT study. Developmental Neuropsychology, 33(1), 62–73. https://doi.org/10.1080/87565640701729789

Legal Authorities

Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993).

Federal Rule of Evidence 602.

Frye v. United States, 293 F. 1013 (D.C. Cir. 1923).

Kumho Tire Co. v. Carmichael, 526 U.S. 137 (1999).

Related topic papers: Working Memory · Source-Monitoring Errors · Suggestibility of Children's Memory · Reconstruction and Recall · Memory Expert Testimony · Aging and Memory