

Department of Defense
FY08 Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

March 2009

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

PERSONNEL AND
READINESS

MAR 12 2009

The Honorable Carl Levin
Chairman, Committee on Armed Services
United States Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As required by section 577(f) of Public Law 108-375, I am forwarding to you the FY08 DoD Report on Sexual Assault in the Military, which includes the reports from the Military Services. The Department of Defense has reviewed the scope and extent of sexual assault reports during FY08 as well as the policies and procedures for sexual assault prevention and response in the military.

During the past year, the Department of Defense has maintained collaborative efforts with the Services, Members of Congress, and national experts to address the crime of sexual assault within our ranks. The Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) is a permanent office within the Office of the Secretary of Defense and is the single point of accountability for the Department of Defense's sexual assault policy.

The enclosed reports provide data on reported sexual assault allegations involving members of the Armed Forces that occurred during Fiscal Year 2008. They also summarize the policies and procedures that the Department of Defense and the Military Services implemented to provide a solid foundation for our goals of prevention through training and education, victim care and support, and accountability.

I am sending a similar letter and the Department of Defense's report to the Chairman and Ranking Member of the House Committee on Armed Services.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Dominguez
Acting Under Secretary of Defense
(Personnel and Readiness)

Enclosures:
As stated

cc:
The Honorable John McCain
Ranking Member

UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
4000 DEFENSE PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301-4000

PERSONNEL AND
READINESS

MAR 12 2009

The Honorable Ike Skelton
Chairman, Committee on Armed Services
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As required by section 577(f) of Public Law 108-375, I am forwarding to you the FY08 DoD Report on Sexual Assault in the Military, which includes the reports from the Military Services. The Department of Defense has reviewed the scope and extent of sexual assault reports during FY08 as well as the policies and procedures for sexual assault prevention and response in the military.

During the past year, the Department of Defense has maintained collaborative efforts with the Services, Members of Congress, and national experts to address the crime of sexual assault within our ranks. The Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) is a permanent office within the Office of the Secretary of Defense and is the single point of accountability for the Department of Defense's sexual assault policy.

The enclosed reports provide data on reported sexual assault allegations involving members of the Armed Forces that occurred during Fiscal Year 2008. They also summarize the policies and procedures that the Department of Defense and the Military Services implemented to provide a solid foundation for our goals of prevention through training and education, victim care and support, and accountability.

I am sending a similar letter and the Department of Defense's report to the Chairman and Ranking Member of the Senate Committee on Armed Services.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Dominguez
Acting Under Secretary of Defense
(Personnel and Readiness)

Enclosures:
As stated

cc:
The Honorable John M. McHugh
Ranking Member

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Note from the Director 3

Executive Summary 5

DoD SAPR Program Overview 7

Legislative Requirements 7

DoD SAPR Policy 7

Definition of Sexual Assault 7

Reporting Options 9

Victim Care 10

Program Highlights 13

Oversight and Program Accountability 13

Sexual Assault Advisory Council 14

Policy Assistance Visits 15

Improved Data Collection and Reporting 16

Military Service Academy Assessment 17

Additional Internal Oversight 17

Government Accountability Office 18

Policy Development 23

Training 25

Outreach Activities 26

Prevention Activities 27

Response Capabilities 29

Secretary of Defense's Priorities 29

Sexual Assault Forensic Examination and Lab Availability 30

Number of Responders Trained 30

Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault 30

Joint and Deployed Environments 31

Aggregate Report of Sexual Assault Incidents 33

FY08 Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault 34

FY08 Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault 40

FY08 Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest 41

Way Ahead for Program 47
Oversight and Program Accountability 47
Policy Development 47
Training 48
Outreach Activities 48
Prevention Activities 49
Response Capabilities 49
Secretary of Defense's Priorities 49
Sexual Assault Forensic Examination and Lab Availability 49
Number of Responders Trained 49
Service Referrals 50
Joint and Deployed Environments 50
Strategic Observations 51
Conclusion 63

Appendices
Sexual Assault Offense Investigation Flow Chart Definitions ..... Tab A
Sexual Assault Investigation Flow Chart ..... Tab B
Data Matrices ..... Tab C
List of Acronyms ..... Tab D
Laws Governing the Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military ..... Tab E

Enclosures
Army FY08 Annual Report ..... Tab 4
Navy and Marine Corps FY08 Annual Report ..... Tab 5
Air Force FY08 Annual Report ..... Tab 6
FY08 Case Synopses ..... Tab 7

FROM THE DIRECTOR

We are pleased to present the Department of Defense Fiscal Year (FY) 2008 Report on Sexual Assault in the Military. This report covers the period from October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2008.

My staff and I are strongly dedicated to supporting Service members who are victims of sexual assault and doing all we can to prevent this crime. Our strong leadership has made the Department's support of this program very clear. However, success would not be possible without the tireless efforts of all those we collaborate with – both inside and outside of the Department of Defense. In particular, the Army, Navy, Air Force and Marine Corps must be commended for the progress they made in FY08. Each Military Service demonstrated the depth of its commitment to ending sexual assault through their compassion and dedication day after day.

The statistics in this report simultaneously represent the evil of which humans are capable and the strength and resilience of the human spirit. We can never forget that each report represents individual victims – people who have suffered harm at the hands of another. Our duty is to offer them hope and healing – they deserve no less. Failure is not an option. To each and every victim mentioned in this report, we send our heartfelt sympathy and extend this pledge: we will not stop until we have achieved our common goal of a military with no sexual assaults.

Kaye Whitley

Kaye Whitley, Ed.D.
Director
Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office

This page intentionally left blank.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Section 577(f) of Public Law (PL) 108-375 requires the Department of Defense to submit an annual report on sexual assault in the military to Congress. Additional reporting requirements are specified by section 596(c) of PL 109-163 and section 583 of PL 109-364. The sexual assault reports described in this document were made in Fiscal Year (FY) 2008, which is from October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2008.

This report is divided into the following major sections: DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Overview, Program Highlights, Aggregate Report of Sexual Assault Incidents, Way Ahead for Program and Strategic Observations. The Program Overview explains policy requirements and the basic structure of sexual assault prevention and response in the Department of Defense. Program Highlights discusses major activities and developments that occurred during the year. Program Highlights begins with a section entitled, "Oversight and Program Accountability," which is new to this year's report. The section concludes with a discussion of internal oversight and an analysis of the recommendations of the Government Accountability Office. A discussion of the data can be found in the Aggregate Report of Sexual Assault Incidents. The Way Ahead for the Program includes the planned future activities in each area discussed under Program Highlights.

Key FY08 Program Highlights were the following:

- **Oversight and Program Accountability:** The Department took steps to institutionalize its oversight program. During FY08, Policy Assistance Visits were conducted at three Combatant Commands and nine installations / bases. In addition, the Department began an initiative for a new database and created new data definitions. These measures will enhance the Department's future ability to collect and analyze its data. Finally, the Government Accountability Office conducted a review of sexual assault prevention and response in the military and released a report with nine recommendations for the Department. Compliance with the recommendations has begun.

- **Policy Development:** DoD SAPR policy modifications were drafted in FY08. The Department also continued to address a number of policy challenges including the conflict between Restricted Reporting and civilian mandatory reporting laws, extending SAPR to DoD civilians and DoD contractors and joint environments.

- **Training:** Training efforts spanned from reviewing DoD and Service training policy to drafting policy modifications in order to make training requirements more effective.

- **Outreach:** The Department continued its aggressive outreach campaign by opening up communications to highlight the SAPR program to internal and external audiences.

- **Prevention:** During FY08, the Department expanded its focus on prevention and collaborated with national experts to draft a prevention strategy.

- **Response Capabilities:** The Department focused on the Secretary's four priorities, which include reducing sexual assault reporting stigma, ensuring sufficient commander training and accountability, ensuring investigator training and resourcing, and ensuring trial counsel (prosecutor) training. The Department also continued to address the unique challenges that arise in joint and deployed environments.

- **Aggregate Report of Sexual Assault Incidents:** In FY08 there were 2,908 reports of sexual assault involving Military Service members:
    - There were 2,265 Unrestricted Reports involving Military Service members. 1,594 (70%) of these reports had Military Service members as victims. Some of these reports included more than one victim resulting in a total of 1,752 Service members victims in the Unrestricted Reports received.
    - Service member victims made 753 Restricted Reports of sexual assault. Fifteen percent (110) of victims that made Restricted Reports decided to change to an Unrestricted Report and participate in a criminal investigation. As a result of the change, 643 remained as Restricted Reports.
    - The Military Services completed a total of 2,389 criminal investigations on reports made during and prior to FY08, which involved 2,763 subjects.

The Department of Defense remains committed to eliminating sexual assault from the Armed Forces by sustaining a robust sexual assault prevention and response policy; identifying and eliminating barriers to reporting; ensuring care remains available and accessible; and by providing continuous, relevant, and effective training and education to all Service members.

DOD SAPR PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Legislative Requirements for Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

This Report is the Department of Defense's Annual Report to Congress on Sexual Assault in the Military. Section 577 of Public Law (P.L.) 108-375 requires the report. Section 596 of P.L. 109-163 and section 583 of P.L. 109-364 impose additional requirements for the report.

The sexual assault reports described in this document were made during Fiscal Year (FY) 08, which runs from October 1, 2007 through September 30, 2008. This is the second report which was done for the fiscal, as opposed to calendar, year. Prior to FY07, yearly reports from the Department of Defense were based on the calendar year. Congressional revisions to Article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) drove the Department to change the reporting collection period. Article 120 defines the crimes that constitute sexual assault. The changes to the UCMJ became effective on October 1, 2007 and had the effect of increasing the number of crimes defined by Article 120. The Department of Defense changed its data collection period to coincide with the start date of the revised law to eliminate confusion.

DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Policy

Studies indicate that most sexual assaults that occur in America are not reported to law enforcement.[1] Underreporting poses a serious challenge, as the potential costs and consequences of sexual assault are extremely high. Chronic psychological consequences may include depression, post traumatic stress disorder and even attempted or completed suicide.[2] Not only does sexual violence harm the individual victimized, it impacts public health. For the military, the potential costs are even higher as it can also negatively impact mission readiness. Service member risk their lives for one another and bear the responsibility of keeping fellow service members out of harm's way. Sexual assault in the military breaks this bond, and units may be torn apart. Clearly, the military cannot tolerate sexual assault. Not only is sexual assault criminal, the potential costs and consequences are simply too high.

[1] Estimates show that between 22 to 41.6% of the sexual assaults that occur in America are reported to police. *See,* U.S. Department of Justice (2008). *Criminal Victimization, 2007.* Washington, DC: Rand, Michael and U.S. Department of Justice. (2002). *Rape and Sexual Assault: Reporting to Police and Medical Attention, 1992-2000.* Washington, DC: Rennison, Callie Marie.

[2] Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Understanding Sexual Violence Fact Sheet, 2007," http://www.cdc.gov/ncipc/pub-res/images/SV%20Factsheet.pdf.

The Department of Defense's ultimate goal is to eliminate sexual assault from the ranks. The Department's immediate goal is to establish a climate of confidence that encourages all victims to report sexual assault and get the care they need. The Department of Defense is accomplishing this goal by:

- Maintaining a comprehensive worldwide response capability at all DoD installations for victims of sexual assault. Since June 2005, a key component of that response is allowing a victim the option of a Restricted Report, which permits reporting and access to care without initiating an investigation or notifying command.
- Institutionalizing extensive training programs to increase sexual assault prevention and program awareness.
- Exercising oversight over Department sexual assault prevention and response programs (SAPR) and functions.

Giving first-class care to a victim of sexual assault anywhere in the world lies at the heart of the Department's SAPR program.

Department of Defense (DoD) Directive 6495.01, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program*, October 6, 2005,[3] and DoD Instruction 6495.02, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures,* June 23, 2006,[4] constitute DoD SAPR policy. According to DoD policy, the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) is the Department's "single point of responsibility for sexual assault policy matters, except for legal processes provided under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ)…and Manual for Courts Martial…, and criminal investigative policy matters that are assigned to the Judge Advocates General of the Military Departments and the IG, DoD, respectively."[5] SAPRO works in partnership with the Military Services, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Office of General Counsel, the DoD Inspector General, Health Affairs, Reserve Affairs, and other DoD components to make the SAPR program a success. Institutionalization and sustainment of SAPR is a monumental task, given the program's many participants, its global scope, and its relative youth.

Definition of Sexual Assault

The military definition of "sexual assault" does not refer to one specific crime; rather, it encompasses a range of sex crimes that represent a broad range of offenses from rape or nonconsensual sodomy to indecent assault, as well as attempts to commit these offenses. Consequently, the definition of sexual assault in the military is broader than forcible or

[3] DoD Directive 6495.01 was modified on November 7, 2008, subsequent to the end of FY08.
[4] DoD Instruction 6495.02 was modified on November 13, 2008, subsequent to the end of FY08.
[5] DoD Instruction 6495.02, section 5.3.1.

non-consensual intercourse. During the time period of this report, "sexual assault" was defined by DoD Directive 6495.01, Section E.2.1.12 as follows:

> *For the purpose of this Directive and SAPR awareness training and education, the term "sexual assault" is defined as intentional sexual contact, characterized by use of force, physical threat or abuse of authority or when the victim does not or cannot consent. It includes rape, nonconsensual sodomy (oral or anal sex), indecent assault (unwanted, inappropriate sexual contact or fondling), or attempts to commit these acts. Sexual assault can occur without regard to gender or spousal relationship or age of victim. "Consent" shall not be deemed or construed to mean the failure by the victim to offer physical resistance. Consent is not given when a person uses force, threat of force, coercion, or when the victim is asleep, incapacitated, or unconscious.*

Changes in the UCMJ required a revision of this definition, which is reflected in the update to DoD Directive 6495.01, posted on November 7, 2008.[6]

Reporting Options

The Department offers two reporting options: Restricted and Unrestricted Reporting. The creation of Restricted Reporting in June 2005 as an option was a critical addition to the program. Restricted Reporting allows victims to confidentially access medical care and advocacy services. It is defined as follows:

> *A process used by a Service member to report or disclose that he or she is the victim of a sexual assault to specified officials on a requested confidential basis. Under these circumstances, the victim's report and any details provided to a healthcare provider, the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, or a Victim Advocate will not be reported to law enforcement to initiate the official investigative process unless the victim consents or an established exception is exercised under this Directive.*[7]

---

[6] The new definition states, "*For the purpose of this Directive and SAPR awareness training and education, the term 'sexual assault' is defined as intentional sexual contact, characterized by use of force, threats, intimidation, abuse of authority, or when the victim does not or cannot consent. Sexual assault includes rape, forcible sodomy (oral or anal sex), and other unwanted sexual contact that is aggravated, abusive, or wrongful (to include unwanted and inappropriate sexual contact), or attempts to commit these acts. 'Consent' means words or overt acts indicating a freely given agreement to the sexual conduct at issue by a competent person. An expression of lack of consent through words or conduct means there is no consent. Lack of verbal or physical resistance or submission resulting from the accused's use of force, threat of force, or placing another person in fear does not constitute consent. A current or previous dating relationship by itself or the manner of dress of the person involved with the accused in the sexual conduct at issue shall not constitute consent."* DoD Instruction 6495.01, section E2.1.13. For the complete instruction, see www.sapr.mil.

[7] DoD Directive 6495.01, E.3.1.8.

While Restricted Reporting does not disclose the identity of the victim or trigger the investigative process, commanders are provided with limited information about the incident which allows them to address force protection concerns. In this way, the Department is able to honor a victim's privacy while taking steps to keep others safe. The Department is also able to offer victims care and treatment which the victim may have foregone accessing without this confidential option.

Although Departmental policy allows for confidential Restricted Reports, it encourages victims to make Unrestricted Reports that allow the Department to investigate and hold offenders accountable. Victims who initially make a Restricted Report may change their minds and participate in an official investigation at any time. In addition, if information about a sexual assault comes to a commander's attention or to the attention of law enforcement independent of a victim's report, an investigation will be initiated.

Restricted Reporting does more than allow victims to confidentially access medical care. It also preserves the possibility of future prosecution by allowing victims to anonymously receive sexual assault forensic examinations (SAFEs). Following the examination, military law enforcement holds the evidence under an anonymous alphanumeric identifier for up to one year. While victims may convert their reports from Restricted to Unrestricted at any time, evidence gathered during the forensic examination is destroyed after one year from the date it is collected. One month prior to the expiration of that year, the Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) contacts the victim to determine if the victim would like to convert to an Unrestricted Report and participate in the military justice system and/or the civilian criminal justice system. This process allows for the availability of evidence that otherwise would not have been available without the Restricted Reporting option.

Under Unrestricted Reporting, the victim reports an incident of sexual assault and the matter is referred for investigation. As in Restricted Reporting, victims may receive healthcare, counseling and advocacy services. However, in an Unrestricted Report, details of the incident are reportable to command and law enforcement.

SARCs and victim advocates (VAs) work with victims to help them understand the reporting options described above. To ensure that victims make informed choices, the Department developed Department of Defense Form 2910, *Victim Reporting Preference Statement*, which fully explains the benefits and the limitations of each reporting option. This form is completed by the victim with the assistance of the SARC or VA in every case.

Victim Care

When the Department adopted its new SAPR policy in 2005, it was based upon best practices from the civilian community as a framework to shape the military's response

system. Professionals from several disciplines work as a team to provide expert care for victims worldwide with a 24 hour / 7 day a week response.

Victim care begins immediately upon the initial report of a sexual assault. At the heart of our sexual assault response system are the SARCs and VAs. Every military installation in the world—both in garrison and deployed—has a SARC and VAs who provide the human element to the Department's response. They assist victims with three fundamental principles of victim care:

- *Safety and Security* – First and foremost, victims need to feel safe. Our SARCs and VAs work with victims to identify and address issues related to their physical safety, as well as concerns about re-victimization by the perpetrator or others who might retaliate against the victim for making a report.

- *Ventilate and Validate* – Next, victims need to talk about what happened. While they are not therapists, SARCs and VAs are trained to be good listeners. Their job is not to gather details about the assault, but rather to validate victims' reactions and feelings in a non-judgmental way.

- *Predict, Prepare, and Inform* – Finally, victims need to know their options, legal rights, and what is likely to happen next. SARCs and VAs explain the reporting options and how they may impact the victim's future. SARCs and VAs listen to victims' needs and then connect them with appropriate resources, including medical care, mental health care, legal advice, and spiritual support. SARCs and VAs ensure that Service members are not left alone to navigate the potentially daunting process of reporting a sexual assault. SARCs and VAs support victims in decision making. Victims are also kept informed on the progress of their Unrestricted Report via information gathered by the SARCs at monthly multidisciplinary case management meetings. Those meetings allow the SARC to coordinate care as the case progresses through investigation and prosecution. Thanks to SARCs and VAs, victims are not left in the dark about how their case is going and what will happen next.

SARCs manage an installation or unit's SAPR program, serve as the single point of contact to coordinate victim care, and track the services provided to the victim. While the SARC primarily provides management and oversight of victim services, VAs provide direct assistance to victims. VAs also help victims navigate the military's response network. SARCs and VAs are the heart of our response system, but they receive a great deal of help from other members of the team including healthcare providers, chaplains, investigators, Judge Advocates and victim/witness assistance personnel.

Healthcare providers treat sexual assault victims, both physically and psychologically. Physicians, physician assistants, and nurses all contribute to treating injuries, managing

risk for sexually transmitted infections, and sometimes gathering evidence during a Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE). Psychologists, psychiatrists, social workers and other mental health professionals assist the victim in restoring the function and resilience lost in the sexual assault.

Chaplains provide spiritual support to victims of sexual assault. Generally, information communicated to chaplains during spiritual counseling is privileged,[8] and therefore, kept confidential. However, chaplains may not receive Restricted Reports. Because of the clergy privilege, chaplains may be an additional resource for victims of sexual assault in both Restricted and Unrestricted reports.

Law enforcement, criminal investigators, victim/witness assistance program (VWAP) personnel, and Judge Advocates also support victims. While a case is being investigated and prosecuted under an Unrestricted Report, VWAP help victims understand their legal rights, understand and participate in the military justice process, and obtain needed resources. This support helps minimize the risk for re-victimization and increases the likelihood that victims will stay with the process to its conclusion. This support also helps the victim through the recovery process as well.

At the policy level, the Department continues to strengthen internal and external partnerships with organizations that help care for victims. Within the Department, SAPRO works closely with DoD Health Affairs, the Offices of the Judge Advocates General, the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations, Military OneSource, Diversity Management Equal Opportunity, the DoD Family Advocacy Program, and the offices of the Service Secretaries. Our federal partners include the US Coast Guard, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Justice (Office of Victims of Crime, Office on Violence Against Women, and National Institute of Justice), the Department of Health and Human Services, the Centers for Disease Control, and the Department of State. Additionally, we work with community partners including many of the state coalitions against sexual assault, the Rape, Assault, Incest National Network (RAINN), the National Organization for Victim Assistance, Men Can Stop Rape, and the National Sexual Violence Resource Center.

[8] Military Rule of Evidence 503 (Communications made to a chaplain as a formal act of religion or a matter of conscience.).

FY08 PROGRAM HIGHLIGHTS

In FY08, the Department of Defense continued to move forward on its mission to enable military readiness by establishing a culture free from sexual assault. The Department's accomplishments during FY08 advanced the goals of support and care for sexual assault victims, prevention through training and education, and system accountability. This section discusses these key areas of the Department's program: (1) oversight and program accountability; (2) policy development; (3) training; (4) outreach activities; (5) prevention activities; and (6) response capabilities. During FY08, the Department worked to excel in each of these areas.

Oversight and Program Accountability

When the SAPR policy was initially written in 2005, the Department was required to stand up its SAPR programs very rapidly to meet an emergent need. Since that time, the Department has worked closely with the Military Services to ensure program quality and effectiveness, but oversight and accountability measures were not institutionalized. Now that the Military Services have established their SAPR programs, these programs are transitioning into maturity. As part of that transition, oversight and accountability measures are being developed.

In addition to this Annual Report to Congress on Sexual Assault in the Military Services, the Department conducts a number of forms of internal oversight. One form of oversight is the Sexual Assault Advisory Council. A second form is the use of Policy Assistance Team visits. Another form of oversight and accountability is through data collection on sexual assault from the Military Services. FY08 efforts to enhance data collection are described below in the section on the Department's new database and data definitions. Oversight is also accomplished through the Department's assessment of the Military Service Academy sexual assault programs.

The Department receives oversight from external sources as well. One such source of oversight was a Government Accountability Office (GAO) review of the SAPR program in the Military Services. The results of the GAO investigation are discussed at the end of this section. The Department also receives oversight by way of Congressional inquiries and hearings, which are described in *Program Highlights, Outreach Activities, pp. 27 - 28.*

Sexual Assault Advisory Council

DoD Instruction 6495.02 established the Sexual Assault Advisory Council (SAAC). SAAC members include the Assistant Secretaries for Manpower and Reserve Affairs of the Military Departments, the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Principal Deputy General Counsel of the Department of Defense, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, and a Deputy Inspector General or their respective designees. In addition, representatives from the Departments of Health and Human Services, Justice, State, Veterans Affairs and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention serve as advisors to the SAAC. The Under Secretary of Defense (Personnel and Readiness), serves as the Chair of the SAAC. The Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Plans) serves as the Executive Secretary.

According to DoD Instruction 6495.02, the SAAC has four primary duties:

1. To advise the Secretary of Defense on policies for sexual assault issues involving members of the Armed Forces.
2. To coordinate policy and review the Department's SAPR policies and programs.
3. To monitor the progress of program elements.
4. To assist in developing policy guidance for the education, training and awareness of DoD personnel in SAPR matters.

In FY08, the SAAC members and advisors met twice. Meeting highlights follow:

1. **November 9, 2007** – The SAAC discussed DoD's prevention strategy and the results of the Sexual Assault Prevention Summit, held in July 2007. In addition, the SAAC discussed ways of ensuring system accountability in the Department.
2. **May 19, 2008** –The SAAC reviewed the work of its subcommittees and received an update on the development of the prevention strategy. In addition, the SAAC's advice was sought on how DoD SAPR policy applies to civilians and contractors accompanying the force in combat or contingency operations. Finally, the SAAC was updated on progress made and continued the discussion on ways to increase system accountability in the Department.

The SAAC established four subcommittees to bring together federal partners in the military's endeavor to prevent and respond to sexual assault. Subcommittees were established with the following purposes:

- *Policy and Accountability* – reviews DoD SAPR policy, identifies any necessary modifications, and advises the SAAC of recommendations for modifications.

- *Training* – reviews current SAPR training, determines whether SAPR training requirements are being met, identifies any extant gaps in training, and explores new methods for training.
- *Research* – oversees and reviews SAPR data collection, develops and monitors the SAPR research agenda, and provides guidance for research studies and data analysis.
- *Outreach* – develops an outreach plan for the Department of Defense on SAPR matters within and outside of the Department, evaluates the effectiveness of past and present messaging efforts, identifies networking opportunities and strategies, and reviews and selects various media for outreach opportunities.

Each of the SAAC subcommittees has a Service co-chair and a co-chair from SAPRO. Committee members include representatives of the SAAC members and advisors. During FY08, the subcommittees worked to guide the Department's efforts in policy, training, research and outreach.

Policy Assistance Visits

As a function of the Department's oversight mission, formal Policy Assistance Visits were implemented in FY08 for the multiple purposes of determining how well the Department's SAPR policy and programs have been implemented at the installation level, identifying opportunities to refine DoD policy, and providing immediate programmatic feedback to stakeholders. A team composed of staff from SAPRO and the Military Services visited three Combatant Commands and nine installations / bases. The installations visited included every Service, as well as Army and Air National Guard units. Common findings across the Department are:

- Policy for SARC and VA selection criteria and scope of duty needs review. There was considerable variation between the Services as to the status of SAPR personnel (military, civilian or contractor), the amount and type of training provided to each, the requirement for SARCs to perform additional or collateral duties, and the screening and assignment of military personnel to Victim Advocate duty.
- Stronger command support of the SAPR program is required. Senior leadership support of the SAPR program varied from installation to installation, and from command to command. Despite varying command support, SARCs and VAs appeared committed to the mission and were working very hard to implement effective programs for their commanders.
- SAPR program awareness training does not appear to be adequately retained by military personnel. PAT teams found that many junior enlisted could not

describe the concepts surrounding reporting options or resources. In addition, not all responders had received the required program training and additional specialized training. Policy review of all training requirements is needed because training was only minimally effective in educating the troops.

- Military Treatment Facilities (MTFs) that have an emergency department should have on-call Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner or another similarly trained professional either on staff or contracted to respond in a timely manner. The team found that one MTF did not have a good track record in caring for victims of sexual assault from the bases in its area.
- SAPR personnel need additional training and options for managing interactions with the military justice system. SARCs and VAs have been required to testify about their private conversations with victims, which tends to erode their ability to confidentially and effectively assist victims. Consequently, the Department will examine whether it is possible to provide greater confidentiality to victims' private conversations with SARCs and VAs.

During FY08, PAT visits effectively served the oversight function for which they were designed. The Department will work with its stakeholders to address the findings.

Improved Data Collection and Reporting

The next major form of oversight is accomplished through data collection. Comprehensive data collection and analysis is vital to policy analysis and program implementation. Currently, data is reported by hand in an aggregate fashion, which creates enormous challenges for analysis, accuracy, reporting, and consistency. To help the Department rectify this, Congress legislated that a new, Department-wide sexual assault database initiative be implemented by January 2010. In addition, the Department created new data definitions to increase the accuracy and consistency of data reporting. *See, Appendices A and B.*

Creating a new database is a monumental task. During FY08, the Department established an integrated SAPR Data Collection and Working Group led by the Air Force. The group included members from all Services, Joint Chiefs of Staff/J1, Reserve Affairs, Heath Affairs and SAPRO. The group worked to develop a basic concept design for a Department-wide centralized, case-level database for the collection and maintenance of information regarding sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces. The development proposal for the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID) was initiated at the end of FY08 and was delivered to Congress in January 2009.

When the Department created policy requiring annual reports of sexual assault in 2005, it also required that the four Military Services—each with differing definitions and practices in crime reporting—report sexual assaults as well. The Department addressed the challenges of not having Department-wide definitions for sexual assault reporting by calling together the

Legal / Investigative Working Group of the SAAC Policy Subcommittee. This group is comprised of Judge Advocates, military criminal investigators, and SAPR program representatives from each of the Military Services. The definitions developed by the group also address the GAO's reporting recommendations, and provide distinct and separate reporting categories for cases that are currently categorized as "unsubstantiated / unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim recanted, death." The improved definitions will enable future Annual Reports to be more precise, allow for more consistent reporting, and provide greater insight into sexual assault case outcomes.

Together, these actions address one of the concerns raised by the Government Accountability Office in its report. *See Program Highlights, Oversight and Program Accountability, Government Accountability Office, p. 18 - 22.* Additionally, improved data collection and reporting will boost the Department's ability to conduct policy oversight and enhance program accountability

Military Service Academy Assessment

The Department's Annual Report on Sexual Harassment and Violence at the U.S. Military Service Academies for Academic Program Year (APY) 2007-2008 was provided to Congress in December 2008. The assessment concluded that each of the Academies has comprehensive and robust programs that are compliant with DoD policy. The programs are healthy, but they are young and still evolving. Academy Assessment Report highlights include:

- Academy incidence of sexual misconduct generally comparable to or lower than those at U.S. Colleges
- APY incidence of unwanted sexual contact remains at about 9% for women, and 1% for men
- Restricted and Unrestricted Reports have decreased and there is significant underreporting of the crime.

The complete report can be found at www.sapr.mil.

Additional Internal Oversight

The Department engaged in the following additional oversight activities during FY2008:

- *USFJ SAPR Task Force* – The Department assisted US Forces Japan (USFJ) with its request for a subject matter expert to support a task force that was assembled in response to episodes of misconduct by US personnel stationed in Japan. The task force was directed to hold informal discussions with personnel

at US military installations in Japan, review SAPR programs and messaging, and search for ways to reinforce existing Service-directed programs.

- *Major Case Review and Victim Inquiry* – Oversight of the Services is also provided by the Department by reviewing high interest cases after their closure. This allows the Department to evaluate how its SAPR policy was applied and executed. In addition, the Department works with the Services to resolve victim inquiries made through SAPRO's website. This information is used to inform policy and resolve conflicts that arise through application of its policy and enhance program implementation.

- *Stakeholder Feedback from the Field* – The Department informed its oversight efforts by securing feedback from more than 300 SARCs and SAPR program managers stationed throughout the world who attended a DoD sponsored SARC conference in July 2008. Participants were asked to provide their observations of how SAPR programs are working in the field. The information collected is being used by the Department to address short- and long-term programmatic needs.

Government Accountability Office Report

During FY08, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) conducted a review of the Department's SAPR programs. On August 29, 2008, the GAO released its report entitled, *Military Personnel: DoD's and the Coast Guard's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Programs Face Implementation and Oversight Challenges (*GAO Code 351062/GAO-08-924). The GAO's recommendations are listed below. Each recommendation is followed by an update on the action taken by the Department of Defense prior to October 1, 2008, as well as planned actions for FY09. The Department welcomed this review and used the opportunity to highlight some of the problem policy areas it was working to resolve. In order to highlight where this overlap of effort occurred, the section below will direct the reader to the pertinent section of this report for a complete discussion.

1. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD(P&R)) to review and evaluate the Department's policies for the prevention and response of sexual assault to ensure that adequate guidance is provided to effectively implement the program in deployed environments and joint environments.

    - ***FY08 DoD Actions:*** The Department brought this issue to the GAO's attention to underscore its commitment to caring for victims in joint and deployed environments. *See Program Highlights, Response*

Capabilities, Joint and Deployed Environments, pp. 31 - 32 for a discussion of this issue.

- ***FY09 Way Ahead:*** *See Way Ahead for Program, Response Capabilities, Joint and Deployed Environments, p. 50 for a discussion of this issue.*

2. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct USD(P&R) to evaluate the Military Services' processes for staffing and designating key installation-level program positions, such as SARCs, at installations in the United States and overseas, to ensure that these individuals have the ability and resources to fully carry out their responsibilities.

   - ***FY08 DoD Actions:*** As discussed in the section on Policy Assistance Visits, the Department incorporated this issue for examination during PAT visits. In addition, the Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services (DTFSAMS) will be evaluating the effectiveness of staffing configurations during its review of DoD SAPR programs from 2008-2009.

   - ***FY09 Way Ahead:*** The Department will review its policy regarding staffing key positions such as SARCs. Issues concerning the use of contractors or collateral duty versus full time positions will be examined as well as qualifications and required training for the positions. Additionally, it is anticipated that DTFSAMS will make several policy recommendations about SAPR staffing in its report to Congress in Aug 2009.

3. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct USD(P&R) to review and evaluate SAPR training to ensure the Military Services are meeting training requirements and to enhance the effectiveness of the training.

   - ***FY08 DoD Actions*****:** *See Program Highlights, Training, pp. 25 - 26 for a discussion of this issue.*

   - ***FY09 Way Ahead:*** *See Way Ahead for Program, Training, p. 48 and Prevention, p. 49 for a discussion of this issue.*

4. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct USD (P&R) to systematically evaluate and develop an action plan to address any factors that may prevent or discourage Service members from accessing mental health services following a sexual assault.

- ***FY08 DoD Actions:*** Work in this area was already underway as part of the Department's response to the recommendations of the DoD Mental Health Task Force. The Defense Center of Excellence (DCoE) for Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury is the focal point for improvements to military mental healthcare. One of DCoE's missions is to address factors that may prevent or discourage Service members from accessing mental health services for any purpose. DCoE is enhancing the military's campaign to reduce the stigma of seeking help through partnerships with the Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, National Institutes of Health, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Agency, DoD coalition partners, and others in the public and private sectors. The Department believes that an important part of reducing stigma is education. The DCoE will be proposing a standardized curriculum for psychological health and TBI education for leaders, Service members, and family members. In the interim, each Service will implement training that adheres to the Department's overarching principles and is adaptable to the culture of its own Service.

- This issue also being addressed through the tracking of Restricted Reporting and service referrals in this Annual Report to Congress. Service referrals, especially those made in Restricted Reports, encourage Service members to access mental health services after a sexual assault.

- The Secretary of Defense has directed that the Department take steps to decrease reporting stigma and hold commanders accountable for support of the SAPR program. SAPRO worked with the Military Services to develop an action plan on this tasking in FY08.

- ***FY09 Way Ahead:*** The Department will continue the efforts described above. SAPRO will continue to work with DCoE and the Military Services to ensure that sexual assault victims are appropriately considered in all upcoming initiatives to decrease stigma and improve command climate for help seeking. The Department will also encourage the Military Services to continue to publicize the benefits of Restricted Reporting, which include confidential referral and access of mental healthcare. In addition, the Department will review whether SAPR commander training across the Services needs to be updated to encourage assistance seeking by all under their command.

5. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct the Service Secretaries to emphasize to all levels of command their responsibility for supporting the program, and review the extent to which commanders support the program and resources are available to raise Service members' awareness of sexual assault matters.

   - ***FY08 DoD Actions:*** USD(P&R) sent a memorandum to the Secretaries of the Military Departments, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Under Secretaries of Defense, and Commanders of the Combatant Commands soliciting their feedback on five critical missions of SAPR in-theater. As stated in #4 above, the Secretary of Defense has also emphasized his desire for commanders to take greater responsibility for the SAPR program.

   - ***FY09 Way Ahead****:* The Department will continue to emphasize commander involvement and support of the SAPR program through media opportunities such as PSAs directed toward commanders, annual policy assistance visits and bi-annual assessment of the Military Service Academies. The SAAC Training Subcommittee is examining whether training products for commanders need to be updated to enhance their understanding and support of SAPR.

6. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct USD(P&R) to require SAPRO to develop an oversight framework to guide continued program implementation and evaluate program effectiveness. At a minimum, such a framework should contain long-term goals, objectives, and milestones; performance goals; strategies to be used to accomplish goals; and criteria for measuring progress.

   - ***FY08 DoD Actions*****:** The Department initiated work on a more comprehensive oversight framework to meet the GAO's recommendation in FY08. *See Program Highlights, Oversight, p. 13 for a discussion of current oversight efforts.*

   - ***FY09 Way Ahead:*** The Department will develop a strategic plan in cooperation with its stakeholders to guide its efforts through FY13. *See also Way Ahead for Program, Oversight, p. 47.*

7. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct USD(P&R) to improve the usefulness of the Department's annual report as an oversight tool both internally and for congressional decision makers by establishing baseline data to permit analysis of data over time, and reporting data so as to distinguish cases in which (1) evidence was insufficient to substantiate an alleged assault, (2) a victim recanted, or (3) the allegations of sexual assault were unfounded.

- ***FY08 DoD Actions:*** The Department initiated efforts to develop an integrated SAPR data collection system, and also clarified the definitions used to report the outcomes of sexual assault reports. *See Program Highlights, Oversight, Improved Data Collection and Reporting, p. 16 - 17 for a discussion of the issue.*

- ***FY09 Way Ahead:*** The Department will continue development of the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). *See Way Ahead, Oversight, p. 47 for a discussion of the issue.*

8. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct the Service Secretaries to provide installation-level incident data to SAPRO annually or as requested to facilitate analysis of sexual assault related data and better target resources over time.

   - ***FY08 DoD Actions*:** The Department initiated efforts to develop the Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID), an integrated SAPR data collection system. *See Program Highlights, Oversight, Improved Data Collection and Reporting, p. 16 -17 for a discussion of the issue.*

   - ***FY09 Way Ahead*:** The Department will continue development of DSAID. *See Way Ahead, Oversight, p.47 for a discussion of the issue.*

9. The GAO recommends that the Secretary of Defense direct the Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services (DTFSAMS) to begin its examination immediately, now that all members of the task force are appointed, and to develop a detailed plan with milestones to guide its work.

   - ***FY08 DoD Actions:*** DTFSAMS held its first administrative meeting in May. The first official meeting was held 11-15 August, 2008. This recommendation has been met.

   - ***FY09 Way Ahead:*** DTFSAMS is expected to release its report in FY09.

Policy Development

Policy development efforts were led by the SAAC Policy Subcommittee, which includes representatives of all of the SAAC's members and advisors. The committee was faced with numerous policy challenges to resolve. It chose to prioritize issues for resolution that impact victim care and support. Once these critical issues are resolved, the committee will turn its attention to resolving the remaining issues.

Due to the complexity of some of the issues facing the policy subcommittee, a number of working groups comprised of subject matter experts were established to address particular issues. The working groups included a Legal / Investigative Working Group, a Joint Environments Working Group and a Medical Forensic Working Group. Consistent with the goals of the subcommittee, each of these areas are critical to victim care and support.

The major policy development during FY08 was the drafting of modifications to DoD Directive 6495.01[9] and DoD Instruction 6495.02.[10] The modifications did the following:

- Updated the definition of sexual assault to address changes to the Uniform Code of Military Justice;
- Updated the definition of healthcare personnel to overcome challenges being encountered in Restricted Reports;
- Modified DD Form 2910, the Victim Reporting Preference Statement, to assist victims who make Restricted Reports and later need to seek disability from the Department of Veterans Affairs; and
- Took the first steps toward eliminating inconsistencies between current Line of Duty (LOD) processes for Guard and Reserves and Restricted Reporting. DoD SAPR policy gives active duty sexual assault victims access to confidential care and treatment by making a Restricted Report. Normally, for Guard and Reserve members to continue medical care once they transition out of active service, an LOD determination must be made. Service LOD processes currently conflict with Restricted Reporting. The policy modifications direct the Services to evaluate what changes to Service LOD policies are necessary to ensure that they no longer conflict with Restricted Reporting, which will enhance the ability of members of the Reserve component to access confidential care and treatment.

[9] Changes incorporated and signed on November 7, 2008, subsequent to the end of the period covered by this report.
[10] Changes incorporated and signed on November 13, 2008, subsequent to the end of the period covered by this report.

The SAAC policy subcommittee also drafted standards on sexual assault response, which were presented to the SAAC. The response standards will be used by the SAAC Research Subcommittee to develop evaluation metrics.

The SAAC Policy Subcommittee also continued to address the following issues during FY08:

- *Conflict between Restricted Reporting and Civilian Mandatory Reporting Laws* – In a number of states, mandatory sexual assault reporting laws have interfered with the ability of Service members to make Restricted Reports. These laws require healthcare providers to report to law enforcement that they have treated a victim of sexual assault.[11] It is unlikely that this problem will be completely resolved without the cooperation of the civilian community, which the military is working to obtain. The greatest challenges are currently being encountered in California. On August 5, 2008, a hearing was held before the California Assembly Veterans Affairs Committee, Senate Veterans Affairs Committee and Legislative Women's Caucus. The Department submitted written testimony to call attention to this challenge and demonstrate how state reporting laws negatively impact reporting and victim care under DoD policy.
- *Extending the SAPR Program to DoD civilians and DoD Contractors* – The Department continues to address the extension of SAPR policy to DoD civilians and DoD contractors, particularly in contingency operations such as Iraq and Afghanistan. A working group has been established for this purpose and will stand up when the DoD Inspector General investigation[12] into this issue is completed.
- *Joint Environments – See Program Highlights, Response Capabilities, Joint and Deployed Environments, p. 31 - 32 for a discussion of this issue.*
- *Medical Forensic Issues*– The Medical Forensic Working Group held a conference call to preliminarily discuss challenges with the DoD Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) kit and DD Form 2911, Forensic Medical Report Sexual Assault Examination, which is Enclosure 14 in DoD Instruction 6495.02. A meeting with subject matter experts was held on February 4, 2009 to resolve the issues that were identified.

[11] A complete list of state mandatory reporting laws is available on the SAPRO website at www.sapr.mil.
[12] "Evaluation of DoD Sexual Assault Response in Operations Enduring and Iraqi Freedom Areas of Operation," Project Number 2008C003, and "Audit of DoD and DoD Contractor Efforts to Prevent Sexual Assault / Harassment Involving Contractor Employees Within Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom Areas of Operation," Project Number D2008-D00CE-0221.000.

Training

In order to comply with DoD policy, the Military Services must provide each Service member training on sexual assault prevention and response annually, and at different points in their careers, from accession to the service, to Professional Military Education, through assumption of command. All Military Services have successfully implemented comprehensive SAPR training. Many programs use interactive methods and practice scenarios to maximize training effectiveness.

During FY08, the SAAC Training Subcommittee reviewed Service training policy and took steps to enhance current efforts, including:

- *Service member training* – The Services continue to provide initial and refresher training for all personnel, as required by DoD policy. In addition to in-person, instructor led SAPR training, the Services also leverage new technology, such as webinars and internet-based courses, to deliver material. The SAAC Training Subcommittee reviewed Service training policy and found that a greater level of detail was needed in policy to guide the Services in executing training requirements. The SAAC Training Subcommittee recommended revising SAPR training policy specific to training related to accession to service, annual training, Professional Military Education, pre-deployment and post-deployment reintegration training, pre-Command training for Flag and General Officer, members in the Senior Executive Service, and civilians who supervise military members.

- *Responder training* – The SAAC Training Subcommittee began to review DoD Instruction 6495.02, Enclosure 6, which describes the specialized training requirements for First responders, such as SARCs, VAs, healthcare personnel, attorneys, chaplains and investigators. Additional responders, such as commanders and victim-witness assistance personnel training requirements are also under review.

- *Training oversight* – Policy Assistance Team visits solicited feedback from Service members about training content, frequency, medium used, and perceptions of effectiveness. The SAAC Training Subcommittee developed an action plan for FY09 that includes review of training programs by Department Policy Assistance Visit teams and Military Service Inspectors General.

- *Joint and Deployed Environments – See Program Highlights, Response Capabilities, Joint and Deployed Environments, p. 31 - 32 for a discussion of this issue.*

- *Department of Justice, Office on Victims of Crime (OVC) / Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape (PCAR) Collaborative Training Project* - PCAR, one

of the nation's leaders in SAPR, received a grant from OVC to develop a training program for civilian advocates to better support Service member victims of sexual assault. The training project, *Strengthening Military-Civilian Community Partnerships to Respond to Sexual Assault*, is a collaborative effort between OVC, PCAR, the Department of Defense and Military Services. The curriculum was drafted during FY08 and teams have completed pilot training programs.

Outreach Activities

Over the past year, the Department worked closely with military and civilian experts, Members of Congress, and the media to publicize the SAPR program via a variety of methods:

- *Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM), April 2008* – The theme for SAAM was "Prevent Sexual Assault: Ask! Act! Intervene!" It focused on the ability of every Service member to prevent sexual assault by taking an active role in looking out for the welfare of friends and co-workers. On April 8, 2008, the Department sponsored the symposium *Intersection between Sexual Assault and Alcohol: Implications for Prevention, Policy and Practice* to provide educational resources to Department SAPR stakeholders about alcohol facilitated sexual assault.

- *Policy Assistance through Conferences, Training, and Information Sharing* – The Department provided ongoing subject-matter expertise to attendees of national, military, federal, and state training seminars and conferences by way of presentations and one-on-one consultations. SAPRO staff made presentations on DoD SAPR policy, confidentiality, civilian mandatory rape reporting laws, alcohol facilitated sexual assault, advanced sexual assault investigative techniques, military and civilian community collaboration, and victims' rights in the military.

SAPRO Supported the Following in FY08

- Air Force Office of Special Investigations, Region 8
- Armed Forces Institute of Pathology
- Department of Justice, Office of Victims of Crime
- Department of Veterans Affairs, Military Sexual Trauma Coordinators
- Department of Veterans Affairs, National Coalition for Homeless Veterans
- National Guard Bureau Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program
- National Organization for Victim Assistance
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape
- United States Army Trial Counsel Assistance Program
- United States Air Force Judge Advocate General School
- United States Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program

- United States Marine Corps Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program
- United States Navy Sexual Assault Victim Intervention Program

- *Media Relations* – The Department received a variety of requests for interviews from national news organizations. Interviews or written statements on the Department's SAPR program were provided to a number of national outlets, including NBC, PBS, NPR, Washington Post, and CNN.

- *Legislative Affairs* – Throughout the year, SAPRO maintained close contact and established working relationships with Members of Congress. SAPRO responded to inquires from the Senate Armed Services Committee (SASC), Senator Barbara Mikulski (MD), Senator Russell Feingold (WI), Senator Hillary Rodham Clinton (NY), Senator John Thune (SD), Senator Robert Casey (PA), the House Armed Services Committee (HASC), Representative Louise Slaughter (NY), Representative Jane Harman (CA), and Representative Michael Turner (OH). SAPRO also presented testimony to the HASC. Additionally, SAPRO briefed the SASC and HASC professional staff and the Women's Caucus on the Department of Defense FY07 Report on Sexual Assault in the Military. In December 2007, SAPRO delivered the congressionally mandated annual report on Sexual Harassment and Violence at the U.S. Military Service Academies to the HASC and SASC.

- *Defense Media Activity (DMA)* – The SAAC Outreach Subcommittee established an agreement with the DMA to produce a series of Public Service Announcements (PSAs). The PSAs are broadcast at military installations in over 177 countries around the world. The first set of PSAs focused on the prevention of sexual assault by encouraging bystander intervention.

Prevention Activities

When the Department of Defense initially stood up its SAPR programs, the focus was on responding to sexual assault victims. One victim of sexual assault is too many. In order to ensure that fewer people are victimized, the Department of Defense is moving ahead with a comprehensive prevention initiative. During FY08, the Department of Defense collaborated with the nation's experts on the prevention of sexual assault to draft a prevention strategy. The strategy includes the following components:

- *Intervention at Multiple Levels of the Social Ecology* – Sexual assault is a social and public health problem that impacts and is impacted by cultural, organizational, community, peer, family, and individual factors. Six recent comprehensive reviews of factors associated with interpersonal violence and its prevention strongly recommend intervening at multiple levels of the social

ecology (i.e., at the level of the individual, family, peer group, community, organization, and society).[13] Reducing or eliminating sexual assault will require a comprehensive and coordinated set of interventions at all levels of the social ecology.

- *Use of the Spectrum of Prevention to Create a National Benchmark Program* – The Spectrum of Prevention[14] describes several populations and levels of influence from the social ecology of an organization that are appropriate targets for intervention. The Spectrum ranges from training for individuals at the lowest level to influencing legislation and policy at the highest levels within an organization. By addressing sexual assault at each of the six levels of the Spectrum, the Department of Defense would be in the forefront of prevention nationally and would provide a model for other organizations to follow. In order to determine the effectiveness of prevention policy directives as they are transformed into programming, the Sexual Assault Prevention Task Force will be established that will need to develop procedures for conducting formative, process, outcome, and impact evaluation activities alongside prevention activities at each level of the Spectrum of Prevention.

  Key recommendations for each level of the spectrum are addressed below.

  1. *Influencing Policy and Legislation* – The Department, Congress, and the American people must understand the tremendous scope of this effort and that success is dependent on dedicated funding to ensure that a coordinated, comprehensive strategy is fully implemented, sustained, and evaluated.

  2. *Changing Organizational Practices* – This benchmark program cannot solely be delegated to SARCs if it is to be successful. It is essential that all commanders and NCOs are actively involved in sending the message that sexual assault prevention is an important component of mission readiness and that every troop can and should play a positive role in prevention.

  3. *Fostering Coalitions and Networks* – Increasing networking opportunities

[13] Grauerholz, L. (2000). An ecological approach to understanding sexual revictimization: Linking personal, interpersonal, and sociocultural factors and processes. *Child Maltreatment. Special focus section: Repeat victimization, 5*, 5-17. Heise, L. (1998). Violence against women: An integrated, ecological framework. *Violence Against Women, 4*, 262-290. Mihalic, S., Irwin, K., Elliott, D., Fagan, A., & Hansen, D. (2001, July). *Blueprints for Violence Prevention*. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Juvenile Justice Bulletin. Kerns, S.E.U. & Prinz, R.J. (2002). Critical issues in the prevention of violence-related behavior in youth. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, *5*, 133-160. Neville, H.A., & Heppner, M. J. (2005). Contextualizing rape: Reviewing sequelae and proposing a culturally inclusive ecological model of sexual assault recovery. *Applied and Preventive Psychology, 8*, 41-62.

[14] Cohen, L. & Swift, S. (1999).The Spectrum of Prevention: developing a comprehensive approach to injury prevention. *Injury Prevention, 5*, 203-207.

for SARCs through a listserv and a clearinghouse of training, reference, and messaging materials would be valuable. Also, a culture of collaboration must be infused between the existing programs that may have aligned interests and resources, such as Drug and Alcohol Prevention, Family Services, Medical Commands.

4. *Educating Providers* – Clear, consistent, and state-of-the art education for military leaders and service providers (e.g., SARCs, VAs, healthcare providers, chaplains, law enforcement, criminal investigators, Judge Advocates, family services personnel) across all branches of the military is a vitally important piece of this strategy.

5. *Promoting Community Education* – There is great value in implementing a social marketing campaign that supports overall efforts to prevent sexual assault. It is also important to develop prevention messaging that is used throughout the Spectrum levels. The Military Services can infuse appropriate Service specific cultural identifiers into the media materials and messaging.

6. *Strengthening Individual Knowledge and Skills* – Individuals' knowledge and skills can be strengthened through implementation of the bystander approach: empowering people to intervene in situations leading up to a sexual assault or during an incident, as well as to speak out against social norms that support sexual violence. Each of the other levels of the Spectrum of Prevention should support the goals of this level.

As the new prevention program is implemented, the Department expects reports of sexual assault to rise as victims gain confidence in the system and feel more comfortable reporting. An increase in reports should be viewed as an indicator of short-term success, although the long-term goal is to see the incidence of sexual assault decline.

Response Capabilities

Secretary of Defense's Priorities

In FY08, Secretary of Defense Robert Gates identified four priorities in SAPR for the Department of Defense to address. The priorities are:

- Reducing sexual assault reporting stigma
- Ensuring sufficient commander training and accountability
- Ensuring investigator training and resourcing

- Ensuring trial counsel (prosecutor) training

At the end of FY08, SAPRO and the Services were collaborating on these priority areas and developing proposed action plans for each item. Work on these priorities will continue into FY09.

It should be noted that resolving the latter two issues requires a great deal of collaboration within the Department of Defense. Although SAPRO is the single point of responsibility for sexual assault prevention and response, it does not have oversight over legal processes under the UCMJ or criminal investigative matters. [15] Consequently, in addition to collaborating with the Service SAPR program managers, SAPRO worked with the Service Judge Advocates and Defense Criminal Investigative Organizations on these issues. The Department is confident that by working together, these communities will be able to optimize the investigation and prosecution of sexual assault in the military.

Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations and Lab Availability

Section 596 of P.L. 109-163 requires the Department of Defense to advise Congress whether care to any victim of sexual assault was hindered either by lack of availability of Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations (SAFEs) or other needed supplies, or by the lack of timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources. [16] During FY08, there were no reports to the Department that care to any victim was hindered by either one of these problems.

Number of Responders Trained

Training responders is critical to the success of DoD's programs and to ensuring a worldwide 24 hour / 7 day a week quality response for Service members who are victims of sexual assault. The Services are aggressively working to ensure that all responders are trained. During FY08, the Services trained over 16,000 SARCs and VAs. In addition, they trained over 100,000 Commanders, investigators, Judge Advocates, healthcare personnel and chaplains.

Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

Informing victims of available resources and assisting victims in accessing these resources are critical components of the Department's SAPR program. Ensuring sexual assault victims are afforded every opportunity to receive care is critical to aiding victims along the road to recovery. SARCs serve as the single point of contact to coordinate

[15] DoD Instruction 6495.02, section 5.3.1.
[16] The U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USCACIL) processes SAFE kits for evidence for all U.S. military forces worldwide.

victim care and track the services provided to victims. Available services include medical care, mental health care, legal advice, and spiritual support. In FY08, the Department provided over 2,500 service referrals, which was a substantial increase over the approximately 600 referrals made to victims in FY07. It is important to note that referral categories include military and non-military victims and referral numbers do not align exactly with the total number of victims since some victims requested multiple services while others elected no referrals. As awareness of services and resources increase and data is more systematically collected, it is the Department's expectation that the number of service referrals will rise.

Joint and Deployed Environments

Joint and deployed environments present unique challenges for sexual assault prevention and response. Deployed units may face difficulties in protecting the identity of victims in Restricted Reports or transporting victims to Medical Treatment Facilities in combat zones. Difficulties with case management, delivering care, and tracking services provided to victims may arise as a result of differences among the Services' implementation of their SAPR programs. Victims may also be reluctant to work with SARCs and VAs from another Service. As described previously, the challenges that arise in joint environments were identified by the GAO as an issue that needed to be addressed by the Department.

The challenges that arise in joint and deployed environments are being addressed with a multi-pronged approach. First, the Department used PAT visits and office calls to Combatant Commands to ensure that all challenges arising in joint environments were identified. A PAT visit to USPACOM was completed in August 2008. Office calls to USSOCOM J-1 and USCENTCOM J-1 were held in July 2008. Next, both the SAAC Policy Subcommittee and the SAAC Training Subcommittee have worked to identify solutions to challenges. Their efforts are described below.

- *Policy* – The SAAC Policy Subcommittee Joint Environments Working Group includes representatives from SAPRO, the Services, the Joint Chiefs of Staff / J1, and USCENTCOM. It is examining the response standards as well as DoD SAPR policy to determine if either needs to be modified to ensure that adequate guidance is provided to effectively implement the program in joint and deployed environments. The group is also examining whether any joint publications need to be modified.
- *Policy and Operational Gaps* – The SAAC Training Subcommittee identified areas of concern between policy requirements and operational implementation in-theater and took the first step toward eliminating this gap. USD(P&R) polled the Secretaries of the Military Departments, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, Under Secretaries of Defense and Commanders of the Combatant Commands to help resolve these gaps and to emphasize the

responsibility for senior commanders to accomplish five critical missions within their areas of responsibility:

- Ensure Commanders have identified the SARC in their respective operational environments (OE), to include joint operations area (JOA), and that all SARCs are current in their training. All SARCs should be identified and trained prior to deployment.
- Ensure there is a 24 hour/7 day a week response capability for victims within their respective OE, including JOA.
- Ensure Commanders and all first responders (i.e. SARCs, VAs, Military Criminal Investigative Organizations, health care personnel), know how to access available resources (e.g., medical, counseling, and legal assistance) and how to assist a victim to access these resources.
- Ensure all personnel in-theater receive training on sexual assault reporting options and how to contact VAs and/or SARCs in the AOR, particularly when personnel are deployed to forward operating bases or other areas where support services may be limited.
- Ensure senior officers have received the mandatory pre-deployment SAPR training.

- *Training* – The SAAC Training Subcommittee began to examine training requirements in deployed and joint environments.

AGGREGATE REPORT OF SEXUAL ASSAULT INCIDENTS

The Department's sexual assault statistics include all sexual crimes against adults under the UCMJ. Under the new UCMJ definitions, these crimes include rape, aggravated sexual assault, nonconsensual sodomy, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, and attempts to commit these offenses. For incidents that occurred prior to the UCMJ changes on October 1, 2007, sexual assault included rape, nonconsensual sodomy, indecent assault and attempts to commit these acts.

In FY08, there were 2,908 reports of sexual assault involving military Service members, representing an eight percent increase from FY07.

- The Services received 2,265 Unrestricted Reports involving Service members as either the subject and/or victim of sexual assault (a 9% increase from FY07).
- The Services received 753 Restricted Reports involving Service members as victims of sexual assault (a 7% increase from FY07).
    - 110 (or 15%) of the Restricted Reports initially received converted to Unrestricted Reports at the victims' request, leaving 643 remaining Restricted Reports.

The overall increase in reports of sexual assault in the military is encouraging. The Department is seeking greater reporting of sexual assault so that it can better treat and restore resiliency to victims of sexual assault. The Department also encourages greater reporting to hold offenders accountable for this crime. The Department expects that yearly increases in reporting will be an indicator of improved Service member confidence in the Department of Defense's SAPR program.

It should be noted that increased **reports** of sexual assault do not reflect a rise in annual **incidents** of sexual assault. Sexual assault is one of the most underreported crimes in the United States. Estimates suggest that only a small percentage of sexual assaults are ever reported to the police. The Department expects that the same is true for military society as well. An increase in the number of reported cases means that the Department is capturing a greater proportion of the cases occurring each year. DoD survey data estimates that many other sexual assaults are never reported to Department authorities. Additional information about the significance of increased reporting is discussed further in the strategic observations section of this report.

FY08 Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault

An Unrestricted Report of sexual assault is a report that is provided to command and/or law enforcement for investigation. Data from Unrestricted Reports are collected and reported to the Department by the Military Criminal Investigative Organizations (the Army Criminal Investigations Command, the Naval Criminal Investigative Service, and the Air Force Office of Special Investigations). Currently, the Department of Defense records when the report was received, not when the incident occurred.

In FY08, there were 2,265 Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault involving Service members as either the subject[17] and/or victim of a sexual assault (see Table 2).

- 1,594 (or 70%) of the total number of Unrestricted Reports involved Service members as victims. Because some incidents involved multiple victims, there were 1,752 Service member victims in the 1,594 reports of sexual assault.


Table 2: Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault Involving Service Members as the Victim and/or Subject by Type of Offense

Type of Sexual Assault Offense	Total Unrestricted Reports in FY08	Number of Service Member Victims	Number of Non-Service Member Victims
Rape	924	588	336
Aggravated Sexual Assault	498	347	151
Nonconsensual Sodomy	126	95	31
Indecent Assault	59	47	12
Aggravated Sexual Contact	68	49	19
Abusive Sexual Contact	142	117	25
Wrongful Sexual Contact	442	347	95
Attempts to Commit Offenses	6	4	2
Total Unrestricted Reports in FY08	2,265	1,594	671

Every year a considerable number of reported incidents of sexual assault involve the victimization of Service members by Service members. In FY08, 1,158 (or 51%) of the Unrestricted Reports involved allegations of Service member on Service member sexual assault. The Department incorporates information like this with scientific research to inform its SAPR initiatives. Figure 1 illustrates how Service members may be involved in a report of sexual assault.

[17] The term "subject" is used in the military to denote the suspected perpetrator of an offense.

Figure 1: Service Members as Victims and Alleged Offenders of Sexual Assault in FY08



Disposition of Completed Investigations

Sexual assault investigations and outcomes of those cases sometimes cross reporting periods. Consequently, the following information includes data about FY08 reports of sexual assault with completed investigations in FY08, as well as data from pending investigations from reports made in prior years that were completed in FY08 (see Table 3).

Table 3: Completed and Pending Investigations Resulting from Sexual Assault Reports Made During and Prior to FY08

Investigations Completed in FY08 Resulting from Reports Made During and Prior to FY08	Reports Made Prior to FY08	Reports Made During FY08	Total FY08
Total Investigations of Unrestricted Reports of Sexual Assault	*869*	*2,265*	*3,134*
# Investigations **Completed** as of 30-SEP-08 (involving one or more subject)	**853**	**1,536**	**2,389**
# Investigations Still **Pending** as of 30-SEP-08	16	729	745

The 2,389 completed FY08 investigations involved 2,763 subjects.[18] These subjects included individuals under a military commander's legal authority, as well as civilians and foreign nationals that may not be subject to military law.

- Of the 2,763 subjects, 592 subjects were pending disposition action as of September 30, 2008 and will be reported in a future annual report.
- Subtracting the 592 subjects whose dispositions were pending from the total of 2,763 leaves 2,171 subjects with final dispositions in FY08. Even though investigations had been completed, commanders could not take disciplinary action on 1,339 subjects for the following reasons (Table 4):
  - 136 subjects were civilians or foreign nationals who were not subject to UCMJ authority;
  - 129 subjects were unidentified;
  - 1,074 subjects were involved in cases that were unsubstantiated or unfounded, lacked sufficient evidence, involved a victim that recanted, or involved a subject or victim who died. Note: the newly created data definitions will replace this category with more specific and narrowly defined categories allowing for more thorough analysis beginning in FY09.
- In all investigations completed in FY08, commanders had jurisdiction and sufficient evidence of a crime to support taking disciplinary action on 832 subjects. The actions taken against these 832 subjects are broken down as follows:
  - 317 courts-martial (or 38%),
  - 247 nonjudicial punishments (or 30%), and
  - 268 administrative actions and discharges (or 32%).

For a more thorough discussion of investigation and prosecution, see *Strategic Observations, pp. 51 - 61.*

[18] Some of the reports involved more than one offender.

Table 4: Dispositions of Subjects from Investigations Completed in FY08

Disposition of Subjects from Investigations Completed in FY08, Resulting from Reports made During and Prior to FY08			A Reports made Prior to FY08	B Reports Made During FY08	C Total FY08
	Total Subjects		**1,092**	**1,671**	**2,763**
I	**Subjects Pending Disposition as of 30-SEP-08**		**187**	**405**	**592**
II	**Reasons Commander could Not Take Action**		**498**	**841**	**1,339**
	a	# Under Civilian Court Action/Foreign Authority Action	47	89	136
	b	# Unidentified Subject; Punitive Action Not Possible	38	91	129
	c	# Unsubstantiated/Unfounded/Insufficient Evidence, etc.	413	661	1,074
III	**Command Action Taken on Subjects**		**407**	**425**	**832**
	a	# Courts-martial	215	102	317
	b	# Nonjudicial Punishment	91	156	247
	c	# Other Administrative Actions and Discharges	101	167	268

Note: Bolded column totals add to the total number of subjects: 832 + 1,339 + 592 = 2,763

Demographics of Unrestricted Reports

For the purpose of analyzing demographics, the number of victims and subjects in Unrestricted Reports was derived from data from investigations completed during FY08. In FY08, there were 1,694 victims and 1,671 subjects in completed investigations. A breakdown of the victims and subjects by gender, age, and grade is provided in Table 5.

Figure 2: Age of Service Member VICTIMS in Unrestricted Reports in FY08[19]





Figure 3: Grade of Service Member VICTIMS in Unrestricted Reports in FY08[20]



[19] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
[20] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Figure 4: Age of Service Member SUBJECTS in Unrestricted Reports in FY08[21]





Figure 5: Grade of Service Member SUBJECTS in Unrestricted Reports in FY08[22]



[21] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
[22] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

FY08 Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault

Information on Restricted Reports is collected by SARCs. Because Restricted Reports are confidential as defined in SAPR policy, SARCs only collect limited data about the victim and the allegation being made. As with Unrestricted Reports, Restricted Reports can be made for incidents that occurred in prior reporting periods and incidents that occurred prior to military service.


In FY08, there were 753 Restricted Reports of sexual assault.

- Of these 753 reports, 110 (or 15%) converted to Unrestricted Reports at the request of the victim.
- At the close of FY08, 643 remained Restricted.[23]

Demographics of Restricted Reports of Sexual Assault


The Services received 753 Restricted Reports of sexual assault in FY08. The demographics are depicted in figures 6 and 7.

Figure 6: Age of Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports in FY08[24]



[23] The Restricted Reports that converted to Unrestricted Reports are included in the total of 2,265 Unrestricted Reports cited earlier.
[24] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Figure 7: Grade of Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports in FY08[25]



FY08 Reports of Sexual Assault in Combat Areas of Interest

For the purposes of this report, combat areas of interest include Bahrain, Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon, Syria, Yemen, Egypt, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, Iran, Pakistan and Afghanistan. Arduous conditions in combat areas of interest make sexual assault response and data collection very difficult. However, there are SARCs and VAs in place in these areas, including Afghanistan and Iraq. Larger field hospitals are outfitted with SAFE kits for evidence collection. The recorded location of sexual assaults reported in this section may be subject to change as information is validated over time. Also, the data reported below have been included in the total number of Unrestricted Reports and Restricted Reports described in previous sections.

In FY08, there were 251 total reports of sexual assault in combat areas of interest. One hundred and sixty-three (or 65%) of these reports occurred in Iraq and Afghanistan.


- 204 were Unrestricted Reports, of which 141 (or 69%) were made in Iraq and 22 (or 11%) were made in Afghanistan. The remaining 41 (or 20%) Unrestricted Reports were made in other combat areas of interest.
- 47 were Restricted Reports; 10 (or 21%) converted to Unrestricted Reports at the victim's request. Of the 37 remaining Restricted Reports, two (5%) were made in Iraq and the rest were made in other combat areas of interest.

[25] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Demographics of Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

For the purposes of analyzing demographics, the number of victims and subjects in Unrestricted Reports made in combat areas of interest was derived from investigations completed in FY08. In FY08, there were 192 victims and 178 subjects in completed investigations.

Figure 8: Age of Service Member VICTIMS in Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest FY08[26]



[26] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Figure 9: Grade of Service Member VICTIMS in Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest FY08[27]






Figure 10: Age of Service Member SUBJECTS in Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest FY08[28]



[27] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
[28] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Figure 11: Grade of Service Member SUBJECTS in Unrestricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest FY08[29]



Demographics of Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest

47 Restricted Reports of sexual assault were made in combat areas of interest in FY08. Of these, 10 reports converted to Unrestricted Reports at the request of the victims, leaving 37 Restricted Reports. The following figures represent the demographics of the victims in these cases.

[29] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

Figure 12: Age of Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest FY08[30]





Figure 13: Grade of Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports in Combat Areas of Interest FY08[31]



[30] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.
[31] Note that the percentages may not sum to 100 due to rounding.

This page intentionally left blank.

WAY AHEAD FOR PROGRAM

Oversight and Program Accountability

The Department has already begun to address the findings and recommendations of the PAT reports and the GAO. The necessary changes to policy and program implementation are underway as discussed throughout this report. During FY09 and beyond:

- The Department will develop and implement an oversight framework that incorporates current oversight measures as well as establish reliable methods for the measurement of program effectiveness.
- The SAAC is scheduled to meet twice in FY09. Its subcommittees will continue to address the issues as described throughout this report.
- The Department will continue development of its new database, Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database (DSAID). The new data definitions will be incorporated into DSAID.

Policy Development

The SAAC Policy Subcommittee will develop an action plan for FY09 to identify policy challenges and resolve them. It will continue to maintain its focus on resolving issues that directly impact victim care and support. The Subcommittee and its working groups will continue to address the following issues:

- *LOD Policy* – The Department will ensure that the Services make any changes necessary to their LOD policies to ensure that they no longer conflict with Restricted Reporting.
- *Joint environments – See Way Ahead for Program, Response Capabilities, Joint and Deployed Environments, p. 50 for a discussion of this issue.*
- *Medical / forensic issues* – The SAAC Policy Subcommittee Medical Forensic Working Group will review the DoD SAFE kit to ensure that it complies with best practices and the United States Attorney General's National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations.
- *The issue of extending SAPR to civilians and contractors* – A working group will be stood up to address this issue once the DoD IG releases its report on the topic.
- *The conflict between Restricted Reporting and civilian mandatory reporting laws*

- *The policy will be updated to include the new data definitions and reflect the use of the new database, DSAID. Outdated language regarding other data collection will be removed.*
- *See Training, below, for a discussion of policy changes regarding training.*

Training

The SAAC Training Subcommittee will continue to address the adequacy of training requirements in Department policy. The Subcommittee will incorporate civilian first responder best practices into DoD training, review SARC/VA selection criteria, and develop a training oversight process. Specific actions will also include the following:

- *Oversight* – The subcommittee plans to conduct site visits to review and evaluate existing SAPR training programs. The Department will also work with each Military Service to develop metrics for training and educational programs. Accession and Commander training will also be reviewed in 2009. In addition, OSD will request each Military Service SAPR program to work with its Inspector General to ensure maximum compliance with the Department and Service policy requirements.
- *Policy* – The SAAC Training Subcommittee is standing up working groups to draft enhanced training requirements for commanders, investigators, prosecutors, victim-witness assistance personnel, and health care personnel to better work with victims of sexual assault.
- *OVC/PCAR Project* – The next phase of the project will involve the completion of the training curriculum and training of advocates from civilian rape crises centers and nearby military bases around the country.
- *Rape, Abuse and Incest National Network (RAINN) Project* – SAPRO will collaborate with RAINN to train its employees and customize the National Sexual Assault Online Hotline so that it may be provided as a new resource for Service members who are victims of sexual assault as well as for victims of sexual assaults committed by military members, thus enabling more Service members to access critical support services.

Outreach Activities

The Outreach Subcommittee will work to implement the Department's Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy in FY09.

- *Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM)* – SAAM in April 2009 will mark the debut of Department's new social marketing campaign entitled, "Our Strength is for Defending." This campaign encourages Service members to use their

strength to safely intervene and prevent sexual before it occurs. Service specific posters, public service announcements, and awareness training materials are in development for global distribution to commanders and SARCs. Materials were developed in collaboration with Men Can Stop Rape and informed by Service member focus group feedback.

- *Conferences, Training, and Information Sharing* – The Department will continue to provide subject-matter expertise to both the Military Services and civilian partners.
- *Collaboration* – The Department will continue to strengthen its partnerships with federal, state and other civilian agencies committed to the prevention of sexual assault.

Prevention Activities

The Department will require the Military Services to incorporate the DoD Prevention Strategy into their SAPR programming. Senior Department leadership will meet with senior Service leadership to engage their support of this monumental program. The Department expects the Services to adapt the strategy to fit within their unique cultures. The Army and the Air Force have already taken steps to launch or reinvigorate their prevention efforts for FY09.

Response Capabilities

4 Priorities of the Secretary of Defense

SAPRO will continue to collaborate with the Services on addressing the Secretary's four priorities.

Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations and Lab Availability

The Department will continue to monitor whether care to any victim of sexual assault was hindered either by lack of availability of a SAFE kit or other needed supplies or by the lack of timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources.

Number of Responders Trained

The Department will continue to aggressively train responders to ensure victims of sexual assault have access to competent care wherever they are stationed throughout the world 24 hours a day / 7 days a week.

Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

The Department will continue to track service referrals for military victims of sexual assault. Efforts will be made to ensure that all victims receive the service referrals they need.

Joint and Deployed Environments

The Joint Environments Working Group of the SAAC Policy subcommittee will continue to work to draft proposed policy modifications to alleviate any confusion that exists in joint environments. In addition, the SAAC Training Subcommittee will continue to address training issues regarding joint and deployed environments.

STRATEGIC OBSERVATIONS

The Department of Defense is Embarking on Unprecedented Efforts to Prevent Sexual Assault

The Department of Defense and the Services have begun a transformative mission: to prevent sexual assault in the military. No other institution of the size and scope of the Department of Defense has undertaken such a comprehensive approach to sexual assault prevention. All of the Services are making great strides to institute effective prevention strategies. The Department is working to establish a culture wherein Service members have a duty not only learn how to salute and wear the uniform, but also understand that mission readiness relies on respect for fellow Service members as well.

The Department Encourages Greater Reporting of Sexual Assault

The Department of Defense is focusing its efforts on encouraging a climate of confidence wherein victims of sexual assault feel free to report the crime without fear of retribution, stigma or negative career impact. A recent study in the Journal of Epidemiology found that prior sexual assault *doubles* the chance of developing post-deployment PTSD symptoms when the victim is exposed to combat.[32] Sexual assault diminishes individual resiliency, erodes individual and unit mission readiness, and causes long term medical and mental health problems. Consequently, the Department is doing all it can to bring victims forward for treatment. By encouraging victims to report, the Department will be able to connect victims with services which should aid in the healing process.

For the reasons described above, the Department of Defense is working aggressively to increase the reporting of sexual assault. Sexual assault is a vastly underreported crime, in both military and civilian communities. Of the 6.8% of women and 1% of men who reported unwanted sexual contact on the 2006 Defense Manpower Data Center Gender Relations Survey, only one fifth of them reported the matter to an authority. The Department estimates that less than 10% of the sexual assaults that occur annually are reported to DoD. The Department will not be satisfied until the reports of sexual assault to DoD authorities approaches the reports that Service members are making on anonymous surveys. This year, the Department received 2,908 total reports (Restricted and Unrestricted), which is an eight percent increase from FY07. The Department's efforts to encourage greater reporting are working.

[32] Smith, et al., (2008), Prior Assault and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder After Combat Deployment, *Epidemiology,* 19(3) May 2008, 505 – 512.

Restricted Reporting Brings Victims Forward for Assistance

The creation of Restricted Reporting as an option demonstrates the depth of the Department's commitment to the support and treatment of victims by giving them enhanced privacy. This year, 753 Restricted Reports were received by the Department. This 7% increase in Restricted Reports over FY07 demonstrates that the option of Restricted Reporting is bringing more and more victims forward for help. The Department's efforts reflect a movement throughout the country to offer greater assistance anonymously to victims. This movement toward anonymous care and reporting, largely as a result of the Violence Against Women Act, encourages civilian jurisdictions to find ways to offer "Jane Doe" exams in programs similar to the Department's Restricted Reporting. The Department was on the cutting edge when it decided to allow victims to receive anonymous sexual assault forensic examinations. Although the Department of Defense would like to hold every offender accountable, Restricted Reporting brings victims forward for assistance who otherwise would not have sought help. For some victims, Restricted Reporting allows time to regain control over their lives that the sexual assault removed. Once the victim regains that sense of control, he/she may be willing to participate in the military justice process. The Department's policy accounts for this, and allows victims to convert their Restricted Reports to Unrestricted Reports. This year, 15% of victims chose to convert their Restricted Reports and participate in the justice process. Again, had Restricted Reporting not been an option, 753 victims of sexual assault would probably have not come forward for help this year.

Policy modifications have enhanced the ability of Service members to make Restricted Reports. For example, the policy was clarified to enable all healthcare personnel, not just providers, to receive a Restricted Report. Aggressive efforts to improve training programs and ensure that every Service member and all responders are trained are underway and will continue. Outreach efforts focus on educating Service members about their options and encouraging them to report their crime. As the program moves forward, the Department will continue to work to create a climate of confidence in which all victims feel comfortable coming forward to report.

Improved Data Collection and Reporting Will Boost the Department's Ability to Conduct Oversight

The Department's ability to conduct policy oversight and enhance program accountability will be enhanced once DSAID, the new Department-wide sexual assault database, is implemented. It is anticipated that implementation will occur in January 2010. DSAID will provide enhanced transparency of sexual assault related data, while adhering to the privacy required for Restricted Reporting. DSAID will also increase accuracy in reporting sexual assault incidents as it will standardize data and reporting across the


Military Services, including the National Guard and Reserve components. The newly created uniform data definitions will be used in DSAID, increasing the accuracy and uniformity of data. Finally, DSAID will allow data to be analyzed for trends and will provide an enhanced capability to evaluate overall program effectiveness.

Investigation and Prosecution

It should be noted that court-martial actions increased substantially from FY07 to FY08 from 30% to 38%. In FY07, there were 600 cases reported and investigated in which the commander was able to take action. Of these, 181 (30%) were addressed by court-martial action. At the close of FY 08, there were 832 cases reported and investigated in which the commander was able to take action. Of these, 317 (38%) were addressed by court-martial action. Despite this increase in FY 08 reported cases and court-martial action as compared with those reported in the FY 07 report, the question will no doubt be asked why every single reported case did not go to courts-martial. This section provides an answer to that question and rebuts persistent myths about military sexual assault investigation and prosecution.

National studies analyzing sexual assault investigation and prosecution are sparse. The studies that do exist show a significant gap between the reports of sexual assaults made to police and the arrests made in sexual assault cases. Stated another way, arrests occur in a relatively small proportion of reported cases. The graph below depicts the national rate of reports vs. arrests just for the offense of forcible rape, not all offenses the military would include in its definition of sexual assault.

Figure 14[33]



Given what may be popular expectations, some may believe that when a victim makes a report, an investigation always ensues that eventually ends with the arrest and prosecution of the perpetrator. The often overstated and sometimes fictional depictions of forensic science capabilities in the media often give the impression that physical evidence of guilt can be derived with just the right application of science. However, the graph above lies in stark contrast with these assumptions. In fact, despite advances in the forensic sciences, the gap between the rate of reporting versus the rate of arrest in forcible rape cases has not narrowed with the advent of new technologies.

One possible explanation for the gap between reporting and arrest is because sexual assault investigations and prosecutions are complex. Sadly, indisputable evidence of guilt or innocence is rarely available in rape and other cases of sexual assault, particularly those involving non-stranger assaults which are the vast majority of the allegations. Even if physical evidence is present, it may be of little significant probative value where the defense is that the contact was consensual and not whether sexual contact occurred at all. Investigators, prosecutors, judges and jurors are often required to rely on testimonial evidence that is typically colored by witness perspective, the influence of alcohol and/or drugs, fear, gaps in memory, and other factors. Rape cases are typically the most difficult cases to successfully prosecute because jurors' beliefs in common rape myths cause them to question victim credibility, which in turn causes them to question the veracity of the

[33] Lonsway, K.A. & Archambault, J. (2008). *Outcomes of Sexual Assault Cases within the Criminal Justice System: An Analysis of Patterns Over Time.* Unpublished manuscript, EVAW International. The chart uses Uniform Crime Reports statistics reported in the *Sourcebook of Criminal Justice Statistics Online,* U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics, available at http://www.albany.edu/sourcebook.

entire case.[34] Military investigators and prosecutors are not immune from the challenges faced by investigators and prosecutors in civilian criminal justice systems across the country.

A precise comparison between military and civilian rates of investigation and prosecution is not possible due to substantial differences between the two systems of justice. The military justice system gives Service members virtually all rights and privileges that are afforded to citizens who face prosecution in civilian courts. In many areas--such as the right to counsel, the pretrial investigatory process, discovery, sentencing, post-trial processing, and appeals--the military justice system offers benefits to an accused that are more favorable than those available in civilian systems.[35] While the processes of both systems are parallel and require the prosecution to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, certain familiar aspects of the civilian system are not the same under the military justice system.

- Unlike the civilian system with its common arrest and bail process, bail is not available to members of the military. As a result, service members who are apprehended for a crime are placed in pretrial confinement only when there is likelihood they are a flight risk and will not be present for trial or there is a reason to believe that they are likely to continue to commit crimes. Instead of a bail system, they are returned to the supervision of their commander to await legal action. Commanders have a variety of means at their disposal to ensure that victims are kept safe from perpetrators, including pretrial confinement if necessary.

- Both military and civilian law enforcement officials and those responsible for the prosecution of cases have broad discretion over if and how a case will be investigated or pursued in their respective legal systems. The military justice system also has as its purpose to promote justice, to assist in maintaining good order and discipline in the armed forces, to promote efficiency and effectiveness in the military establishment, and thereby to strengthen the national security of the United States.

- Reports of misconduct to military law enforcement are vigorously investigated, with or without the victim's assistance. In the civilian system, a police department's response to sexual assault will often follow the victim's desire to press charges (formally file a criminal complaint) against a perpetrator.

[34] Scalzo, Teresa. "Prosecuting Rape Cases: Trial Preparation and Trial Tactic Issues," in *Practical Aspects of Rape Investigation: A Multidisciplinary Approach*, ed. Ann Burgess and Roy Hazelwood (CRC Press, 2008), 363.
[35] Rives, J. and Ehlenbeck, S., "Civilian versus Military Justice in the United States: A Comparative Analysis." 52 A.F. Law Review 213 (2002).

- In the civilian system, a district attorney weighs a case to determine its merits under the legal system and then decides whether or not to take action. In contrast but in a related fashion, military commanders are chartered with the responsibility for making the main decision regarding the prosecution of a case. However, a decision for legal action by a commander is not made in a vacuum. It is an informed decision supported by the legal advice provided by his or her staff judge advocate.

Despite the significant differences between the civilian and military justice systems, efforts at comparison will occur. Although precise comparisons cannot be made, some of the data can be put in context for a better understanding. The newly-created and previously-described data definitions were developed in conjunction with the flowchart, which illustrates comparison challenges. *See Appendices A and B.*

The flow chart begins where the military process begins: with the receipt of a report of sexual assault. On the flowchart, the number of reports received is represented by the box labeled "sexual assault allegation / complaint." Once an Unrestricted Report is forwarded to military law enforcement, an investigation is commenced. At this point, current and future data reporting practices diverge. In this Annual Report, the FY08 final dispositions are broken down into the following categories:

- Unidentified subjects
- No action (which includes unsubstantiated / unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)
- Under civilian or foreign authority
- Pending
- Completed. Completed cases are then broken down into:
    - Courts-martial
    - Nonjudicial punishments
    - Discharges in lieu of courts-martial
    - Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions
    - Other administrative actions.

As is shown by the chart and its accompanying definitions, future reporting of case dispositions with the new Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database will be categorized with greater specificity allowing for improved analysis.

The primary challenges that arise when attempting to compare military and civilian prosecution rates are as follows:

1. Civilian and military data sources are dissimilar;
2. The Department of Defense counts reports to any responder (e.g., criminal investigator, law enforcement, command official, unrestricted reports to

healthcare providers, SARCs, VAs, etc.) whereas national civilian statistics count only reports to the police;
3. The Department of Defense includes all sex crimes against adult victims whereas the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR) includes only forcible rape against women;
4. The Department of Defense includes all reports whereas the UCR does not include cases later determined to be unfounded (which are "backed out" of the UCR database);
5. The Department of Defense reports cases through disposition whereas the UCR reports cases only to the point of arrest; and
6. The Department of Defense has more punitive and adverse administrative disposition options than civilian prosecution authorities.

Civilian and military data sources are dissimilar

The Department of Defense tracks all reports of sexual assault for one jurisdiction (the military) with one set of laws. The Federal Bureau of Investigation tracks only reports of rape from the 38 states that submit data to the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR). The states represent thousands of jurisdictions with at least 38 different sets of laws. While there may be some commonality in the elements of sexual assault law, the policies of the thousands of police departments and prosecutors governing sexual assault matters strongly influence how cases are investigated, cleared, prosecuted and counted.

The Department of Defense counts sexual assault reports to any responder whereas national civilian statistics count only rape reports to the police.

Unlike civilian criminal jurisdictions, the military tracks every allegation of sexual assault (both Restricted and Unrestricted) that comes into the system by way of any entry point, whether it be through law enforcement, a medical provider, a commander, the SARC or any other source. Only those cases reported to law enforcement are included in civilian statistics assembled at the national level. National crime statistics do not capture reports of sexual assault by victims who seek only medical care, advocacy services, or counseling. In the Department of Defense, both Unrestricted Reports to law enforcement and Restricted Reports of sexual assault are tracked.[36] For this reason, Department of Defense sexual assault statistics capture a wider range of crimes in proportionately greater numbers than those compiled in the Uniform Crime Reports (UCR), the primary national tracking mechanism for civilian crime.

[36] Restricted Reports are reports of sexual assault made by victims that allow them to confidentially access care and services without law enforcement or commander involvement.

The Department of Defense includes all sex crimes against adult victims whereas the UCR includes only forcible rape.

The scope of sexual offense tracking and reporting leads to a second significant difference between Department of Defense and civilian criminal jurisdictions' sexual assault statistics. "Sexual assault" in the military includes rape, forcible sodomy (oral or anal sex), and other unwanted sexual contact that is aggravated, abusive, or wrongful (to include unwanted and inappropriate sexual contact), or attempts to commit these acts against adult victims, whereas the UCR tracks only forcible rape[37] against women. For this reason, military statistics account for a proportionately greater number of sexual assault complaints than only accounting for the number of forcible rape complaints made by women in a comparable civilian jurisdiction. Forcible rapes against women are only a fraction of the cases included in the Department's reports of "sexual assault."

The Department of Defense includes all reports whereas the UCR does not include cases later determined to be unfounded.

The number of civilian rapes reported in the UCR does not include reports determined to be unfounded (defined as false or baseless), which are "backed out" of the UCR reported statistics. The Department of Defense reports all sexual assaults, which includes all complaints received, regardless of whether they were later determined to be unfounded or not. For this reason, the military reports proportionately more cases than its civilian criminal jurisdiction counterparts. The new data collection reporting system will better bring military and civilian numbers into closer alignment.

The Department of Defense reports cases through disposition whereas the UCR reports only to the point of arrest.

Comparing military prosecution data to the civilian prosecution data captured by the UCR is further complicated because, as mentioned before, the military does not make arrests in the same manner as the civilian community. In addition, the UCR does not track final dispositions (or prosecution actions taken), as does the DoD report. Rather, the UCR tracks the number of offenses "cleared by arrest or exceptional means."[38] Past

[37] "Forcible rape" is defined as "the carnal knowledge of a female forcibly and against her will." UCR Handbook, p. 19.

[38] "An offense is cleared by arrest, or solved for crime reporting purposes, when at least one person is (1) arrested, (2) charged with the commission of the offense, and (3) turned over to the court for prosecution (whether following arrest, court summons, or police notice). Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook, 2004, p. 79. An offense can be cleared exceptionally when the following four questions can be answered in the affirmative: (1) Has the investigation definitely established the identity of the offender? (2) Is there enough information to support an arrest, charge, and turning over to the court for prosecution? (3) Is the exact location of the offender known so that the subject could be taken into custody now? (4) Is there some reason outside law enforcement control that

comparisons of military and civilian sexual assault statistics have mistakenly cited the clearance rate of cases from the UCR as the disposition or prosecution rate. This is not accurate, and is misleading when used as a comparison to the DoD report of "court-martial action."

Further comparison of military and civilian prosecutions is hampered by the lack of a national report on the dispositions (or outcomes) of sexual assault cases. The Department's annual report to Congress includes case outcome statistics for every case where a commander could take action against a perpetrator. However, the UCR only reports that a case has been cleared by arrest or by exceptional means. Neither the UCR nor any other national study provides further information on sexual assault case dispositions (outcomes) beyond the point of clearance.

Although there is not national data on sexual assault case dispositions beyond the point of clearance, there are some states that track data to further points. The Department of Defense is often compared to the state of California. Using that comparison, the military is on par with the state of California. According to the California Department of Justice Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in 2007, the prosecution rate in California is stated to be 44% arrested and 64% of those arrested are prosecuted. That prosecution rate calculates to be 28% of reported rapes. If other categories of sexual assaults were to be included, as does the military (which typically includes sexual offenses of a lesser-degree than rape), it can be expected that the California arrest and prosecution rates would be even lower. By comparison, the FY 07 military rate of commander actions by courts-martial for all sexual assault offenses was 30% and 38% for FY08.

The Department of Defense has more disposition options than civilian prosecution authorities.

Finally, the military justice system has a wider range of disciplinary and adverse administrative action disposition options to initiate against offenders than what is available to prosecutors in the civilian criminal justice system. In the military, the commander is responsible for good order discipline within the unit, and has a broader range of punishment options than just trial by court-martial. In the civilian sector, civilian offenders can only be punished if they are convicted at a trial or plead guilty. Military offenders can face courts-martial, nonjudicial punishment or adverse administrative actions. A court-martial may result in a sentence to incarceration, the forfeiture of pay and allowances, a reduction in military rank, and a punitive discharge from military service. Although a court-martial is the most severe form of disposition available, the other forms of punishment and adverse administrative actions (e.g., less

precludes arresting, charging, and prosecuting the offender? Uniform Crime Reporting Handbook, 2004, pp. 80 – 81. What it does not include is a specific indication of whether a prosecution action was actually taken.

than an honorable discharge) may be significant and have a lasting impact on a person's career and future life as a civilian.

It is also important to note that commanders can, and do, take action against Service members, even though the available evidence might not support action for sexual assault. The course of the investigation frequently turns up other instances of indiscipline that warrant action other than court-martial. Because of the need to maintain a disciplined fighting force, the Uniform Code of Military Justice, the Manual for Courts-Martial, and Military Service regulations provide tools to address these other collateral disciplinary infractions.

Nonjudicial punishment occurs pursuant to Article 15, UCMJ, (10 U.S.C. § 815) and can reduce service members in their military rank, cause them to forfeit a portion of their basic pay, require that they perform extra duty, and impose restrictions on their liberty. Article 15, UCMJ, punishments are recorded in the service member's personnel records and can ultimately impact on the service member's ability to get promoted, to re-enlist or to continue in military service. Finally, adverse administrative action may result in a Letter of Reprimand or Admonition being given to an individual. The misconduct evidenced by these letters can often be used as a basis to prevent promotion or re-enlistment, or to support an administrative separation (discharge) action. From all command actions taken in FY 08 (832 completed dispositions), thirty percent (247) were given nonjudicial punishment and just under one-third (268) were subjected to administrative action or discharge. As stated previously, FY 08 court-martial actions were taken in 317 cases (38%).

Although military sexual assault investigation and prosecution statistics cannot be precisely compared to similar civilian statistics, our efforts to effectively respond to such cases are quite comparable. DoD SAPR policy creates a multi-disciplinary team response to victims of sexual assault. The DoD response can be compared to civilian jurisdictions that utilize a Sexual Assault Response Team (SART). Research shows that victims who receive Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner services in conjunction with the support of a SART are more likely to participate in the criminal justice system than those who do not.[39] Further, research indicates that one of the strongest predictors of conviction is the victim's participation in the judicial process.[40] Military victims receive both of these important forms of support. The Department's goal is to provide victims with sufficient support to encourage their continued participation in the military justice system, which in turn enables the Department to hold more offenders accountable.

[39] Nugent-Borakove, Elaine, et al., (2006). *Testing the Efficacy of SANE / SART Programs: Do They Make a Difference in Sexual Assault Arrest and Prosecution Outcomes?*
[40] Id.

Despite the differences making comparison of the military and civilian justice systems difficult, the Department of Defense took significant steps during FY08 to determine whether sexual assault investigation and prosecution rates within the military could be improved. First, the Department initiated the creation of a new sexual assault incident database which will allow for more accuracy in data collection, as well as an enhanced ability to analyze case dispositions. *See Appendices A and B*. Next, the Department and the Military Services collaborated on the creation of new definitions for data capture that will ensure the validity and reliability of the information collected in the database. Despite being under the umbrella of the Department of Defense, the Military Services have historically had differing definitions for the case disposition process. Standardization of these terms will yield improved data comparison and interpretation of statistical trends. Finally, recognizing that room for improvement always exists, the Department launched efforts to enhance military investigator and prosecutor training and resourcing. *See Program Highlights, Response Capabilities, Secretary of Defense's Priorities, p. 29 – 30.*

This page intentionally left blank.

CONCLUSION

The Department of Defense continues to work toward its vision of enabling military readiness by establishing a culture free from sexual assault. This Annual Report demonstrates that each of the Military Services has instituted robust programs to respond to sexual assault. The increase in reporting in FY08 demonstrates that Service members have increased confidence in the military's efforts. The Department of Defense will continue to create a climate of confidence so that every sexual assault is reported and that every Service member victim of sexual assault receives the care he or she needs and deserves. During FY09, the Department will continue working to improve its response to sexual assault. Simultaneously, it will enhance its ability to conduct oversight and ensure program accountability. Finally, the Department will institutionalize its prevention efforts.

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX A

Sexual Assault Offense – Investigation Flow Chart Definitions

- **Sexual Assault:** Intentional sexual contact, characterized by use of force, threats, intimidation, abuse of authority or when the victim does not or cannot consent. For purposes of the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program, pursuant to DoD Directive 6495.01, the following offenses and their equivalent civilian statutes constitute sexual assault:

  - Rape
  - Forcible Sodomy (oral or anal sex)
  - Aggravated Sexual Assault
  - Aggravated Sexual Contact
  - Abusive Sexual Contact
  - Wrongful Sexual Contact
  - Indecent Assault (for allegations prior to October 1, 2007, after which this offense was merged into other UCMJ sex offenses. Only report in this entry for offenses committed on or before September 30, 2007, that are later reported but prior to the expiration of the statute of limitations (September 29, 2012).)
  - Attempts to Commit any of these Offenses

- **Unfounded:** Determination that the allegations, as made against the alleged offender, do not meet all the legal elements of any of the SAPR sexual assault offenses. These cases are either false or baseless.

- **Civilian or Foreign Authority - Person subject to the UCMJ:** A civilian or foreign authority has a sexual assault allegation for action or disposition and the alleged offender is subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ).

- **Civilian or Foreign Authority - Person not subject to the UCMJ:** A civilian or foreign authority has a sexual assault allegation for action or disposition and the alleged offender is not subject to the UCMJ.

- **Offender Unknown:** The investigation is closed because the offender is unknown.

- **Command Action Precluded or Declined for Sexual Assault:** The command cannot or did not take action for one of the following reasons:

  - **Probable Cause for only Non-Sexual Assault Offense:** The commander took action on a non-sexual assault offense because the allegations made did not meet the required elements of, or there was insufficient evidence for, any of the above

UCMJ offenses constituting the SAPR definition of sexual assault, but the allegations did meet the required elements of, and sufficient evidence for, another offense under the UCMJ (e.g., adultery, simple assault, assimilated crime under Article 134, UCMJ).

- **Offender's or Victim's Death:** Commander action is precluded due to the death of the alleged offender or victim.

- **Victim Declined to Participate in the Military Justice Action:** Commander action is precluded or declined because the victim has declined to further cooperate with military authorities or prosecutors in a military justice action.

- **Insufficient Evidence of any Offense:** Although the allegations made against the alleged offender meet the required elements of at least one of the criminal offenses that constitute the SAPR definition of sexual assault, there is not enough evidence to legally prove those elements beyond a reasonable doubt and proceed with the case. (Note: If the reason for concluding that there is insufficient evidence is because the victim declined to cooperate, then that reason for being unable to take action should be entered as "victim declined to participate in the military justice action", not that there was just "insufficient evidence.")

- **Statute of Limitations:** Determination that pursuant to Article 43 of the UCMJ the applicable statute of limitations has expired and the case may not be prosecuted.

- **Evidence Supports Command Action for Sexual Assault: (NOTE: For each case, only record the action taken once and in accordance with the type of commander action first encountered in descending order of the following list. Further actions also taken lower in the descending list should not also be recorded for purposes of the SAPR Disposition Report.)**

  - **Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)**: A court-martial charge was preferred (initiated) for at least one of the offenses that constitute the SAPR definition of sexual assault. See Rule for Courts-Martial 307 and 401.

  - **Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ):** Disciplinary action for at least one of the UCMJ offenses that constitute the SAPR definition of sexual assault was initiated pursuant to Article 15, UCMJ.

  - **Administrative Discharge:** Commander action to involuntarily separate the offender from military service.


- **Other Administrative Action:** In the absence of an administrative discharge action, any other administrative action that was initiated (including corrective measures such as counseling, admonition, reprimand, exhortation, disapproval, criticism, censure, reproach, rebuke, extra military instruction, or other administrative withholding of privileges or any combination of the above). See: Rule for Courts-Martial 306. (Note: Cases should only be placed in this category when an administrative action, other than an administrative discharge, is the only action taken, otherwise report the action taken as the other action taken (i.e., court-martial, nonjudicial punishment, administrative discharge, or civilian or foreign court action).

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX B

This page intentionally left blank.

Sexual Assault Offense - Investigation Flow Chart



* Some investigations involve several subjects in a sexual assault offense and/or other offenses.
** The actions are not listed in sequential order, rather in the order of severity.

APPENDIX C

This page intentionally left blank.

AGGREGATE FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Serv	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**2466**
# Service Member victims	1752
# Non-Service Member victims	714
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**2265**
# Service Member on Service Member	1158
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	671
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	118
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	318
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**2265**
# On military installation	1412
# Off military installation	797
# Unidentified location	56
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**2265**
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	729
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**1536**
# Restricted Reports	**753**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	110
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	643
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**2265**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	937
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	697
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	440
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	106
# Unknown	85
Time of sexual assault	**2265**
# Midnight to 6 am	775
# 6 am to 6 pm	397
# 6 pm to midnight	550
# Unknown	543
Day of sexual assault	**2265**
# Sunday	454
# Monday	221
# Tuesday	202
# Wednesday	188
# Thursday	191
# Friday	301
# Saturday	477
# Unknown	231
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Completed investigations	**1536**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	238
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**1671**
# Your Service Member subjects	1309
# Service Member subjects from other Services	36
# Non-Service Member subjects	94
# Unidentified subjects	232
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**1694**
# Service Member victims	1183
# Non-Service Member victims	466
# Service Member victims from other Services	45
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Subjects)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**1671**
# Unidentified subjects	91
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	661
# Under civilian/foreign authority	89
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	405
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	425
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**425**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	102
# Nonjudicial Punishments	156
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	24
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	22
# Other administrative actions	121
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Investigations pending from reports made from FY04 to FY07 that were completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**869**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEPT-08	16
# Pre-FY08 Investigations COMPLETED as of 30-SEPT-08	853
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - resolved as of 30-SEPT-08	1092
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY07 reports and investigations were completed in FY08	**1092**
# Unidentified subjects	38
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	413
# Under civilian/foreign authority	47
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	187
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**407**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**407**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	215
# Nonjudicial punishments	91
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	10
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	3
# Other administrative actions	88

AGGREGATE FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER										
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	**Male on Female**	**Male on Male**	**Female on Male**	**Female on Female**	**Unknown on Male**	**Unknown on Female**	**FY08 Totals**			
	1864	**123**	**14**	**9**	**23**	**232**	**2,265**			
# Service Member on Service Member	1047	96	8	7	0	0	1,158			
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	665	10	0	1	0	0	676			
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	106	7	4	1	0	0	118			
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	46	10	2	0	23	232	313			
FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE										
REPORTS MADE IN FY08	***Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS***				***FY08 INCIDENTS***					
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit these Offenses**	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**
	192	**59**	**13**	**0**	**732**	**498**	**68**	**142**	**442**	**113**
# Service Member on Service Member	86	39	10	0	299	271	36	98	259	57
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	67	12	3	0	269	151	19	25	95	28
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	1	0	0	43	12	5	8	44	3
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	37	7	0	0	121	64	8	11	44	25
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	**123**	**61**	**12**	**0**	**464**	**352**	**60**	**137**	**444**	**92**
# Service Member Victims: Female	123	58	9	0	458	348	55	107	376	32
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	3	3	0	6	4	5	30	68	60
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08										
Time of sexual assault	**192**	**59**	**13**	**0**	**732**	**498**	**68**	**142**	**442**	**113**
# Midnight to 6 am	51	20	2	0	275	197	19	55	112	41
# 6 am to 6 pm	31	3	2	0	103	66	19	36	123	14
# 6 pm to midnight	56	16	8	0	179	88	20	33	124	26
# Unknown	54	20	1	0	175	147	10	18	83	32
Day of sexual assault	**192**	**58**	**13**	**0**	**732**	**498**	**68**	**142**	**442**	**113**
# Sunday	43	12	3	0	133	128	8	28	78	20
# Monday	14	3	2	0	79	43	9	17	47	7
# Tuesday	16	1	0	0	69	31	8	16	46	14
# Wednesday	13	6	1	0	50	37	7	19	49	5
# Thursday	15	6	0	0	70	37	3	13	36	11
# Friday	26	6	3	0	108	58	9	15	61	15
# Saturday	35	11	3	0	156	119	19	29	78	28
# Unknown	30	13	1	0	67	45	5	5	47	13
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FORCOMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS										
Gender of VICTIMS	**153**	**69**	**14**	**0**	**458**	**284**	**57**	**125**	**449**	**83**
# Male	0	3	3	0	5	3	3	22	59	40
# Female	153	66	11	0	453	281	54	103	390	43
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	**153**	**69**	**14**	**0**	**458**	**284**	**57**	**125**	**449**	**83**
# 16-19	35	13	4	0	100	73	12	30	105	16
# 20-24	55	35	5	0	223	140	31	62	222	40
# 25-34	41	15	5	0	101	53	13	25	94	17
# 35-49	11	5	0	0	22	9	1	4	17	3
# 50-64	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	10	0	0	0	12	9	0	2	8	6
Grade of VICTIMS	**153**	**69**	**14**	**0**	**458**	**284**	**57**	**125**	**449**	**83**
# E1-E4	86	46	7	0	253	171	43	91	311	56
# E5-E9	7	8	2	0	23	20	5	11	40	3
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	2	2	1	0	6	0	0	1	7	1
# O4-O10	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	2	0
# Civilian	55	12	3	0	167	85	9	20	82	20
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	4	3	0	1	4	2
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	1	1
Service of VICTIMS	**153**	**69**	**14**	**0**	**458**	**285**	**57**	**127**	**416**	**83**
# Army	64	39	9	0	135	130	38	85	289	38
# Air Force	27	8	1	0	100	3	3	2	24	6
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
# Navy	4	6	1	0	35	41	1	10	6	12
# Marine	3	1	0	0	5	3	2	1	21	5
# Civilian	55	5	0	0	24	34	4	8	76	22
# Unknown	0	10	3	0	159	73	9	21	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	**153**	**69**	**14**	**0**	**458**	**284**	**57**	**125**	**449**	**83**
# Active Duty	91	54	11	0	271	181	46	91	321	57
# Reserve (Activated)	4	1	0	0	8	7	0	4	13	3
# National Guard (Activated)	3	2	0	0	7	6	2	9	28	1
# Civilian	55	12	3	0	170	88	9	21	86	22
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FORCOMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS										
Gender of SUBJECTS	**162**	**56**	**13**	**0**	**475**	**322**	**55**	**116**	**378**	**92**
# Male	131	51	12	0	413	282	50	108	336	76
# Female	1	0	0	0	4	1	0	2	8	3
# Unknown	30	5	1	0	58	39	5	6	34	13
Age of SUBJECTS	**162**	**56**	**13**	**0**	**475**	**322**	**55**	**116**	**378**	**92**
# 16-19	4	1	0	0	33	23	1	12	29	3
# 20-24	54	15	4	0	155	117	25	39	111	27
# 25-34	31	15	3	0	139	65	12	40	120	21
# 35-49	20	16	4	0	34	24	8	13	54	12
# 50-64	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	51	7	2	0	114	93	9	11	59	28
Grade of SUBJECTS	**162**	**56**	**13**	**0**	**475**	**322**	**55**	**116**	**378**	**92**
# E1-E4	55	16	2	0	230	173	19	69	181	31
# E5-E9	46	26	7	0	97	46	22	30	91	26
# WO1-WO5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
# O1-O3	7	0	1	0	11	2	2	1	8	2
# O4-O10	2	5	2	0	4	2	0	1	6	2
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	3	1	0	0	27	6	2	3	15	4
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	28	0
# Unknown	48	6	1	0	103	89	8	11	48	27
Service of SUBJECTS	**162**	**56**	**13**	**0**	**475**	**322**	**55**	**116**	**378**	**92**
# Army	71	31	10	0	191	167	38	84	224	44
# Air Force	30	8	1	0	118	5	3	2	23	8
# Navy	11	6	1	0	36	53	0	11	36	12
# Marine	10	4	0	0	25	46	3	9	19	3
# Civilian	3	1	0	0	29	8	4	3	42	4
# Unknown	37	6	1	0	76	43	7	7	34	21
Status of SUBJECTS	**162**	**56**	**13**	**0**	**475**	**322**	**55**	**116**	**378**	**92**
# Active Duty	115	45	12	0	348	255	43	96	280	67
# Reserve (Activated)	1	0	0	0	12	9	1	4	7	0
# National Guard (Activated)	6	4	0	0	10	6	0	6	15	0
# Civilian	3	1	0	0	29	8	4	3	42	4
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	37	6	1	0	76	42	7	7	34	21

AGGREGATE FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**753**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**753**
# Service Member on Service Member	393
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	107
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	253
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**753**
# On military installation	319
# Off military installation	414
# Unidentified location	20
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**753**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	332
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	180
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	153
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	58
# Unknown	30
Time of sexual assault incident	**753**
# Midnight to 6 am	226
# 6 am to 6 pm	86
# 6 pm to midnight	263
# Unknown	178
Day of sexual assault incident	**753**
# Sunday	144
# Monday	54
# Tuesday	69
# Wednesday	68
# Thursday	57
# Friday	102
# Saturday	187
# Unknown	72
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**753**
# Army victims	297
# Air Force victims	256
# Navy victims	163
# Marine victims	28
# Unknown	9
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**753**
# Male	83
# Female	657
# Unknown	13
Age of VICTIMS	**753**
# 16-19	192
# 20-24	357
# 25-34	133
# 35-49	20
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	50
Grade of VICTIMS	**753**
# E1-E4	527
# E5-E9	89
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	34
# O4-O10	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	24
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	78
Service of VICTIMS	**753**
# Army	297
# Air Force	256
# Navy	163
# Marine	28
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	9
Status of VICTIMS	**753**
# Active Duty	658
# Reserve (Activated)	7
# National Guard (Activated)	49
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	26
# Unknown	13

AGGREGATE FY08 SERVICE REFERRALS FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
NOTE: Support Services are for all victims in each category that were referred for services during the reporting period, regardless of when their report was made (current or prior quarters).	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**1,015**
# Medical	448
# Counseling	425
# Legal	142
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**212**
# Medical	72
# Counseling	111
# Legal	29
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	272
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	19
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**606**
# Medical	310
# Counseling	289
# Legal	7
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**118**
# Medical	48
# Counseling	66
# Legal	4
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	105
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	36
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**362**
# Medical	128
# Counseling	145
# Legal	89
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**347**
# Medical	109
# Counseling	166
# Legal	72
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	95
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

AGGREGATE FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Serv	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**2466**
# Service Member victims	1752
# Non-Service Member victims	714
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**2265**
# Service Member on Service Member	1158
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	671
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	118
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	318
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**2265**
# On military installation	1412
# Off military installation	797
# Unidentified location	56
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**2265**
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	729
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**1536**
# Restricted Reports	**753**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	110
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	643
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**2265**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	937
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	697
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	440
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	106
# Unknown	85
Time of sexual assault	**2265**
# Midnight to 6 am	775
# 6 am to 6 pm	397
# 6 pm to midnight	550
# Unknown	543
Day of sexual assault	**2265**
# Sunday	454
# Monday	221
# Tuesday	202
# Wednesday	188
# Thursday	191
# Friday	301
# Saturday	477
# Unknown	231
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Completed investigations	**1536**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	238
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**1671**
# Your Service Member subjects	1309
# Service Member subjects from other Services	36
# Non-Service Member subjects	94
# Unidentified subjects	232
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**1694**
# Service Member victims	1183
# Non-Service Member victims	466
# Service Member victims from other Services	45
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Subjects)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**1671**
# Unidentified subjects	91
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	661
# Under civilian/foreign authority	89
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	405
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	425
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**425**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	102
# Nonjudicial Punishments	156
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	24
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	22
# Other administrative actions	121
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Investigations pending from reports made from FY04 to FY07 that were completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**869**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEPT-08	16
# Pre-FY08 Investigations COMPLETED as of 30-SEPT-08	853
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - resolved as of 30-SEPT-08	1092
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY07 reports and investigations were completed in FY08	**1092**
# Unidentified subjects	38
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	413
# Under civilian/foreign authority	47
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	187
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**407**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**407**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	215
# Nonjudicial punishments	91
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	10
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	3
# Other administrative actions	88

AGGREGATE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER							
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	**Male on Female**	**Male on Male**	**Female on Male**	**Female on Female**	**Unknown on Male**	**Unknown on Female**	**FY08 Totals**
	181	**19**	**3**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**204**
# Service Member on Service Member	119	12	3	0	0	0	134
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	7	1	0	0	0	0	8
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	33	1	0	0	0	0	34
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	22	5	0	0	1	0	28

AGGREGATE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE													
	REPORTS MADE IN FY08	*Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS*				*FY08 INCIDENTS*							
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit these Offenses**	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 120)**	**Attempts to Commits Offenses (Art. 80)**	**FY08 Totals**
	12	**13**	**1**	**0**	**43**	**24**	**11**	**7**	**80**	**9**	**4**	**0**	**204**
# Service Member on Service Member	8	11	1	0	26	19	7	6	48	5	3	0	134
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	1	0	0	4	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	8
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	29	0	0	0	34
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	4	1	0	0	10	4	2	1	1	4	1	0	28
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	**12**	**13**	**2**	**0**	**39**	**25**	**16**	**8**	**95**	**9**	**4**	**0**	**223**
# Service Member Victims: Female	12	13	2	0	39	24	13	5	84	4	2	0	198
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	11	5	2	0	25
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08													
Time of sexual assault	**12**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**43**	**24**	**11**	**7**	**80**	**9**	**4**	**0**	**204**
# Midnight to 6 am	1	2	0	0	10	9	3	2	8	2	1	0	38
# 6 am to 6 pm	2	2	1	0	6	1	4	2	39	0	0	0	57
# 6 pm to midnight	2	4	0	0	16	5	4	2	19	5	0	0	57
# Unknown	7	5	0	0	11	9	0	1	14	2	3	0	52
Day of sexual assault	**12**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**43**	**24**	**11**	**7**	**80**	**9**	**4**	**0**	**204**
# Sunday	2	1	0	0	4	4	2	0	10	1	0	0	24
# Monday	1	0	1	0	4	3	2	2	10	1	0	0	24
# Tuesday	1	0	0	0	10	2	0	2	10	2	0	0	27
# Wednesday	0	1	0	0	5	1	2	0	10	0	0	0	19
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	5	2	1	2	7	0	0	0	17
# Friday	0	2	0	0	3	1	1	0	10	0	1	0	18
# Saturday	1	4	0	0	6	5	2	1	8	3	1	0	31
# Unknown	7	5	0	0	6	6	1	0	15	2	2	0	44
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS													
Gender of VICTIMS	**7**	**13**	**2**	**0**	**29**	**18**	**18**	**8**	**89**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**192**
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	7	3	1	0	18
# Female	7	13	2	0	29	17	15	5	79	2	2	0	171
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3
Age of VICTIMS	**7**	**13**	**2**	**0**	**29**	**18**	**18**	**8**	**89**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**192**
# 16-19	1	2	0	0	2	2	2	0	4	0	1	0	14
# 20-24	2	5	2	0	11	12	10	5	50	2	0	0	99
# 25-34	3	6	0	0	14	3	6	2	30	3	2	0	69
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	7
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Grade of VICTIMS	**7**	**13**	**2**	**0**	**29**	**18**	**18**	**8**	**89**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**192**
# E1-E4	4	8	2	0	21	17	11	5	65	5	3	0	141
# E5-E9	2	3	0	0	7	1	5	3	16	0	0	0	37
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	7
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Service of VICTIMS	**7**	**13**	**2**	**0**	**29**	**18**	**18**	**8**	**89**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**192**
# Army	6	10	2	0	24	13	13	7	74	5	0	0	154
# Air Force	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	9	0	1	0	15
# Navy	0	1	0	0	2	4	1	0	4	0	2	0	14
# Marine	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Civilian	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	6
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	**7**	**13**	**2**	**0**	**29**	**18**	**18**	**8**	**89**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**192**
# Active Duty	6	12	2	0	22	15	16	7	65	4	3	0	152
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	6	1	0	0	9
# National Guard (Activated)	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	1	15	0	0	0	24
# Civilian	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	7
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS													
Gender of SUBJECTS	**9**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**32**	**20**	**12**	**8**	**75**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**178**
# Male	9	13	1	0	32	19	12	7	74	5	3	0	175
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of SUBJECTS	**9**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**32**	**20**	**12**	**8**	**75**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**178**
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	6
# 20-24	4	1	0	0	10	7	1	3	14	1	2	0	43
# 25-34	3	4	0	0	11	6	4	2	22	1	1	0	54
# 35-49	0	5	1	0	3	1	2	1	20	1	0	0	34
# 50-64	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	5
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	2	1	0	0	8	5	3	2	13	2	0	0	36
Grade of SUBJECTS	**9**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**32**	**20**	**12**	**8**	**75**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**178**
# E1-E4	3	1	0	0	12	13	2	3	16	1	2	0	53
# E5-E9	4	9	1	0	10	2	6	3	24	2	1	0	62
# WO1-WO5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O1-O3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	27	0	0	0	32
# Unknown	2	1	0	0	8	4	2	2	3	2	0	0	24
Service of SUBJECTS	**9**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**32**	**20**	**12**	**8**	**75**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**178**
# Army	6	10	1	0	19	9	6	5	37	3	0	0	96
# Air Force	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	6	0	1	0	12
# Navy	0	1	0	0	4	6	0	1	2	0	2	0	16
# Marine	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	27	0	0	0	32
# Unknown	2	1	0	0	6	3	2	1	3	2	0	0	20
Status of SUBJECTS	**9**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**32**	**20**	**12**	**8**	**75**	**5**	**3**	**0**	**178**
# Active Duty	7	10	1	0	21	15	7	6	39	2	3	0	111
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	4
# National Guard (Activated)	0	2	0	0	3	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	11
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	27	0	0	0	32
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	2	1	0	0	6	3	2	1	3	2	0	0	20

AGGREGATE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE

	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS				*FY08 INCIDENTS*								
H. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit these Offenses**	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commits Offenses (Art. 80)**	**FY08 Totals**	
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**12**	**13**	**1**	**0**	**43**	**24**	**11**	**7**	**77**	**12**	**0**	**204**	
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea													
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	1	0	**8**	
Iraq	9	6	1	0	34	16	8	4	54	8	0	**141**	
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**	
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**	
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**	
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	**1**	
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	**1**	
Kuwait	1	3	0	0	2	2	1	1	10	0	0	**21**	
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**	
Qatar	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	**6**	
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	**1**	
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	**3**	
South Asia													
Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**	
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**	
Afghanistan	2	3	0	0	4	1	1	1	8	2	0	22	
NOTE:													

AGGREGATE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**47**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**47**
# Service Member on Service Member	20
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	5
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	22
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**47**
# On military installation	39
# Off military installation	7
# Unidentified location	1
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**47**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	16
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	13
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	13
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	4
# Unknown	1
Time of sexual assault incident	**47**
# Midnight to 6 am	11
# 6 am to 6 pm	9
# 6 pm to midnight	13
# Unknown	14
Day of sexual assault incident	**47**
# Sunday	7
# Monday	6
# Tuesday	8
# Wednesday	10
# Thursday	2
# Friday	8
# Saturday	4
# Unknown	2
C. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**47**
# Army victims	35
# Air Force victims	3
# Navy victims	6
# Marine victims	1
# Unknown	2
D. FY08 DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**47**
# Male	1
# Female	43
# Unknown	3
Age of VICTIMS	**47**
# 16-19	3
# 20-24	12
# 25-34	18
# 35-49	3
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	10
Grade of VICTIMS	**47**
# E1-E4	24
# E5-E9	17
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	1
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	5
Service of VICTIMS	**47**
# Army	35
# Air Force	3
# Navy	6
# Marine	1
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	2
Status of VICTIMS	**47**
# Active Duty	33
# Reserve (Activated)	1
# National Guard (Activated)	11
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Unknown	2
AGGREGATE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS	
E. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN CENTCOM AOR	***7***
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea	
Bahrain	2
Iraq	2
Jordan	0
Lebanon	0
Syria	0
Yemen	0
Egypt	0
Kuwait	0
Oman	0
Qatar	3
Saudi Arabia	0
United Arab Emirates	0
South Asia	
Iran	0
Pakistan	0
Afghanistan	0
NOTE:	

AGGREGATE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST: FY08 SERVICE REFERRALS FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
NOTE: Support Services are for all victims in each category that were referred for services during the reporting period, regardless of when their report was made (current or prior quarters).	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**36**
# Medical	22
# Counseling	10
# Legal	4
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**1**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	1
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS FROM RESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**22**
# Medical	16
# Counseling	5
# Legal	1
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	4
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**1**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	1
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

APPENDIX D

List of Acronyms

AOR	**Area of Responsibility**
APY	**Academic Program Year**
BJS	**United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics**
CA	**California**
CNN	**Cable News Network**
CY	**Calendar Year**
D	**Democrat**
DCoE	**Defense Centers of Excellence for Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury**
DD	**Department of Defense**
DMA	**Defense Media Activity**
DTFSAMS	**Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services**
DoD	**Department of Defense**
DSAID	**Defense Sexual Assault Incident Database**
FY	**Fiscal Year**
GAO	**United States Government Accountability Office**
HASC	**House Armed Services Committee**
IG	**Inspector General**
J-1	**The Joint Staff, Directorate for Manpower and Personnel**

JOA	**Joint Operations Area**
LOD	**Line of Duty**
MD	**Maryland**
MTF	**Medical Treatment Facility**
NBC	**National Broadcasting Company**
NCO	**Noncommissioned Officer**
NY	**New York**
OE	**Operational Environment**
OH	**Ohio**
OSD	**Office of Secretary of Defense**
OVC	**United States Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, Office for Victims of Crime**
PA	**Pennsylvania**
PAT	**Policy Assistance Team**
PBS	**Public Broadcasting Service**
PCAR	**Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape**
PL	**Public Law**
PSA	**Public Service Announcement**
RAINN	**Rape, Abuse & Incest National Network**
SAAC	**Sexual Assault Advisory Council**
SAAM	**Sexual Assault Awareness Month**
SAFE	**Sexual Assault Forensic Examination**

SAPR	**Sexual Assault Prevention and Response**
SAPRO	**Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office**
SARC	**Sexual Assault Response Coordinator**
SART	**Sexual Assault Response Team**
SASC	**Senate Armed Service Committee**
SD	**South Dakota**
TBI	**Traumatic Brain Injury**
UCMJ	**Uniform Code of Military Justice**
UCR	**Uniform Crime Reporting**
U.S.	**United States**
US	**United States**
USPACOM	**United States Pacific Command**
USD (P&R)	**Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness**
USFJ	**United States Forces Japan**
USCENTCOM J-1	**United States Central Command, Directorate of Manpower and Personnel**
USSOCOM J-1	**United States Special Operations Command, Directorate of Manpower and Personnel**
VA	**Victim Advocate**
VWAP	**Victim and Witness Assistance Program**

This page intentionally left blank.

APPENDIX E

Laws Governing the Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military

PUBLIC LAW 108-375

Sec. 577. Department Of Defense Policy And Procedures On Prevention And Response To Sexual Assaults Involving Members Of The Armed Forces.

(f) Annual Report on Sexual Assaults.--(1) Not later than January 15 of each year, the Secretary of each military department shall submit to the Secretary of Defense a report on the sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of that Secretary during the preceding year. In the case of the Secretary of the Navy, separate reports shall be prepared for the Navy and for the Marine Corps.

(2) Each report on an Armed Force under paragraph (1) shall contain the following:

(A) The number of sexual assaults against members of the Armed Force, and the number of sexual assaults by members of the Armed Force, that were reported to military officials during the year covered by such report, and the number of the cases so reported that were substantiated.

(B) A synopsis of, and the disciplinary action taken in, each substantiated case.

(C) The policies, procedures, and processes implemented by the Secretary concerned during the year covered by such report in response to incidents of sexual assault involving members of the Armed Force concerned.

(D) A plan for the actions that are to be taken in the year following the year covered by such report on the prevention of and response to sexual assault involving members of the Armed Forces concerned.

(3) Each report under paragraph (1) for any year after 2005 shall include an assessment by the Secretary of the military department submitting the report of the implementation during the preceding fiscal year of the policies and procedures of such department on the prevention of and response to sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces in order to determine the effectiveness of such policies and procedures during such fiscal year in providing an appropriate response to such sexual assaults.

(4) The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives each report submitted to the Secretary under this subsection, together with the comments of the Secretary on the report. The Secretary shall submit each such report not later than March 15 of the year following the year covered by the report.

(5) For the report under this subsection covering 2004, the applicable date under paragraph (1) is April 1, 2005, and the applicable date under paragraph (4) is May 1, 2005.

PUBLIC LAW 109-163

Sec. 596. Improvement To Department Of Defense Capacity To Respond To Sexual Assault Affecting Members Of The Armed Forces.

(c) Additional Matters for Annual Report on Sexual Assaults.--Section 577(f)(2) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 1927; *10 U.S.C. 113* note) is amended--

(1) by redesignating subparagraph (D) as subparagraph (G); and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the following new subparagraphs:

"(D) A description of the implementation during the year covered by the report of the tracking system implemented pursuant to section 596(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, including information collected on cases during that year in which care to a victim of rape or sexual assault was hindered by the lack of availability of a rape kit or other needed supplies or by the lack of timely access to appropriate laboratory testing resources.

"(E) A description of the implementation during the year covered by the report of the accessibility plan implemented pursuant to section 596(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, including a description of the steps taken during that year to provide that trained personnel, appropriate supplies, and transportation resources are accessible to deployed units in order to provide an appropriate and timely response in any case of reported sexual assault in a deployed unit.

"(F) A description of the required supply inventory, location, accessibility, and availability of supplies, trained personnel, and transportation resources needed, and in fact in place, in order to be able to provide an appropriate and timely response in any case of reported sexual assault in a deployed unit.".

PUBLIC LAW 109-364

Sec. 583. Inclusion in Annual Department of Defense Report on Sexual Assaults of Information on Results of Disciplinary Actions.

Section 577(f)(2)(B) of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 1927) is amended to read as follows:

"(B) A synopsis of each such substantiated case and, for each such case, the disciplinary action taken in the case, including the type of disciplinary or administrative sanction imposed, if any."

SECRETARY OF THE ARMY
WASHINGTON

INFO MEMO 12-01-2009 A08:58

FOR: SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

FROM: Pete Geren, Secretary of the Army

SUBJECT: Fiscal Year (FY) 2008 Sexual Assault Report

- The Army's Sexual Assault Report for FY08 is attached. The report is prepared in accordance with Section 577 of Public Law (PL) 108-375 and the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness memo dated 6 Nov 08, SUBJECT: Data Call for FY08 Sexual Assaults. The report highlights attributes of the Army Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program, including:
  - The Army remains committed to creating a climate where: Soldiers live the Army Values, thereby reducing incidents of sexual assault; victims report this crime, without fear, knowing their chain of command will ensure they receive the help and care they deserve; and commanders take appropriate action against offenders.
  - A 4% increase in reported cases of sexual assault in FY08 compared to FY07 indicates the Army still has much work to do to succeed in creating a climate where Soldiers treat each other with dignity and respect. The Army recently launched a comprehensive sexual assault prevention campaign under the brand – "I. A.M. Strong" and envisioned an increase as a sign the campaign is being effective. The campaign focuses on leaders maintaining a positive command climate which encourages peer-to-peer intervention where Soldiers do not tolerate behavior that, left unchecked, may lead to sexual assault.
  - The assessment of the Army program embedded in this year's report contains input from Army Commands, Army Service Component Commands, and Direct Reporting Units. The assessment is also derived from reported data and survey analysis.
- The attached report also contains seven required enclosures in the prescribed DoD format:

  Enclosure 1: Army sexual assault unrestricted report data.

  Enclosure 2: Army sexual assault restricted report data.

  Enclosure 3: Service referrals for victims of sexual assault.

  Enclosure 4: Combat area of interest unrestricted report data.

  Enclosure 5: Combat area of interest restricted report data.

  Enclosure 6: Service referrals for victims of sexual assault (combat area of interest).

  Enclosure 7: Synopses of completed substantiated cases.

COORDINATION: None
Attachments:
As stated

Prepared By: Ms. Carolyn Collins, 703-693-0764

This page intentionally left blank.

Army Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program

Annual Report - *Fiscal Year 2008*

Executive Summary

American Soldiers are members of a band of brothers and sisters, bound by common values, with duty and loyalty to each other that sets them apart from society. In Iraq, that bond led PFC Ross McGinnis to cover a grenade with his body to save the lives of his fellow Soldiers. In Afghanistan, PFC Monica Brown, a medic, threw her body on top of wounded Soldiers to protect them from mortar and small-arms fire; and provided them medical care as bullets rained down.

PFC McGinnis gave his life, and PFC Brown offered hers for their band of brothers and sisters.

It is in this context that the Army considers the crime of sexual assault and the enabling offense of sexual harassment; and the duty of every Soldier to intervene and stop incidents before they occur. Soldiers who commit the crime of sexual assault not only betray their victims; they violate the sacred trust of the band of brothers and sisters who count on them. Any Soldier who fails to intervene to protect a comrade from harassment or the risk of assault, has also forsaken the duty to never leave a fallen comrade.

The Army's goal is to eliminate sexual assault and harassment by creating a climate where sexual misconduct is recognized and addressed in a way that respects the dignity of every member of the esteemed band of brothers and sisters.

This report complies with Section 577 of Public Law (PL) 108-375, which requires the Secretary of each military department to submit to the Secretary of Defense a report on the sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces under their jurisdiction during the preceding year. This report also complies with the content and formatting requirements in the Under Secretary of Defense memorandum dated November 6, 2008 (SUBJECT: Data Call for FY08 Sexual Assaults).

While increasing emphasis to prevent sexual assaults before they occur, the Army continues to emphasize victim services and response capabilities. With the Secretary and Chief of Staff of the Army (CSA) providing personal leadership, support and guidance, the Army launched a comprehensive sexual assault prevention campaign at the end of FY08. The campaign focuses on leaders establishing a positive command climate where Soldiers understand and adhere to the Army's intent for all team members to act to ensure Soldiers' safety. The campaign further encourages Soldiers to personally execute peer-to-peer intervention where Soldiers do not tolerate behavior that, left unchecked, may lead to sexual assault. United in their ownership of sexual assault prevention, leaders and Soldiers will lead the Army's efforts to achieve and sustain cultural

change, share best practices and motivate national partners to embrace and support our committed efforts to change generally accepted negative social behaviors thus eliminating this crime.

The cornerstone of the Army's prevention campaign is the "I. A.M. Strong" program where the letters *I. A.M.* stand for Intervene – Act – Motivate. Leaders utilize their "I. A.M. Strong" program to motivate Soldiers to proactively engage to ensure Soldier safety. The "I. A.M. Strong" messaging features Soldiers as influential role models; provides peer-to-peer messages and outlines the Army's intent for all team members to personally take action in the effort to protect its community members. Using communication and skill modeling forums, the Army's "I. A.M. Strong" efforts include a social network to encourage Soldiers to participate; community training, workshops, a personal commitment pledge and awards.

The assessment of the Army's Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program in this year's report contains input from Army Commands, Army Service Component Commands, and Direct Reporting Units. The reporting elements cited continued program improvements, effective training, integrated response capabilities, and an increased emphasis on prevention measures aligned with the Army's prevention strategy.

The number of reports of sexual assault (restricted and unrestricted) in the Army during FY08 increased 4% from FY07. The increase in reports has leveled-off since 2006, following an initial steep rise in reported cases which was attributed to a corresponding emphasis on sexual assault awareness. However, the Army does anticipate another increase in reporting during FY09 in conjunction with the full implementation of the I. A.M. Strong Campaign and a stated goal to encourage all victims of sexual assault to report the crime.

Enclosures 1 through 6 contain the detailed FY08 sexual assault data in the reporting formats required by DoD for restricted and unrestricted reports. Data in these enclosures represent cases reported Army-wide and in the CENTCOM Combat Area of Interest (CAI) during FY08.

Finally, Enclosure 7 (Sexual Assault Case Synopses) provides additional details of substantiated cases completed during FY08. These details include disposition information and brief synopses for all cases investigated by CID where the victim or the alleged perpetrator was a Soldier. While other jurisdictions, at the discretion of the special agent in charge, may dispose of reports of sexual assault before opening an investigation, the Army's practice is to formally investigate every allegation. Although this practice may contribute to a seemingly higher number of cases, the data displayed in Enclosure 7 demonstrates the Army's commitment to thoroughly investigate all unrestricted reports of sexual assault.

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 1
Program Overview 5
Program Response Capabilities 6
Personnel Trained 6
Availability of SAFE Kits 8
Availability of Lab Testing 8
Program Initiatives 8
Unrestricted Reporting 13
Victims 14
Subjects 14
Overview of Reports 15
Restricted Reporting 15
Victims 16
Overview of Reports 16
Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault 16
Unrestricted Reports 16
Restricted Reports 16
Non-Military (DoD Civilians, Contractors, etc.) 16
Combat Areas of Interest 16
Challenges with Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting 18
Joint Environment 18
Combat Areas of Interest 18
Tracking Victim Services 18
Restricted Reporting 18
Other – Underreporting 18
Case Synopses of Completed Investigations 20
Lessons Learned During FY08 22
Status of FY08 Plans in FY07 Report 23
Plans for FY09 23

Enclosures

Enclosure 1 - Unrestricted Report Matrix 28
Enclosure 2 - Restricted Report Matrix 34
Enclosure 3 - Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault 36
Enclosure 4 - Combat Areas of Interest Unrestricted Report Matrix 38
Enclosure 5 - Combat Areas of Interest Restricted Report Matrix 45
Enclosure 6 - Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault (CAI) 48
Enclosure 7 - Sexual Assault Case Synopses 50

Index of Figures

Figure 1: Victim Status by Assault Type (FY08 Unrestricted Cases) 14
Figure 2: Offender Status by Assault Type (FY08 Unrestricted Cases) 15
Figure 3: Quarterly Reports of Sexual Assault in the Army 19
Figure 4: Reported Sexual Assaults in the Army – Rate/1000 (2004 - 2008) 20

Program Overview

The Army continues to aggressively operate and improve its comprehensive Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program. The intent of the SAPR Program is to eliminate sexual assault by creating a climate: where Soldiers live the Army Values, thereby reducing incidents of sexual assault; where Soldiers feel compelled to report incidents when they do occur; where victims report this crime without fear, knowing they will receive the help and care they deserve; and where appropriate action is taken against offenders.

The Army's SAPR Program is formalized in Chapter 8, Army Regulation (AR) 600-20 (Army Command Policy). The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Manpower and Reserve Affairs has oversight of the Army's SAPR Program, while the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1 is responsible for program implementation and assessment at the strategic level. Significant elements of the Army program include:

- As the SAPR program is a command responsibility, commanders are required to: foster a command climate of prevention to eliminate the crime of sexual assault; treat all allegations of sexual assault seriously and ensure investigations occur; treat victims with dignity and respect; and take appropriate action against offenders.
- All levels of Army institutional Professional Military Education (PME), from initial entry to senior service college, use a comprehensive set of training support packages to conduct required training. Annual unit level sexual assault awareness and pre- and post-deployment SAPR training is also mandatory per AR 350-1 (Army Training and Leader Development).
- Installation commanders and deployed senior mission commanders (or their representatives) have overall responsibility for the SAPR program implementation and 24/7 execution. As a critical element of their program execution, these leaders conduct required monthly Sexual Assault Review Boards (SARB). The SARB provides executive oversight, procedural guidance and feedback concerning the implementation of the SAPR Program and case management.
- Deployable Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (DSARCs) and Unit Victim Advocates (UVA) receive specialized training and assist their commanders (as a collateral duty) in executing their SAPR programs and coordinating the commands first responder efforts (legal, law enforcement, chaplaincy, and medical). DSARCs and UVAs provide advocacy services as needed in garrison environments and as the sole advocacy proponent in deployed environments, for geographically dispersed units in CONUS and OCONUS not serviced by an Installation. Army policy requires one DSARC at each brigade level unit and higher echelon. UVAs are Soldiers trained to provide victim advocacy as a collateral duty. Army policy requires two UVAs for each battalion sized unit.

- An Army-wide victim advocacy program led by Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) and supported by a cadre of full-time, professional Installation Victim Advocates (IVA) who interact directly with victims of sexual assault and other installation response agencies (medical, legal, law enforcement, investigative, and chaplain).

Program Response Capabilities

The effective response capabilities of the Army SAPR Program are the result of an integrated coalition of command, advocacy, law enforcement, investigative, medical, legal and chaplain components.

Personnel Trained

In accordance with DoDI 6495.02 and AR 600-20, all Army first responders receive initial and annual refresher training for their specific response capability. In most cases, initial training occurs at the responder's corresponding Army training institution during a basic or advanced course. Army organizations with responder personnel conduct the required refresher training annually.

Leaders:

- The Army has embedded sexual assault prevention and response training for Army leaders in professional military education at training institutions. This includes specific training support packages for pre-commissioning, junior leaders, drill sergeants, and senior leaders.
- Officers identified for battalion and brigade command receive further sexual assault prevention and response training during pre-command courses. Additionally, installation SARCs are required to meet with and brief all local commanders within 45 days after assuming command.

Advocacy:

- The Army's "Virtual SARC Training Package," consists of an 8-hour *SARC New Hire CD-Rom*, a scenario-based *SARC Student Workbook*, and a two hour teleconference. This training ensures newly hired/appointed SARCs receive initial training within the first two weeks of assuming their duties. During FY08, the SAPR Program facilitated 16 Virtual SARC Training sessions and trained 52 new SARCs.
- During FY08, Army Installation SARCs trained 4,795 UVAs and DSARCs, to include both initial and continuing education training. Evaluations from over 95% of participants rated the training as "excellent" or "good."
- An Army Mobile Training Team (MTT) assists Commanders with meeting the SAPR training requirements in AR 600-20. During FY08, the MTT facilitated 11 training sessions for 556 Soldiers and other personnel (including 456 newly appointed UVAs and DSARCs with the Army National Guard and Army Reserve).

Medical:

- The U.S. Army Medical Command (MEDCOM) requires military medical treatment facilities (MTF), including deployed combat support hospitals, to have trained Sexual Assault Care Coordinators (SACC) and Sexual Assault Clinical Providers (SACP).
- MEDCOM conducts initial and refresher healthcare responder training during their annual Sexual Assault Medical Management Conferences. The FY08 conference occurred at Fort Sam Houston, TX from 19-22 Aug 08. All MTFs sent at least one SACP or SACC representative to the conference. The conferences serve as "train-the-trainer" sessions for SACPs and SACCs who utilize the curriculum to assist with local healthcare responder training.

Law Enforcement/Investigative:

- All PME courses at the U.S. Army Military Police School contain the required sexual assault responder training, including the Military Police Pre-Command Course and the Apprentice Special Agent Course for CID Special Agents.
- Additionally, all CID agents who investigate, or supervise agents who investigate sexual assault allegations, received annual refresher training during FY08.

Legal:

- Army Judge Advocate General's Corps (JAGC) officers received initial sexual assault legal training during the JAGC Basic and Advanced courses at the Army Judge Advocate General Legal Center and School.
- Army JAG field offices conducted legal refresher training using lesson plans developed by the Office of the Judge Advocate General and posted to its internal network (JAGCNET).
- Additionally, the Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) developed and conducted training to specifically emphasize the prosecution of sexual assault cases.

Chaplain:

- Army chaplains received their initial sexual assault responder training during the Chaplain Basic Course at Fort Jackson, SC.
- The Chaplain Corps also requires all first term chaplains to receive 200 hours of training in pastoral care and counseling. This "Pastoral Skills Training" program includes modules in empathic listening, assessment, and intervention, as well as specific modules on caring for victims of trauma, including sexual assault.
- Chaplains received annual refresher training in their units using a common training support package.

CENTCOM Area of Operations (AOR):

- During FY08, Army SAPR Program Managers in the CENTCOM AOR reported 81 trained DSARCs to oversee and administer the program in deployed units.
- Most units have more trained UVAs than the required two per battalion due to the geographical separation of some of their small units from the battalion.

Availability of SAFE Kits

Each Army MTF that performs SAFE collection must maintain an adequate supply, considering population served and SAFE kit shelf-life. When the on-hand quantity falls below the re-order number, the medical logistics branch requisitions additional kits to keep sufficient quantity on-hand at all times.

Forty-one percent of MTF's perform SAFE exams, an increase of 25% from FY07. The remaining MTF's augment care for sexual assault patients and SAFE exams through Memoranda of Agreement (MOA) or Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) and contract services with local civilian hospitals.

There were no reports of sexual assault in the Army during FY08 which cited the availability of SAFE kits as an issue, including reports from the CENTCOM AOR.

Availability of Lab Testing

The U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL) processes SAFE kits for evidence for all U.S. military forces world-wide, including units deployed to the CENTCOM AOR. There were no reports of sexual assault cases during FY08 in which processing issues at USACIL hindered an investigation.

Medical supplies for (laboratory) testing and (pharmacological) treating potential infections, such as sexually transmitted infections, are routinely available to staff at Army MTFs. There were no reports of laboratory or medical supply issues that hindered services in any sexual assault cases.

Program Initiatives

This section of the report describes the Army's significant SAPR Program initiatives during FY08.

Sexual Assault Prevention Campaign – "I. A.M. Strong"

The most significant program initiative of FY08 was the development and launch of the Army's sexual assault prevention strategy and campaign with the goal of eliminating sexual harassment and sexual assault from the Army and making the Army's sexual assault prevention program a model for the nation. Actively engaging congressional members support, the SECARMY and CSA were personally involved in the development of the Army's prevention strategy. To ensure full Army staff (ARSTAF) and command coordination in these developmental efforts, the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1 established a General

Officer Steering Committee (GOSC) and conducted monthly program review meetings.

The Secretary of the Army introduced "I. A.M. Strong" as the overarching theme for the Army's Sexual Assault Prevention Campaign at the Sexual Assault Prevention and Risk Reduction Training Summit on September 9, 2008. Over 250 people (nearly 70 general officers) attended the Summit, including: Congressional, DoD, J-1 and sister services representation, Army staff personnel, commanders and SAPR Program Managers down to the division level, Army training developers, and national subject matter experts.

The summit served as a forum to launch Phase One (Committed Army Leadership) of the Army's prevention strategy by providing attendees training on best practices and the opportunity to develop their own command's prevention action plan.

- Phase Two (Army-wide Conviction) includes educating and training Soldiers to ensure they understand their moral responsibility to intervene to stop sexual assault and sexual harassment, and protect their fellow Soldiers.
- Phase Three culminates the dedicated effort of leaders and Soldiers under Phase One and Two by achieving cultural change and establishing an environment that drives sexual assault and sexual harassment from our Army.
- The final phase (Sustainment, Refinement and Sharing) will grow, sustain and refine the Army's program while motivating national partners to embrace and support our committed efforts to change generally accepted negative social behaviors; thus eliminating this crime.

During the Summit, the Secretary of the Army also introduced the Sexual Assault Prevention Campaign video highlighting Soldiers, as members of a band of brothers and sisters, bound together by common values. Within this context, the Army considers it the duty of Soldiers to intervene and protect their comrades from the risk of sexual assault. The video showcases sexual assault survivor testimonials and incorporates the "I. A.M. Strong" sexual assault prevention message.

"I. A.M. Strong" (where the letters I – A – M stand for Intervene – Act – Motivate) calls for leaders to develop and maintain a culture and command climate which encourages Soldiers to actively engage in their units, and within their communities, to foster respectful treatment of others and prevent sexual assault. This comprehensive campaign strategy includes: revising training and education and extending it to pre-accession activities; integrating command programs to help foster positive Army culture and command climates; developing tools to counter negative social influencers; strategic communications; and continuous evaluation.

Armed with copies of the I. A.M. Strong DVD, Commander's Guides, program materials, and their newly developed action plans, command representatives

departed the summit and immediately began implementing the Army's prevention strategy at the operational and tactical level.

In the execution of Phase One of the strategy, the Secretary and Chief of Staff of the Army hosted a Four-Star orientation and addressed the Army's commitment to this effort during the opening ceremony of the annual Association of the US Army convention. Further support the Phase One implementation efforts and communicate the Army's prevention message, the SecArmy and other Senior Leader representatives personally addressed congressional members and media and participated in command's senior leader forums.

Policies

- Multi-National Corps – Iraq (MNC-I) headquarters published a comprehensive standard operating procedure to all subordinate units from division to brigade level, including procedures for medical response and handling of sexual assault victims and administering a SAFE kit.
- Eighth U.S. Army (EUSA) revised and reissued a Command Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Policy Letter, reinforcing its commitment to provide a safe environment for all members of the command by promoting a command climate.
- The Army Reserve issued an Operation Order requiring the appointment of a Command Sexual Assault Response Coordinator at each major subordinate command and Direct Reporting Unit of the U.S. Army Reserve Command (USARC). It also requires appointment of a SARC at the brigade level and appointment of Unit Victim Advocates down to battalion level.
- The Office of the Provost Marshal General (OPMG) drafted a revision to AR 190-45 (Law Enforcement Reporting) to incorporate the 21sexual assault offense codes, under the revised Article 120, Uniformed Code of Military Justice. These additions will allow for better tracking of sexual assault reports/cases, provide more accurate statistical data, and report on and charge offenders appropriately.

Procedures/Processes

- The Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1 established a SAPR General Officer Steering Committee (GOSC) and supporting Council of Colonels (CoC) to enhance Army Staff and command program execution, process improvements, program reviews, and develops and implements program initiatives.
- IMCOM revised its SARC Self-Inspection Checklist to incorporate the sexual assault offenses and definitions in the new Article 120 of the UCMJ and its SAPR Program Accreditation Standards.
- IMCOM revised its *Operational Guidance for SARCs SOP*; to focus on SARC guidelines for facilitating sexual assault prevention.
- CID hired 14 additional examiners who have been instrumental in lowering the average DNA turnaround time from 83 days in FY07 to 47 days in FY08.

However, beginning in FY 09, USACIL must input DNA profiles of arrestee samples into the National Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) for all of DoD. Initial DoD estimates are 30,000 samples per year. Due to this increased mission for USACIL, another six examiners are needed, otherwise a backlog in DNA processing will occur and the turnaround time for DNA will likely increase.

- MEDCOM reported caring for 1009 sexual assault patients in FY08, a 6% increase from FY07. Of the patients, 76% were active duty Soldiers, an 8% increase from FY07.
- Increased coordination between MEDCOM and the MNC-I Surgeon improved battlefield medical capability assessments and support to sexual assault patients. The MNC-I Surgeon reported caring for 31 sexual assault patients during FY08, with a staff of eleven SAFE examiners, four SACPs and eight SACCs.
- Army Recruiting Command organized a Domestic Violence and Sexual Assault Prevention Board (DVSAPB) which meets quarterly to review status of prevention programs, trends and analysis of incidents, and future plans.
- The Army Cadet Command incorporated I. A.M. Strong Campaign language into its Pre-Command Course briefings and presentations at Regional Leaders Conferences.
- The Office of The Judge Advocate General (OTJAG) continued to collect and review every DA Form 7568 (Army Victim/Witness Liaison Program Evaluation). These forms are provided to each victim and witness in each trial by Special or General Court-Martial and each investigation pursuant to Article 32, UCMJ that does not go to trial.

Training

- Following the Army's Sexual Assault Prevention and Risk Reduction Training Summit, the Army Staff proponents and commands began implementing Phase One (Committed Army Leadership) by launching their I. A.M. Strong command programs and incorporating sexual assault prevention training in their Senior Leader Training conferences.
- In support of Phase Two of the Army's Sexual Assault Prevention Strategy (Army-wide Conviction), the Deputy Chief of Staff, G-1 funded the execution of an Army-wide tour of 400 shows featuring a 90-minute two-person interactive training program that promotes peer-to-peer accountability and modeling of skills for Soldier intervention prior to sexual assault or harassment incident. The training includes skits that deal with subjects including dating, rape, consent and other associated topics such as body language, alcohol and intervention.
- In alignment with the DoD SAPR sponsored Bi-Annual Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) Training conference, the Army's SAPR Office and Army Staff SAPR program proponents conducted Army's specific

SARC training for 120 Active and Reserve Component attendees, to include Army Regional SAPR Program Managers, Garrison SARCs, and Deployable SARCs. Army training focused on the role of Commanders and Command SAPR Program Managers in the SAPR program, laying the groundwork for the prevention summit that was held in September.

- The National Guard Bureau (NGB) conducted four 40-hour SARC and VA training courses. The focus of the training was to provide SARCs and VAs with the skills, knowledge and abilities to be able to respond to incidents of sexual assault in a deployed environment as well as in an Inactive Duty for Training status. The ARNG now has over 1459 Soldiers that are trained as a JFHQ SARC, Deployable SARC, or VA.
- The Army Reserve continued its training partnership with the NGB SAPR Program and the Navy Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program. The Army Reserve trained 285 UVAs and 15 Command SARCs in FY08.
- U.S. Army Europe (USAREUR) featured national subject matter expert Ann Munch at their annual advocate training. The training agenda also included instruction from the European Regional Medical Command, IMCOM-Europe, CID, Chaplain, and the Judge Advocate. A DSARC from Kosovo presented the "Roles and Responsibilities of Advocates in Remote and Deployed Environments."
- MEDCOM launched a new Tri-Service sanctioned Healthcare Training package on the Army Learning Management System in April 2008. Additionally, MEDCOM incorporated a Department of Justice approved virtual/interactive Sexual Assault Forensic and Clinical Management DVD to support training at each Regional Medical Command/MTF.
- The Army's Family Morale Welfare and Recreation Command (FMWRC) collaborated with the nationally recognized civilian program, *Men Can Stop Rape*, to facilitate five Army-wide teleconferences conducted. In total, 129 Family Advocacy Program Managers, SARCs and VAs participated in these teleconferences.
- The Office of The Judge Advocate General trained 42 Army and 7 Air Force Victim Witness Liaisons during September 2008. Training included materials concerning communicating with victims and military sexual trauma.
- U.S. Army Central (USARCENT) began conducting a 40-48 hour certification training program for DSARCs and UVAs each quarter.
- The U.S. Army Military Police School (USAMPS) extended its sexual assault investigations / first responder Mobile Training Team (MTT) training to include support to MEDCOM, the Air Force Academy, US Navy, Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape (PCAR), Supreme Headquarters Allied Powers Europe (SHAPE), End Violence Against Women International (EVAWI), and numerous Army installations.

- The U.S. Military Academy at West Point continues to improve its education and training to focus more on prevention and promotion of a healthy culture. This included a nationally renowned guest lecture for each class (Freshman to Senior), developmentally selected to complement their Sexual Assault Prevention Training.

Incident Tracking and Reporting

- The Army SAPR Program Office continued to improve the Sexual Assault Data Management System (SADMS) which provides a centralized repository of data (advocacy, law enforcement, legal, and limited medical data) regarding Army sexual assault cases.
- To support sexual assault data integration, the Army continued to expand and improve its reporting tool used by SARCs and DSARCs as the advocacy data feeder system to SADMS.

Other Significant Actions

- The Assistant Secretary of the Army for Manpower and Reserve Affairs conducted six SAPR program compliance visits throughout the year to spot-check commands' policy and program execution and identify best practices for consideration for Army-wide implementation.
- In conjunction with the DoD SAPRO, the Army SAPR Program facilitated the distribution of posters, brochures, and other literature to all SARCs across the Army to observe National Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM). The Army's Family Morale Welfare and Recreation Command (FMWRC) developed a SAAM Toolkit, focused on prevention activities.
- USAREUR expanded its resource library to provide additional resources to first responders.
- Army commands continue to maintain emphasis on the SAPR Program. Command inspections occurred in many commands across the Army.

Unrestricted Reporting

This section of the report provides high level information about the 1,328 unrestricted reports investigated by CID in FY08. The complete unrestricted report data is in Enclosure 1 (Unrestricted Report Matrix). With the Army's and individual commands strong emphasis on sexual assault prevention, the Army anticipated an increase in sexual assault reports (both restricted and unrestricted).

The Army welcomes victim's increased confidence to provide them support and care and the ability to hold offenders accountable for their actions. Each reported case of sexual assault provides the Army the opportunity to close the gap between reported cases and the actual assaults occurring in the Army, increases other victim's propensity to report, discourages further assaults, and

assists the Army meeting its goal to eliminate this crime and ensure Soldier safety.

Victims

Figure 1 shows the breakout of victims (service members and non-service members) and each type of sexual assault investigated in FY08 unrestricted reports. The proportion of assault type (e.g. 56% rape/aggravated sexual assault cases) and cases with service member victims (71%) are both comparable to the data reported in FY07.

Victim Status by Assault Type (all unrestricted cases)	Service Member Victim	Non-Service Member Victim	Total Cases	Percent of Total
Rape	279	170	449	34%
Non-Consensual Sodomy	56	21	77	6%
Aggravated Sexual Assault	203	91	294	22%
Aggravated Sexual Contact	40	12	52	4%
Abusive Sexual Contact	84	20	104	8%
Wrongful Sexual Contact	255	61	316	24%
Indecent Assault	28	8	36	3%
Total	945	383	1328	100%

Figure 1: Victim Status by Assault Type (FY08 Unrestricted Cases)

Most demographical trends regarding Army victims have also remained relatively consistent over the past few years, specifically with respect to age, rank, and gender. For example, over 74% of Army sexual assault victims in completed FY08 investigations were 24 years old, or younger – compared to 78% in FY07. Also, 89% of Army victims in FY08 were in the grades E1-E4, compared to 90% in FY07.

The percentage of male Army victims reported in sexual assault cases returned to its historical trend of less than 10% during FY08 when 9% of Army victims in reported cases were males, compared to 12% in FY07.

Subjects

Trends regarding alleged Army offenders showed little change in FY08. The gender of identified alleged offenders remained consistent (99% are male) as did the percentage of alleged offenders who were E1-E4 (62% in both FY07 and FY08). However, the number of alleged offenders 24 years old or younger decreased from 53% in FY07 to 48% in FY08.

Additionally, 61% of all completed investigations resulted in founded allegation. This is also consistent with recent years where the percentage has ranged between 60-70%.

Figure 2 shows the breakout of subjects (alleged offenders) and each type of sexual assault investigated in FY08 unrestricted reports. The proportion of FY08

cases with service member subjects (78%) is lower than the 83% reported in FY07 cases; although there were more unidentified offenders (16%) in FY08 than in FY07 (14%).

Offender Status by Assault Type (all unrestricted cases)	Service Member Offender	Non-Service Member Offender	Unidentified Offender	Total Cases	Percent of Total
Rape	337	13	99	449	34%
Non-Consensual Sodomy	63	0	14	77	6%
Aggravated Sexual Assault	228	9	57	294	22%
Aggravated Sexual Contact	43	4	5	52	4%
Abusive Sexual Contact	92	5	7	104	8%
Wrongful Sexual Contact	246	32	38	316	24%
Indecent Assault	33	0	3	36	3%
Total	1042	63	223	1328	100%

Figure 2: Offender Status by Assault Type (FY08 Unrestricted Cases)

Overview of Reports

The unrestricted reports of sexual assault shown above in Figures 1 and 2, and detailed in Enclosure 1, represent all cases reported to CID during FY08 in which either the victim or alleged offender was a service member, but neither was a juvenile. Each unrestricted report is thoroughly investigated and documented by CID, regardless if the case is later determined to be unfounded.

The number of offenders and victims, their status (Soldier or civilian), the status and location of witnesses, the type of sexual assault, and the amount of time that elapsed from the date of the incident until it was reported, all contribute to the complexity and length of any investigation.

The Army continues to monitor all of the FY08 investigations that were not completed by 30 Sep 08, and will report their status in the quarterly reports submitted to the DoD Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO) throughout FY09.

Although not a required data element in the reports submitted to DoD, the Army continues to monitor the presence of alcohol in sexual assault cases. This data has consistently shown that alcohol involvement among victims is a common element in many of rape case investigated by CID. Alcohol use by offenders is also significant, but not to the same extent or level of intoxication as victims.

Restricted Reporting

This section of the report provides brief information about the restricted reports filed by Soldiers during FY08. The complete restricted report data is contained in Enclosure 2 (Restricted Report Matrix).

Victims

During FY08, the Army recorded 309 restricted reports, of which 53 later changed to unrestricted.

Similar to the victim demographics in unrestricted reports, nearly 90% of the victims in FY08 restricted reports were female; 74% were age 24 or younger; and 80% were E1-E4.

Overview of Reports

In contrast to unrestricted reports, the majority (51%) of restricted reports were for alleged assaults that occurred outside a military installation. Additionally, a higher percentage (9%) of victims filing restricted reports waited over a year to file their report, compared to only 5% of unrestricted reports.

Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

Unrestricted Reports

Soldiers and other service members receiving victim services related to unrestricted reports of sexual assault continue to overwhelmingly use military facilities (greater than 99%) rather than civilian facilities. This includes 19 victims who received services for an incident that occurred prior to joining the military. Additionally, 198 SAFE exams were conducted for unrestricted reports.

Restricted Reports

All Soldiers and other service members receiving victim services related to restricted reports of sexual assault did so in military facilities. This includes ten victims who received services for an incident that occurred prior to joining the military. Additionally, 44 SAFE exams were conducted for restricted reports.

Non-Military (DoD Civilians, Contractors, etc.)

There were 72 non-military personnel who received victim services related to unrestricted reports of sexual assault during FY08. Most of these victims (66) received services in military facilities, including one in the CENTCOM AOR. Additionally, 49 of the victims received a SAFE exam. Restricted reports were not offered to non-military personnel during FY08.

Combat Areas of Interest

The Army's deployed units continue to focus is on victim response and care and creating a strong synergy between law enforcement, legal, command teams, chaplains and medical personnel. Deployed units face unique challenges presented by operating without formal Joint policies and preserving victim confidentiality in a combat zone.

Additional challenges for deployed units include:

- Rotating victim advocate, medical, and law enforcement personnel throughout the battle space.
- Protecting victim identity if SAFE requested for restricted report.
- Transportation to treatment facility in hostile environment.
- Having adequate Joint policy to provide DoD civilians and contractors SAPR program support services.

Unrestricted Reports

This section provides brief information about the 168 unrestricted reports investigated by CID in the CENTCOM AOR during FY08. The complete data is in Enclosure 4 (Combat Areas of Interest Unrestricted Report Matrix).

Victims in reported sexual assaults in CENTCOM during FY08 tended to be older and higher rank than victims in Army-wide cases. Specifically, 76% of Army victims in CENTCOM reported cases were E1-E4 compared to 88% of victims Army-wide. Similarly, 59% of victims in CENTCOM reports were 24 years old or younger, compared to 72% Army-wide.

Subjects (alleged offenders) in reported sexual assaults in CENTCOM during FY08 also tended to be older and higher rank than subjects in Army-wide cases. Specifically, 42% of Army subjects in CENTCOM reported cases were E1-E4 compared to 62% of subjects Army-wide. (Note: 55% of identified Army subjects in CENTCOM were E5-E9, compared to 32% Army-wide). Similarly, 34% of subjects in CENTCOM reports were 24 years old or younger, compared to 48% in Army-wide reports.

Restricted Reports

During FY08, the Army recorded 40 restricted reports in the CENTCOM Combat Area of Interest, of which 10 reports later changed to unrestricted.

Similar to the demographics in CENTCOM unrestricted reports, victims in FY08 restricted reports among deployed units tended to be older and higher rank than victims in Army-wide restricted reports. Specifically, 57% of Army victims in CENTCOM reported cases were E1-E4 compared to 80% of victims Army-wide. Similarly, 37% of victims in CENTCOM restricted reports were 24 years old or younger, compared to 74% in Army-wide restricted reports.

The complete restricted report data is contained in Enclosure 5 (Combat Areas of Interest Restricted Report Matrix).

Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

All victims receiving services related to both unrestricted and restricted reports of sexual assault in CENTCOM did so in military facilities. This includes three SAFE exams for restricted reports and 12 SAFE exams for unrestricted reports.

The complete data regarding victim services in CENTCOM is contained in Enclosure 6 (Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault - CAI).

Challenges with Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting

Joint Environment

The absence of Joint policies is primarily an issue among deployed units, often resulting in unnecessary duplication and inconsistent application of services. Generally, each Service operates its own program without any Joint Headquarters program management element.

Combat Areas of Interest

Converging all programs under a joint command impacts two areas: reporting and awareness. The benefit is that the senior mission commander on the ground, regardless of service, can maintain a comprehensive theater view of incidences of sexual assault rather than just those of his or her service; additionally, the awareness campaign can be executed by a service and will be dependent on geographic location rather than service affiliation (e.g., the Navy has a small footprint and is clustered in a specific regional area; however Sailors co-located with Army units benefit from awareness and training given by the Army).

Tracking Victim Services

The Army's network of trained SAPR Program managers in the CENTCOM AOR does an excellent job supervising their respective DSARCs and UVAs. Challenges faced in CENTCOM with respect to tracking victim services are primarily the result of the unit rotations and the lack of visibility the gaining unit has of the re-deploying victim. The Army's interim reporting solution facilitates transferring cases from deployed DSARCs to installation or reserve component SARCs in order to track follow-on services for re-deploying victims.

Restricted Reporting

The safety challenges as well as logistical issues in a combat zone differ from those in a garrison environment. Confidentially of the restricted option is difficult not simply because of privacy of information; it also is difficult since command teams function as the support network for victims when they are deployed. Victims who are struggling to recover emotionally from a sexual assault, chose a restricted option and chose to stay in the combat zones are at a risk for not having the leader supports of oversight that is necessary if they were at home station with family/friend support networks.

Other – Underreporting

Nationally, sexual assault is the most underreported crime, with a report rate of only 18%. In a recent Army survey, Soldiers who had experienced sexual assault within the previous twelve months reported a 33% propensity to report the crime. Some victims who did report waited until more than a year after their assault occurred. During FY08, 91 of the reported cases of sexual assault occurred at least one year before the victim reported the incident. Many Soldiers

never report that they were a victim of sexual assault, citing that reporting would make their work situation more unpleasant.

The Army's continued emphasis on its SAPR Program, and the confidential availability of victim services, may be reasons why some Soldiers come forward years after their sexual assault. However, the propensity of a Soldier to report is often dependent on the individual, the nature of the assault, and the unit climate. Consequently, reporting trends in the Army continue to show fluctuations.

Figure 4, below, graphically portrays the Army's quarterly reports of sexual assault since the 4th Quarter FY04.




Figure 3: Quarterly Reports of Sexual Assault in the Army

The fluctuation in reported cases may be the result of a victim's propensity to report (or not report) rather than an actual decrease or increase in the number of sexual assaults in the Army.

Recent Army survey data shows that as many as 70% of female Soldiers who said they experienced sexual assault within the previous 12 months did not report the assault; including 56% of rape victims. There is no previous data to show whether this is an increase or decrease, but additional data over time may help gauge the magnitude of the number of sexual assaults in the Army.

Additionally, reported cases of sexual assault involving Soldiers in CENTCOM is historically much lower than the rate of reported cases throughout the Army.

Figure 5 (below) shows the Army and CENTCOM AOR reported sexual assault rates per 1000 Soldiers, since 2004. The data show that reports of sexual

assault involving Soldiers deployed in CENTCOM consistently occur at a significantly lower rate than the entire Army.

Reports of Sexual Assaults (Rate/1000)[1]	CY 2004[2]	CY 2005[2]	CY 2006	FY 2007[3]	FY 2008
Army Rate/1000	1.1	2.0	2.8	2.6	2.6
CENTCOM Rate/1000	0.6	0.9	1.2	0.8	1.4

Figure 4: Reported Sexual Assaults in the Army – Rate/1000 (2004 - 2008)

Note 1: Includes restricted and unrestricted reports.

Note 2: Restricted reporting did not go into effect until June 2005.

Note 3: Beginning in 2007, DoD directed reporting be by fiscal year, not calendar year.

The disparity between the Army and the CENTCOM rates/1000 calls into question whether sexual assaults actually occur at a much lower rate in CENTCOM, or whether sexual assaults are reported at a much lower rate than in the rest of the Army. To conclusively determine this requires continuous data analysis and survey results over sustained periods of time. Current available data and survey findings are not definitive, but do suggest that the rate of sexual assaults in CENTCOM could be comparable to the total Army rate.

For example, since 2005, the number of reported cases of sexual assault in CENTCOM represents approximately 10% of the reported cases Army-wide, yet the Army's most recent comprehensive Human Relations (HR) Update survey cited 28% of female Soldiers who experienced sexual assault said it happened during deployment.

Case Synopses of Completed Investigations

The Army is committed to conducting a fair and thorough investigation of each unrestricted report of sexual assault. While other jurisdictions, at the discretion of the special agent in charge, may dispose of reports of sexual assault before opening an investigation, the Army's practice is to formally investigate every allegation. Although this practice may contribute to a seemingly higher number of cases, it is necessary to ensure each unrestricted report receives a proper and thorough investigation. The completed case synopses in Enclosure 7 highlight the priority Army CID places on the proper conduct and resolution of all sexual assault investigations.

The length of time to complete a sexual assault criminal investigation during FY08 averaged 89 days, slightly higher than 76 days in FY07. However, each case is unique and the amount of time it takes to complete an investigation is dependent on several factors, including: type of complaint, delays in reporting the incident, ages and types of victims, amount of physical evidence, and

cooperative or uncooperative witnesses. As a result, 329 investigations started during FY08 remained open at the end of the FY08, while 999 were complete.

Information regarding 1086 subjects (alleged offenders) in the 999 and investigations completed during FY08 include:

- 463 subjects had no action taken against them due to unfounded allegations or insufficient evidence, reducing the number of subjects available for disciplinary action to 623.

  (Note: A case is considered unfounded when it is determined by CID, based on a review of the results of a criminal investigation, that the alleged criminal offense did not occur. Similarly, insufficient evidence cases are those which do not have adequate physical evidence or witnesses to reasonably support a criminal allegation or a successful judicial or non-judicial action).

- 47 subjects (in founded/substantiated cases) could not be identified, reducing the number of subjects available for disciplinary action to 576.
- 28 subjects were processed under civilian or foreign authority, reducing the number of service member subjects available for disciplinary action to 548.
- 268 subjects had action pending at the end of FY08, reducing the number of service member subjects available for disciplinary action to 280.
- All 280 service member subjects had action taken (administrative or disciplinary) against them.
  - 56 Courts-martial
  - 102 Non-judicial Punishments
  - 19 Discharges in-lieu of Courts-martial
  - 21 Discharges in-lieu of Disciplinary Action
  - 82 Administrative/other Actions

Other noteworthy information regarding the completed and substantiated investigations includes:

- The cases with the highest ranking officer to allegedly assault another Soldier involved three O4's. One male O4 is pending Court Martial, accused of Abusive Sexual Contact with three male Soldiers (two E4s and one E3). Another male O4 is pending Court Martial, accused of Indecent Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact with two female Soldiers (one E4 and one E5). A third male O4 received a General Officer Article 15, Relief for Cause, and written reprimand for Wrongful Sexual Contact with a female E4.
- The most prevalent rank demographic in Soldier on Soldier cases involved E1-E4 subjects allegedly assaulting E1-E4 victims. Specifically, there were 243 cases in which Soldiers who were E1-E4 allegedly assaulted victims who were also E1-E4. Additionally, there were 52 substantiated cases in which E-5's were subjects of sexual assault investigations where the victims were E1-E4.

Details of all FY08 substantiated (founded) cases are found at Enclosure 7 (Synopses of Completed and Substantiated Unrestricted Sexual Assault Reports Involving US Military as Subject or Victim).

Lessons Learned During FY08

The primary lesson learned during FY08 was that while sexual assault awareness and victim services efforts were being executed, the Army was still experiencing assaults against its Soldiers and needed a more robust and comprehensive Sexual Assault Prevention Campaign in which leadership is focused on creating a climate that rejects gender-based misconduct, in attitude, word and deed.

Other lessons learned or reported to the Army SAPR Program Office include:

- Continuing education/quarterly training for DSARCs and UVAs training is challenging to manage due to competing mission requirements. This requires constant attention by Installation SARCs and unit commanders in order ensure DSARCs and UVAs receive all their required training.
- The turnover rate among contracted Installation SARCs resulted in continued suggestions that these positions need to be full-time government employees.
- Several commands identified the need to allocate more funding and resources to the SAPR Program to adequately implement all program requirements, including: more installation victim advocates to provide 24/7 coverage; more funds for training, educational materials, display items, and supplies; and funding for site assistance visits.
- USAREUR continues to report that some Guard and Reserve Soldiers deploying/mobilized to Europe are still not trained.
- Sexual Assault Review Boards (SARB) continue to be an effective case management and program assessment/improvement forum.
- The Army Research Institute 2008 Sample Survey of Military Personnel (SSMP) found that the vast majority of Soldiers and leaders said that sexual harassment and sexual assault training received in the last 12 months was effective in making personnel aware of Army programs and resources, and explaining the restricted and unrestricted reporting options. However, some commands report that there remains confusion among junior Soldiers regarding reporting options.
- The Army CID Inspector General conducted evaluations of investigative services related to sexual assault investigations. General findings verified, with few exceptions, that investigations of sexual assaults were conducted in a thorough and timely manner, and met the investigative standards of the command. Agents conducting the investigations were focused on both the needs of the victim, as well as identifying the perpetrator and collecting evidence to sustain a conviction. Both the IG and the Standards of Conduct

Office reported that the number of complaints against CID agents conducting sexual assault investigations was lower this year than the preceding year.

- Some of the exceptions to the conduct of thorough and timely sexual assault investigations stems from the fact that CID has an insufficient number of agents, many of whom lack the experience to conduct a sexual assault investigation. Deployments of agents to Iraq and Afghanistan and increased demands for protective service missions, both of which typically utilize more experienced agents, compound the shortfall of CID agents available to conduct sexual assault investigations.

Status of FY08 Plans in FY07 Report

Most of the FY08 initiatives cited in last year's report did occur during the year. This includes multiple training initiatives from responder groups and commands across the Army. Some of the initiatives that were not completed have been carried over to FY09, including:

- A major revision to Army SAPR Program policy in AR 600-20 continues and is scheduled for completion in FY09.
- MEDCOM Regulation 40-36 (Medical Facility Management of Sexual Assault) is in final draft and scheduled for publication in FY09.
- Efforts are underway to develop two versions of the Army's Sexual Assault Prevention (I. A.M. Strong) training video in order to continue to effect cultural change.

Plans for FY09

The primary impetus for SAPR Program improvements during FY09 is the Army's Sexual Assault Prevention Campaign – "I. A.M. Strong".

Specifically, the Army plans to take the following actions during FY09 to improve its Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program:

<u>Investigation and Prosecution of Sexual Assault Cases:</u>

- The Army intends to fund training at the National Institute of Trial Advocacy; in conjunction with the National center for the Prosecution of Violence Against Women, create a course in Prosecuting Sexual Assault Cases in the Military; fund attendance at the Center for American and International Law for training of senior litigators; expand the capability of the Trial Counsel Assistance training program and fund attendance at those programs of civilian subject matter experts, and create a pilot distance learning program concerning the prosecution of sexual assault cases.
- Additionally, the Army will fund a resource/kit bag of fundamental references including Prosecution of Alcohol Facilitated Sexual Assault (American Prosecutors Research Institute publication) and Sexual Assault Trials, Third

Edition. The Army will also authorize 15 special victim prosecutors at our largest jurisdictions.

- CID plans to hire nationally recognized experts in the sexual assault field as consultants or Highly Qualified Experts. These experts will fill positions at the CID units in the field, at the Headquarters, at the US Army Military Police School (USAMPS), and at the US Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL). These experts will:
  - Direct, manage, coordinate, and oversee worldwide CID sexual assault investigation programs.
  - Mentor senior leaders on investigative techniques, requirements, and related subjects briefings.
  - Provide expert oversight for criminal investigations relating to such areas as rape and sexual assault.
  - Provide expert level training in investigating sensitive and complex sexual assault cases.
  - Assist criminal investigators in preparation of major cases.
- CID plans to form sexual assault investigation teams (generally at larger installations) to better address the timeliness and thoroughness of sexual assault investigations. These teams will function similar to civilian police department Special Victim Units. Team members will receive increased specialized training from the Highly Qualified Experts that are being hired, and they may also receive additional training or mentorship from local civilian police departments that have specialized units. These teams are temporary until the Army's cultural change takes root and grows.

<u>Sexual Assault Prevention Training:</u>

- Interactive Training: In conjunction with national subject matter experts, the Army is developing two interactive, decision-making and communications tools. The first training tool is focused on Initial Military Training, to include U.S. Military Academy, ROTC and JROTC cadets and new recruits. The second tool is designed for mandatory annual SAPR training for all Soldiers.
- SAPR Program Manager Training Conference: The conference will introduce training and support materials along with the most current subject matter expert input and recommendations in a forum for Army proponents tasked to execute the Army's Sexual Assault Prevention Campaign.
- Sex Signals Tour: "Sex Signals" is a 90-minute program with interactive skits that deal with dating, rape, consent and other topics such as body language, alcohol and intervention. The program, which has been presented at many universities and colleges, was created by Catharsis Productions and uses innovative methods to educate audiences on social issues. The Army has contracted with Catharsis to tour Army installations and in the CENTCOM AOR during FY09. Approximately 105 Sex Signals

shows are planned between 1 Oct - 19 Dec 08. Another 289 shows are planned to be performed NLT 30 Sep 09.

Command Programs and Support:

- I. A.M. Strong Command Program: The command program encourages Soldiers to actively engage within their communities to foster respectful treatment of others and prevent sexual assault. This includes training and workshops, volunteer I. A.M. Strong and Soldier Network members, and community leaders.
- Commander's Resource Site: This permission-based, online resource/site is specifically for Commanders to facilitate the sharing of best practices, success stories, current initiatives and innovations around the entire prevention sphere of topics.
- Promotions-on-Demand: This online site will feature I. A.M. Strong marketing, branding, and promotional materials to order or print on-demand. Following an initial issue to battalion level units across the Army, all commands can use this site to re-supply or supplement their I. A.M. Strong Campaign products.

Addressing Social Norms:

- 2009 Prevention Summit: The second annual summit is planned for Sexual Assault Awareness Month (April 2009) and will include Army Staff SAPR program proponents, commanders and their SAPR Program Managers, Governmental Agency representatives (Health and Human Services, Center for Disease Control, Veterans Administration, Department of Justice, Department of State, FBI, INTERPOL, Department of Education, etc) and national subject matter experts.
- Social Networking Online Site: This primary prevention venue is intended to encourage Soldiers to actively engage within their communities to foster respectful treatment of others and prevent sexual assault.
- Sexual Assault Prevention Training Videos: Two versions of a training video are planned for production to promote I. A.M. Strong prevention initiatives among Soldiers and leaders.
- I. A.M. Strong Soldier "Heroes": This part of the I. A.M. Strong program honors Soldiers and community leaders who support the Army's effort to foster respect within its communities and prevent sexual assault.
- The SAPR program office is developing a new web-based system to allow Sexual Assault Response Coordinators to input reported Sexual Assaults, track Sexual Assault responding personnel training, and produce reports to assess the SAPR program and completion of specific tasks in the SAPR Action Plan. It will have modular functions, user roles and permissions, security, and increased data integrity of sexual assault reports, and will document support personnel training. This web-based system will include

Unit Identification Code data that will provide unit level data that will allow commanders to identify trends.

Other significant actions planned for FY09 include:

- OTJAG plans to conduct four regional training conferences led by the Army Trial Counsel Assistance Program (TCAP) Program. The conferences will emphasize training in the area of sexual assaults. Guest speakers will include experts from Walter Reed Psychiatric Department, A sexual Assault Nurse Examiner, Computer Forensic Experts, and experts on prosecuting alcohol facilitated acquaintance sexual assault.
- OTJAG (through TCAP) also plans to conduct several other training sessions during FY09, including: training on the prosecution of sexual assault cases; instruction on how to successfully use forensic evidence at trial; training on specific issues related to sexual assault cases in deployed locations; and victim/witness liaison training.
- The USAMPS will update its Training Support Packages (TSPs) for law enforcement first responders and investigators. Updates will include new lessons learned based on consultations, research, and collaboration with other professionals at the national level.
- SMEs from USAMPS will personally conduct all 2009 sexual assault investigations refresher training at CID battalions. USAMPS will also assist in training in support of newly formed special victims units throughout CID.
- The Army plans a major revision to AR 600-20 to address polices issues identified during the first few years of the SAPR Program.
- The Army is involved in an initiative to combine Prevention of Sexual Harassment (POSH) training and SAPR training. The initiative will look at both military and civilian POSH training and determine whether they can and/or should be combined with SAPR training.
- The National Guard will finish a Title 32 National Guard Regulation on the SAPR Program.
- The Army Reserve plans to develop abbreviated SARC and UVA curriculums, via its Virtual University, to accommodate the limited time and funding resources available to Army Reserve Soldiers and units.
- The National Guard plans on conducting refresher training conference for all SARCs in August 2009.
- USAREUR plans to conduct a Lean Six Sigma project to review the case data reported to USAREUR SARCs.
- USAREUR plans five assistance visits for FY09, using focus groups and interviews with Soldiers (to include commanders at all levels) and SAPR Program personnel to determine their knowledge and awareness of AR 600-20, Chapter 8 and SAPR program procedures.

- ARCENT plans to develop a Sexual Assault Prevention Action Plan and Strategy.
- TRADOC plans to conduct an Army Values Day where installations stand-down to conduct multiple training classes, to include sexual assault prevention. TRADOC also plans to establish a dedicated sexual assault training position at each of the 17 TRADOC sites and TRADOC headquarters.
- The U.S. Military Academy will continue with semi-annual assessments to gauge the progress of policy changes program initiatives. Additionally, the West Point Office of Intercollegiate Athletics will coordinate raining for all staff, faculty, coaches and athletes to include cultural assessment forums to identify cultural and gender issues in athletics.
- The Army SAPR Program Office continues to manage the Army's requirements in support of the Defense Task Force on Sexual Assault in the Military Services (DTF-SAMS), to include visits to the CENTCOM AOR.

Conclusion

The U.S. Army's prominence and positive reputation is largely due to its values, warrior ethos and devoted people – Soldiers and civilians. With the pending success of the "I. A.M. Strong" Sexual Assault Prevention Campaign, the Army will more fully align itself with the values it professes and the ideals lived, even unto death, by Soldiers like Ross McGinnis and Monica Brown.

Enclosure 1 - Unrestricted Report Matrix

ARMY FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**1476**
# Service Member victims	1081
# Non-Service Member victims	395
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**1328**
# Service Member on Service Member	659
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	383
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	63
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	223
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**1328**
# On military installation	918
# Off military installation	393
# Unidentified location	17
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**1328**
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	329
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**999**
# Restricted Reports	**309**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	53
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	**256**
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**1328**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	490
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	520
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	256
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	62
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault	**1328**
# Midnight to 6 am	448
# 6 am to 6 pm	348
# 6 pm to midnight	478
# Unknown	54
Day of sexual assault	**1328**
# Sunday	290
# Monday	143
# Tuesday	130
# Wednesday	122
# Thursday	125
# Friday	161
# Saturday	301
# Unknown	56
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Completed investigations	**999**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	191
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**1086**
# Your Service Member subjects	849
# Service Member subjects from other Services	9
# Non-Service Member subjects	53
# Unidentified subjects	175
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**1128**
# Service Member victims	813
# Non-Service Member victims	286
# Service Member victims from other Services	29
# Unknown	0

D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Subjects)	FY08 TOTALS
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**1086**
# Unidentified subjects	47
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	463
# Under civilian/foreign authority	28
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	268
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**280**
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**280**
# Courts-martial	56
# Nonjudicial Punishments	102
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	19
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	21
# Other administrative actions	82
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Investigations pending from reports made from FY04 to FY07 that were completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**348**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-08	5
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - COMPLETED as of 30-SEP-08	343
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - resolved as of 30-SEP-08	**538**
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY07 reports and investigations were completed in FY08	**538**
# Unidentified subjects	24
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	203
# Under civilian/foreign authority	8
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	162
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**141**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**141**
# Courts-martial	78
# Nonjudicial punishments	19
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	44

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ARMY FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER (as of 30 SEP 08)							
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	**Male on Female**	**Male on Male**	**Female on Male**	**Female on Female**	**Unknown on Male**	**Unknown on Female**	**FY08 Totals**
	1027	**68**	**7**	**6**	**18**	**202**	**1328**
# Service Member on Service Member	591	58	5	5	0	0	659
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	376	6	0	1	0	0	383
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	58	3	2	0	0	0	63
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	2	1	0	0	18	202	223

ARMY FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE (as of 30 SEP 08)													
REPORTS MADE IN FY08	***Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS***				***FY08 INCIDENTS***								
G-1. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses**	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**FY08 Totals**
	113	**35**	**11**	**0**	**336**	**294**	**52**	**104**	**315**	**67**	**1**	**0**	**1328**
# Service Member on Service Member	51	24	8	0	118	136	31	72	184	34	1	0	659
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	33	8	3	0	135	92	12	20	61	19	0	0	383
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	12	9	4	5	32	0	0	0	63
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	28	3	0	0	71	57	5	7	38	14	0	0	223
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	**80**	**42**	**10**	**0**	**201**	**203**	**50**	**105**	**333**	**54**	**3**	**0**	**1081**
# Service Member Victims: Female	80	40	8	0	198	199	46	87	285	22	3	0	968
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	2	2	0	3	4	4	18	48	32	0	0	113
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08													
Time of sexual assault	**113**	**35**	**11**	**0**	**336**	**294**	**52**	**104**	**315**	**67**	**1**	**0**	**1328**
# Midnight to 6 am	28	13	1	0	106	136	16	36	83	29	0	0	448
# 6 am to 6 pm	25	3	2	0	83	64	16	34	109	12	0	0	348
# 6 pm to midnight	51	15	8	0	138	82	20	32	111	21	0	0	478
# Unknown	9	4	0	0	9	12	0	2	12	5	1	0	54
Day of sexual assault	**113**	**35**	**11**	**0**	**336**	**294**	**52**	**104**	**315**	**67**	**1**	**0**	**1328**
# Sunday	26	11	3	0	69	84	8	18	60	11	0	0	290
# Monday	9	3	2	0	36	31	8	12	38	4	0	0	143
# Tuesday	12	0	0	0	39	14	4	12	38	11	0	0	130
# Wednesday	6	5	1	0	24	23	6	16	38	3	0	0	122
# Thursday	14	2	0	0	36	23	3	11	28	8	0	0	125
# Friday	15	4	3	0	41	32	6	12	39	9	0	0	161
# Saturday	22	6	2	0	83	75	16	21	59	17	0	0	301
# Unknown	9	4	0	0	8	12	1	2	15	4	1	0	56

REPORTS MADE IN FY08	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS				FY08 INCIDENTS								
G-2. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS													
Gender of VICTIMS	**91**	**46**	**12**	**0**	**226**	**189**	**49**	**102**	**357**	**54**	**2**	**0**	**1128**
# Male	0	2	2	0	3	3	3	15	46	24	0	0	98
# Female	91	44	10	0	223	186	46	87	311	30	2	0	1030
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	**91**	**46**	**12**	**0**	**226**	**189**	**49**	**102**	**357**	**54**	**2**	**0**	**1128**
# 16-19	22	8	4	0	41	44	9	27	77	11	0	0	243
# 20-24	41	25	3	0	107	93	28	50	180	29	1	0	557
# 25-34	16	10	5	0	58	42	11	19	80	10	1	0	252
# 35-49	5	2	0	0	13	6	1	4	14	2	0	0	47
# 50-64	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	6
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	6	0	0	0	7	4	0	1	4	1	0	0	23
Grade of VICTIMS	**91**	**46**	**12**	**0**	**226**	**189**	**49**	**102**	**357**	**54**	**2**	**0**	**1128**
# E1-E4	58	31	6	0	119	117	37	78	258	38	2	0	744
# E5-E9	4	6	1	0	12	12	4	6	33	1	0	0	79
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	2	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	12
# O4-O10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
# Civilian	25	8	3	0	92	59	8	17	55	13	0	0	280
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	6
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of VICTIMS	**91**	**46**	**12**	**0**	**226**	**189**	**49**	**102**	**357**	**54**	**2**	**0**	**1128**
# Army	63	37	9	0	129	125	38	84	288	38	2	0	813
# Air Force	3	0	0	0	2	3	1	0	8	0	0	0	17
# Navy	0	1	0	0	2	2	2	1	3	0	0	0	11
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# Civilian	25	8	3	0	93	59	8	17	58	15	0	0	286
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	**91**	**46**	**12**	**0**	**226**	**189**	**49**	**102**	**357**	**54**	**2**	**0**	**1128**
# Active Duty	60	37	9	0	123	118	39	72	258	35	1	0	752
# Reserve (Activated)	3	1	0	0	6	6	0	4	13	3	0	0	36
# National Guard (Activated)	3	0	0	0	4	6	2	9	28	1	1	0	54
# Civilian	25	8	3	0	93	59	8	17	58	15	0	0	286
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

REPORTS MADE IN FY08	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS				FY08 INCIDENTS								
G-3. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS													
Gender of SUBJECTS	**101**	**33**	**11**	**0**	**242**	**213**	**46**	**91**	**291**	**57**	**1**	**0**	**1086**
# Male	73	30	10	0	192	173	42	84	251	43	1	0	899
# Female	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	6	1	0	0	12
# Unknown	28	3	1	0	48	39	4	5	34	13	0	0	175
Age of SUBJECTS	**101**	**33**	**11**	**0**	**242**	**213**	**46**	**91**	**291**	**57**	**1**	**0**	**1086**
# 16-19	4	0	0	0	18	15	1	11	21	2	0	0	72
# 20-24	33	8	4	0	74	85	22	30	84	18	0	0	358
# 25-34	22	13	2	0	82	52	10	33	102	14	1	0	331
# 35-49	9	7	3	0	18	19	8	11	42	9	0	0	126
# 50-64	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	9
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	31	3	2	0	50	42	5	5	39	13	0	0	190
Grade of SUBJECTS	**101**	**33**	**11**	**0**	**242**	**213**	**46**	**91**	**291**	**57**	**1**	**0**	**1086**
# E1-E4	34	9	2	0	117	127	18	58	145	23	0	0	533
# E5-E9	32	18	6	0	59	36	19	24	68	19	1	0	282
# WO1-WO5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4
# O1-O3	4	0	1	0	6	2	2	1	7	1	0	0	24
# O4-O10	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	1	5	1	0	0	13
# Cadet/Midshipman	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Civilian	2	0	0	0	8	5	1	1	8	0	0	0	25
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	23	0	0	0	28
# Unknown	28	3	1	0	48	39	4	5	34	13	0	0	175
Service of SUBJECTS	**101**	**33**	**11**	**0**	**242**	**213**	**46**	**91**	**291**	**57**	**1**	**0**	**1086**
# Army	69	30	10	0	182	167	38	84	224	44	1	0	849
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	5
# Navy	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Marine	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
# Civilian	2	0	0	0	9	7	3	1	31	0	0	0	53
# Unknown	28	3	1	0	48	39	4	5	34	13	0	0	175
Status of SUBJECTS	**101**	**33**	**11**	**0**	**242**	**213**	**46**	**91**	**291**	**57**	**1**	**0**	**1086**
# Active Duty	67	27	10	0	172	153	38	76	204	41	1	0	789
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	7	8	1	3	7	1	0	0	27
# National Guard (Activated)	4	3	0	0	6	6	0	6	15	2	0	0	42
# Civilian	2	0	0	0	9	7	3	1	31	0	0	0	53
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	28	3	1	0	48	39	4	5	34	13	0	0	175

Enclosure 2 - Restricted Report Matrix

ARMY FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**309**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**309**
# Service Member on Service Member	95
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	25
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	189
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**309**
# On military installation	147
# Off military installation	156
# Unidentified location	6
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**309**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	125
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	81
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	67
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	26
# Unknown	10
Time of sexual assault incident	**309**
# Midnight to 6 am	116
# 6 am to 6 pm	57
# 6 pm to midnight	106
# Unknown	30
Day of sexual assault incident	**309**
# Sunday	56
# Monday	24
# Tuesday	38
# Wednesday	35
# Thursday	29
# Friday	37
# Saturday	80
# Unknown	10
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**309**
# Army victims	293
# Air Force victims	3
# Navy victims	4
# Marine victims	0
# Unknown	9
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**309**
# Male	35
# Female	262
# Unknown	12
Age of VICTIMS	**309**
# 16-19	86
# 20-24	121
# 25-34	62
# 35-49	11
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	28
Grade of VICTIMS	**309**
# E1-E4	236
# E5-E9	41
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	12
# O4-O10	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	3
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	16
Service of VICTIMS	**309**
# Army	293
# Air Force	3
# Navy	4
# Marine	0
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	9
Status of VICTIMS	**309**
# Active Duty	249
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated)	44
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	3
# Unknown	13

Enclosure 3 - Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault

ARMY FY08 SERVICES REFERRED TO VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
NOTE: Support Services are for all victims in each category that were referred for services during the reporting period, regardless of when their report was made (current or prior quarters).	
A. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**407**
# Medical	238
# Counseling	142
# Legal	27
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**2**
# Medical	2
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**198**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**19**
B. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM RESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**140**
# Medical	99
# Counseling	37
# Legal	4
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**44**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**10**
C. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**66**
# Medical	29
# Counseling	29
# Legal	8
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**6**
# Medical	6
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**49**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

Enclosure 4 - Combat Areas of Interest Unrestricted Report Matrix

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members)	**ARMY FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**195**
# Service Member victims	187
# Non-Service Member victims	8
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**168**
# Service Member on Service Member	106
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	7
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	29
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	26
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**168**
# On military installation	164
# Off military installation	4
# Unidentified location	0
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**168**
# Pending completion as of 30 Sep-08	36
# Completed as of 30 Sep-08	**132**
# Restricted Reports	**40**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	10
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	**30**
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**ARMY FY08 TOTAL**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**168**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	72
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	54
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	35
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	7
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault	**168**
# Midnight to 6 am	31
# 6 am to 6 pm	49
# 6 pm to midnight	53
# Unknown	35
Day of sexual assault	**168**
# Sunday	19
# Monday	19
# Tuesday	25
# Wednesday	16
# Thursday	14
# Friday	11
# Saturday	26
# Unknown	38
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**ARMY FY08 TOTAL**
# Completed investigations	**132**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	21
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**142**
# Your Service Member subjects	96
# Service Member subjects from other Services	1
# Non-Service Member subjects	28
# Unidentified subjects	17
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**159**
# Service Member victims	153
# Non-Service Member victims	5
# Service Member victims from other Services	1
# Unknown	0

D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Subjects)	ARMY FY08 TOTAL
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**142**
# Unidentified subjects	12
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	36
# Under civilian/foreign authority	9
# Pending completion as of 30 Sep 08	45
# Completed as of 30 Sep 08	**40**
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**40**
# Courts-martial	6
# Nonjudicial Punishments	13
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	21
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	**ARMY FY08 TOTAL**
# Investigations pending from reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08	**25**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - STILL PENDING completion as of 30 Sep 08	0
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - COMPLETED as of 30 Sep 08	25
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - as of 30 Sep-08	**64**
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from reports made prior to FY08 and investigations were completed in FY08	**64**
# Unidentified subjects	4
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	18
# Under civilian/foreign authority	2
# Pending completion as of 30 Sep 08	2
# Completed as of 30 Sep-08	**38**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**38**
# Courts-martial	18
# Nonjudicial punishments	11
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	3
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	1
# Other administrative actions	5

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER							
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	**Male on Female**	**Male on Male**	**Female on Male**	**Female on Female**	**Unknown on Male**	**Unknown on Female**	**FY08 Totals**
	153	**12**	**2**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**168**
# Service Member on Service Member	97	7	2	0	0	0	106
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	6	1	0	0	0	0	7
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	29	0	0	0	0	0	29
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	21	4	0	0	1	0	26

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE												
REPORTS MADE IN FY08	***Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS***				***FY08 INCIDENTS***							
G-1. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Sodomy (Art 125)**	**Attempts to Commit these Offenses**	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**FY08 Totals**
	10	**11**	**1**	**0**	**38**	**19**	**9**	**5**	**66**	**9**	**0**	**168**
# Service Member on Service Member	7	9	1	0	22	14	5	4	39	5	0	106
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	7
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	0	24	0	0	29
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	3	1	0	0	10	4	2	1	1	4	0	26
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	**10**	**11**	**2**	**0**	**35**	**19**	**14**	**6**	**81**	**9**	**0**	**187**
# Service Member Victims: Female	10	11	2	0	35	18	11	4	75	4		170
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	6	5		17
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08												
Time of sexual assault	**10**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**38**	**19**	**9**	**5**	**66**	**9**	**0**	**168**
# Midnight to 6 am	1	2	0	0	9	7	3	1	6	2	0	31
# 6 am to 6 pm	2	2	1	0	6	1	2	2	33	0	0	49
# 6 pm to midnight	2	3	0	0	15	5	4	2	17	5	0	53
# Unknown	5	4	0	0	8	6	0	0	10	2	0	35
Day of sexual assault	**10**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**38**	**19**	**9**	**5**	**66**	**9**	**0**	**168**
# Sunday	1	1	0	0	4	2	2	0	8	1	0	19
# Monday	1	0	1	0	4	2	2	1	7	1	0	19
# Tuesday	1	0	0	0	9	2	0	2	9	2	0	25
# Wednesday	0	1	0	0	4	0	2	0	9	0	0	16
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	5	2	1	1	5	0	0	14
# Friday	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	6	0	0	11
# Saturday	1	3	0	0	6	4	1	1	7	3	0	26
# Unknown	6	4	0	0	4	6	1	0	15	2	0	38

REPORTS MADE IN FY08	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS				FY08 INCIDENTS							
G-2. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Sodomy (Art 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of VICTIMS	**6**	**11**	**2**	**0**	**24**	**13**	**16**	**6**	**76**	**5**	**0**	**159**
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	5	3	0	14
# Female	6	11	2	0	24	12	13	4	71	2	0	145
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	**6**	**11**	**2**	**0**	**24**	**13**	**16**	**6**	**76**	**5**	**0**	**159**
# 16-19	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	0	0	9
# 20-24	2	5	2	0	10	9	9	4	42	2	0	85
# 25-34	3	5	0	0	12	3	5	1	27	3	0	59
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	5
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade of VICTIMS	**6**	**11**	**2**	**0**	**24**	**13**	**16**	**6**	**76**	**5**	**0**	**159**
# E1-E4	4	7	2	0	18	12	9	4	57	5	0	118
# E5-E9	2	2	0	0	6	1	5	2	13	0	0	31
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	5
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian (US)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
# Foreign national civilian/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of VICTIMS	**6**	**11**	**2**	**0**	**24**	**13**	**16**	**6**	**76**	**5**	**0**	**159**
# Army	6	10	2	0	24	13	13	6	74	5	0	153
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian/Foreign military	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	5
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	**6**	**11**	**2**	**0**	**24**	**13**	**16**	**6**	**76**	**5**	**0**	**159**
# Active Duty	5	10	2	0	18	10	14	5	53	4	0	121
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	6	1	0	9
# National Guard (Activated)	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	1	15	0	0	24
# Civilian/Foreign military	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	5
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

REPORTS MADE IN FY08	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS				FY08 INCIDENTS							
G-3. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Sodomy (Art 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of SUBJECTS	**8**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**27**	**13**	**10**	**6**	**61**	**5**	**0**	**142**
# Male	8	11	1	0	27	12	10	5	61	5	0	140
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of SUBJECTS	**8**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**27**	**13**	**10**	**6**	**61**	**5**	**0**	**142**
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	5
# 20-24	4	1	0	0	10	3	1	2	13	1	0	35
# 25-34	3	3	0	0	8	5	2	2	21	1	0	45
# 35-49	0	4	1	0	3	1	2	1	15	1	0	28
# 50-64	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	1	0	0	6	4	3	1	7	2	0	25
Grade of SUBJECTS	**8**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**27**	**13**	**10**	**6**	**61**	**5**	**0**	**142**
# E1-E4	3	1	0	0	11	7	2	2	14	1	0	41
# E5-E9	4	7	1	0	8	2	4	3	22	2	0	53
# WO1-WO5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O1-O3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian (US)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national civilian/military	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	22	0	0	27
# Unknown	1	1	0	0	6	3	2	1	2	2	0	18
Service of SUBJECTS	**8**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**27**	**13**	**10**	**6**	**61**	**5**	**0**	**142**
# Army	6	10	1	0	19	9	6	5	37	3	0	96
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marine	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Civilian/Foreign military	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	23	0	0	28
# Unknown	1	1	0	0	6	3	2	1	1	2	0	17
Status of SUBJECTS	**8**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**27**	**13**	**10**	**6**	**61**	**5**	**0**	**142**
# Active Duty	7	8	1	0	16	8	5	4	31	2	0	82
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	4
# National Guard (Activated)	0	2	0	0	3	1	0	1	4	0	0	11
# Civilian/Foreign military	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	23	0	0	28
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	1	0	0	6	3	2	1	1	2	0	17

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE

H. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	*Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS*			*FY08 INCIDENTS*									FY08 Totals
	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Sodomy (Art 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**10**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**38**	**19**	**9**	**5**	**66**	**9**	**0**	**168**
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea												
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	**7**	**6**	**1**	**0**	**33**	**14**	**7**	**3**	**49**	**8**	0	**128**
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	0	**1**
Egypt	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**
Kuwait	**1**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**1**	**1**	**10**	**0**	0	**18**
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Asia												
Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Afghanistan	**2**	**2**	**0**	**0**	**4**	**1**	**1**	**1**	**6**	**1**	**0**	**18**
NOTE:												

Enclosure 5 - Combat Areas of Interest Restricted Report Matrix

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**40**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**40**
# Service Member on Service Member	14
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	4
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	22
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**40**
# On military installation	35
# Off military installation	5
# Unidentified location	0
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**40**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	13
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	10
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	12
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	4
# Unknown	1
Time of sexual assault incident	**40**
# Midnight to 6 am	9
# 6 am to 6 pm	7
# 6 pm to midnight	10
# Unknown	14
Day of sexual assault incident	**40**
# Sunday	7
# Monday	6
# Tuesday	7
# Wednesday	8
# Thursday	2
# Friday	6
# Saturday	3
# Unknown	1
C. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**40**
# Army victims	35
# Air Force victims	0
# Navy victims	3
# Marine victims	0
# Unknown	2
D. FY08 DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**40**
# Male	1
# Female	36
# Unknown	3
Age of VICTIMS	**40**
# 16-19	2
# 20-24	9
# 25-34	15
# 35-49	3
# 50-64	1
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	10
Grade of VICTIMS	**40**
# E1-E4	20
# E5-E9	15
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	5
Service of VICTIMS	**40**
# Army	35
# Air Force	0
# Navy	3
# Marine	0
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	2
Status of VICTIMS	**40**
# Active Duty	27
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated)	11
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Unknown	2

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS	
E. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN CENTCOM AOR	**40**
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea	
Bahrain	0
Iraq	25
Jordan	0
Lebanon	0
Syria	0
Yemen	0
Egypt	2
Kuwait	4
Oman	0
Qatar	1
Saudi Arabia	0
United Arab Emirates	0
South Asia	
Iran	**0**
Pakistan	**0**
Afghanistan	**4**
KU ASG Kuwait reported 1 case(s) without incident location country specified.	
KU 1ST TSC reported 1 case(s) with incident location country not listed in location breakdown.	
KU ASG Kuwait reported 1 case(s) with incident location country not listed in location breakdown.	
ISRAEL reported 1 case(s) but not listed in location breakdown.	

Enclosure 6 - Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault (CAI)

ARMY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST: FY08 SERVICES REFERRED TO VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
NOTE: Support Services are for all victims in each category that were referred for services during the reporting period, regardless of when their report was made (current or prior quarters).	
A. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**36**
# Medical	22
# Counseling	10
# Legal	4
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**12**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**0**
B. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM RESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**16**
# Medical	12
# Counseling	3
# Legal	1
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**3**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	**0**
C. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**1**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	1
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
1000 NAVY PENTAGON
WASHINGTON DC 20350-1000

DEC 2 4 2008

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE
(PERSONNEL AND READINESS)

SUBJECT: Department of the Navy Submissions to the Secretary of Defense's Report to Congress on Sexual Assaults Involving Members of the Armed Forces for Fiscal Year (FY) 2008

Department of the Navy provides the attached submission to the subject report as mandated by Public Laws 108-375, Section 577(f)(4) and as expanded by Public Laws 109-63 and 109-364; and as requested by your Memorandum of 6 November 2008. My point of contact for this action is Commander Debra Maddrell, USN, at 703-614-8288 or debra.o.maddrell@navy.mil.

Anita K. Blair
Assistant Secretary of the Navy
(Manpower and Reserve Affairs)
Acting

Attachments:
As stated

cc:
NAVINSGEN
OJAG
N093
N097
CNO
CMC
DCM&RA
CNIC
CNP
NCIS

This page intentionally left blank.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY (DoN)
FY08 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

U.S. Navy and U.S. Marine Corps Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program Abstracts

I. Program Overview
- A. Navy SAVI Program
- B. Marine Corps SAPR Program

II. Program Response Capabilities
- A. Personnel Trained
- B. Availability of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) Kits
- C. Availability of Lab Testing

III. Program Initiatives

IV. Unrestricted Reporting
- A. Discussion
  1. Victims
  2. Subjects
  3. Overview of Reports

V. Restricted Reporting
- A. Discussion
  1. Victims
  2. Overview of Reports

VI. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault
- A. Discussion
  1. Unrestricted Reports
  2. Restricted Reports
  3. Non-Military (DoD Civilians, Contractors, etc.)

VII. Challenges: Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting
- A. Joint Environment
- B. Combat Areas of Interest
- C. Tracking Victim Services
- D. Restricted Reporting

VIII. Synopses of Substantiated Cases
- A. Summary of Synopses
- B. Actual Synopses

IX. Discussions
- A. Lessons Learned: FY08
- B. Plans for FY09
- C. Combat Interest Areas

Attachments:

1. Unrestricted Report Matrix
- **a. U.S. Navy**
- **b. U.S. Marine Corps**

2. Restricted Report Matrix
a. U.S. Navy
b. U.S. Marine Corps

3. Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault
a. U.S. Navy
b. U.S. Marine Corps

4. Combat Areas of Interest – Unrestricted Report Matrix
a. U.S. Navy
b. U.S. Marine Corps

5. Combat Areas of Interest – Restricted Report Matrix
a. U.S. Navy
b. U.S. Marine Corps

6. Combat Areas of Interest – Service Referrals for Victims of Sexual Assault
a. U.S. Navy
b. U.S. Marine Corps

7. Case Synopses
a. U.S. Navy
b. U.S. Marine Corps

8. (Navy Only) Sample Comments from Navy Leadership, SARCs, SAVI Command Personnel, and Victims

U.S. Navy Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program (Abstract)

The FY08 Navy Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) program focus was on program implementation, increasing SAVI program awareness, and expansion of fleet-wide training. SAVI program personnel continue to improve provision of comprehensive and effective sexual assault prevention and response as the needs of the Navy evolve.

During FY08, 23 new Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs) completed training; the SAVI headquarters staff was expanded; and SAVI program personnel participated in the National Capital Region (NCR) SARCs' joint-service victim advocate training. Senior Navy civilian personnel co-chaired two Sexual Assault Advisory Council (SAAC) sub-committees; revised the SAVI accreditation standards and trained program personnel to the updated standards; facilitated monthly on-line trainings and discussion forums for Navy SARCs; and finalized and distributed an interactive SARC Resource Guide. Program enhancements planned for FY09 include the development of an expanded Commander's Toolkit, fielding of the SAVI Case Management System, establishment of a comprehensive prevention strategy, and enhancing the Navy's sexual assault prevention and response marketing and awareness campaigns.

Marketing the SAVI program to increase awareness was a major focus in FY08. Navy produced a number of Public Service Announcements (PSA) and released a video produced by Navy's *VI Productions, Sexual Assault--Megan's Story,* which won the Italian Ministry of Defense-sponsored international military production competition. The Chief of Naval Information (CHINFO) published two SAVI-related *Rhumb Lines*, and the Commander, Navy Installations Command (CNIC), addressed Navy SARCs during a SARC training conference in February 2008. SAVI has leadership support at all levels of command.

The Navy SAVI program and SARCs are part of the Navy-wide Fleet and Family Support Program (FFSP) at over 70 locations ranging from Norfolk, VA to Japan, Diego Garcia and Guam. Fleet and Family Support Centers (FFSC) include certified financial counselors, educational programs, and licensed clinical counseling providers all of whom support victims of sexual assault. SARCs and Victim Advocates provide crucial and comprehensive resources and services for victims within the same organization. SAVI and FFSC have fleet wide recognition and support.

In FY08, the 64 installation SARCs trained 2,350 new Victim Advocates (VA), and provided refresher training to over 3,200 SAVI VAs. SARCs also trained 5,988 key stakeholders and responders to include Judge Advocates, Security/Base law enforcement personnel, Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) personnel, health care providers and Chaplains. Finally, SARCs trained over 116,500 command and SAVI personnel to include sailors, SAVI Program Command Liaisons, SAVI Points of Contact (POC) and Data Collection Coordinators (DCC).

Navy filed 155 restricted reports in FY08. Fourteen (14) victims converted their restricted reports to unrestricted reports, leaving a final total of 141 restricted reports. Navy filed 102 service member-on-service member incidents. Thirty-three (33) of the restricted reports had unidentified subjects. A majority of incidents (106 of 155) occurred off military installations. In FY08, two restricted reports were filed in a combat area of interest (Bahrain). Both incidents were service member-on-service member and occurred off the military installation.

U. S. Marine Corps SAPR Program (Abstract)

Provides a program overview of policies and procedures. Describes program response capabilities in terms of numbers of personnel trained (Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARC), Victim Advocates (VA), Judge Advocates (JA), Military Police (MP), Naval Criminal Investigative Service (NCIS) , medical, Chaplains) and offender tracking method; also discusses availability of SAFE kits and lab testing, and what program initiatives are underway or planned to ameliorate any deficiencies. Provides various data concerning unrestricted reports, restricted reports, and certain demographics concerning civilian victims and children. Discusses challenges with the unrestricted and restricted reporting process, gives case synopses of completed investigations, and discusses lessons learned. Enumerates plans for FY 09 and identifies combat area items of interest.

I. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

A. Navy SAVI Program:

1. Policies in place in FY08 include:

   a. SECNAVINST 1752.4A, "Sexual Assault Prevention and Response". Provides guidance for the establishment of a sexual assault prevention/victim assistance program within the Department of the Navy (DON).

   b. OPNAVINST 1752.1B, *Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program* is Navy's comprehensive sexual assault prevention and response policy. Incorporates all DoD sexual assault requirements (DoDD 6495.01 CH-1, and DOD 6495.02 CH-1).

   c. OPNAVINST 3100.6H, *Special Incident Reporting Procedures*. Clarifies command sexual assault reporting requirements. (2/06).

   d. SAVI-001, *Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) Position Guidance*. Commander Navy Installations, Fleet and Family Support Program, Policy Implementation Directive.

   e. SAVI-003, *Navy Confidentiality Policy for Victim's of Sexual Assault and Collection of Forensic Evidence*. Commander Navy Installations, Fleet and Family Support Program, Policy Implementation Directive.

   f. The Navy's Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program provides sexual assault awareness and prevention training to all sailors; and offers victim advocacy and intervention services to promote sensitive, coordinated and effective management of sexual assault cases. Commanding officers and installation commanders have the following responsibilities:

      1) Provide the safest possible physical and emotional environment for Sailors. All leaders should establish a command climate of mutual respect and trust that embraces diversity and values all contributions.
      2) Utilize the resources available to them through the SAVI program. SAVI services are integral to responding to sexual assaults, and are delivered through an installation's

Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC). SARCs and trained SAVI Victim Advocates (VA) respond to victims by coordinating with medical, legal and security services and other base resources such as the Fleet and Family Support Centers (FFSC) and Chaplains.

3) Maintain zero tolerance for sexual assault, hold offenders accountable for misconduct, ensure victim protection and safety and provide a consistent message in support of reporting that reduces stigma.

4) Prepare the program for success by appointing key SAVI positions within the command:

a) A SARC for each installation.
b) Victim Advocates for commands afloat and ashore to provide information, emotional support and guidance to victims throughout the various medical, mental health, legal and investigative processes.
c) A SAVI Command Liaison to act as the single point of contact at the command for victims, victim advocates and their installation SARC.
d) A SAVI Point of Contact to facilitate awareness and prevention training and promote reporting options to reduce stigma.
e) A Data Collection Coordinator to ensure that reporting requirements are met.

5) Provide 24/7 sexual assault response capability, on or off the installation, and during deployment through their trained volunteer Victim Advocates.

B. Marine Corps SAPR Program. The Marine Corps' Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program continues to evolve, keeping pace with refinements in policy promulgated by the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (USD/P&R), the Secretary of the Navy, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps. The updated Marine Corps directive, MCO 1752.5A, codifies procedures, specifies minimum standards for training, ensures conformance to DoD directives, assists commanders in more efficiently executing their responsibilities, and provides for evaluation and assessment at each command. The published inspection checklist has been used in evaluating over a dozen commands and separate units, all but one of which have been found to be "mission capable." Program funding has been identified in budget out years to permit growth in the program beyond the current robust response mechanism, into the arena of prevention and intervention training & staffing.

C. BUREAU OF MEDICINE & SURGERY (hereafter BUMED). Medical facilities comply with program guidance contained in DoDD 6495.01, DoDI 6495.02, SECNAVINST 1752.4A, SECNAVINST 1752.3B, OPNAVINST 1752.1B, and DoD 6025.18-R, "DoD Health Information Privacy Regulation", and derivative local guidance.

D. OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF CHAPLAINS (hereafter CHAPLAIN). The Navy Chaplain Corps provided refresher training to Navy, Marine Corps and Coast Guard chaplains and Religious Program Specialists (RP) assigned to fleet and shore activities. In addition, Sexual Assault Policy was taught to all new accessions at the Naval Chaplain School Basic Course.

E. NAVAL CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE (hereafter NCIS)

1. All NCIS agents, irrespective of discipline, are trained to work sexual assault investigations and the annual NCIS Sexual Assault training, mandated for all special agents, is in compliance with training requirements in DoDI 6495.02 (23Jun06), Enclosure (6).

2. NCIS presently has 53 billets assigned exclusively to the Family and Sexual Violence (F&SV) Program. The F&SV agents are dedicated to working crimes pertaining to violations of UCMJ Articles 120, 125, and 134.

3. There is one F&SV desk officer at NCIS Headquarters who monitors the program, reviews all new investigations, coordinates F&SV training, and works closely with the Family Advocacy Program (FAP), the Navy Sexual Assault Victim Intervention (SAVI) Program, and the Marine Corps Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office (SAPRO).

II. PROGRAM RESPONSE CAPABILITIES

NAVY

A. Personnel Trained

1. ***Overall numbers*****.** The number of Navy personnel trained to respond to sexual assaults in FY08: CNIC has 64 installation Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs). SARCs trained 2,350 new Victim Advocates and provided refresher training to over 3,200 SAVI VAs. SARCs also trained 5,988 Judge Advocates, Security/Base Police, NCIS, Medical and Chaplains, as detailed in the Table 1 below. SARCs trained over 116,500 Command and SAVI personnel (Sailors, Command Liaisons, SAVI Points of Contact (POCs) and Data Collection Coordinators (DCCs)) in FY08, as detailed in Table 2 below.

2. ***Per installation numbers*****.** Not applicable

3. ***Training programs – types/frequency*****.** How often training is held depends on the type of training and the SAVI program scope at each installation. For example, as new Command SAVI Personnel are designated, the SARC trains the Command Liaisons, SAVI POCs and DCCs accordingly. Victim Advocate refresher trainings are offered anywhere from monthly to yearly.

TABLE 1– SAVI Key Responders Trained by SARCs in FY08 by Navy Region

Navy Region	VA - Initial	VA - Refresher	JAG	Law Enforcement	NCIS	Health Care	Chaplain*	Total	Combat Areas of Interest
Southwest	280	338	20	158	1	230	36	1063	0
Mid-Atlantic	645	907	814	350	2	458	409	3585	0
Midwest	101	166	0	66	2	35	1	371	0
Northwest	169	421	1	40	0	4	5	640	0
Naval District Washington (NDW)	172	295	10	87	5	502	5	1076	0
Hawaii	80	173	0	300	70	0	0	623	0
Southeast	595	626	2	551	11	328	26	2139	
Japan	150	168	5	220	6	68	6	623	
Europe	108	139	10	441	8	528	8	1129	
(Southwest Asia)	50	45	0	251	0	63	4	367	367
TOTAL	**2350**	**3278**	**862**	**2464**	**105**	**2216**	**500**	**11616**	**367**

* See additional training provided to Chaplains at CHAPLAIN RESPONSE, below

TABLE 2 – Command Personnel Trained by SARCs in FY08 by Navy Region

Navy Region	CO/ XO	Command Liaison	Data Collection Coordinator	SAVI POC	Command Personnel	Other	Civilian Agency/ Rape Crisis Program	NPTU	Sex Offender Mgmt (Civilian)	Ombudsman	Total
Southwest	157	85	55	143	14,853	203					15,496
Pearl Harbor	0	78	0	0	1897	0					1975
Mid Atlantic	210	50	66	227	13,840	70	108	1613	150	75	16,409
Midwest	7	23	2	4	4556	27,995					32,587
Northwest	83	70	80	73	5346	492					6144
NDW	57	20	78	28	1078	347				12	1620
Southeast	212	193	165	252	20,244	1605					22,671
Japan	79	6	10	44	12075	798					13,012
Europe	45	42	25	65	4237	262					4,676
Southwest Asia	14	16	16	15	1797						1,858
TOTAL	**864**	**583**	**497**	**851**	**79,923**	**31,772**	**108**	**1613**	**150**	**87**	**116,448**

4. *Combat Areas of Interest.* Bahrain (Southwest Asia) is the only combat area of interest in which a Navy SARC is stationed. See Tables I and II above for detailed information related to training in combat areas of interest.

B. Availability of SAFE Kits

1. *Installation level*: All installations have sufficient SAFE capability with the exception of one installation in Navy Region Northwest. Its Naval Hospital was downsized to a medical clinic that no longer provides ER services or SAFEs. BUMED is in the process of identifying the best solution to provide needed services.

2. *Any care hindered due to lack of SAFE?* No. None reported in any Navy region to include CENTCOM.

3. *Special issues*. Restricted reporting not available in California.

4. *Combat Areas of Interest.* Southwest Asia Region, which includes Bahrain, Djibouti, and Jebel Ali, United Arab Emirates (UAE), reported sufficient SAFE availability.

C. Availability of Lab Testing. SARCs did not report any cases being hindered due to lab testing problems.

MARINE CORPS

A. Personnel Trained

1. *Overall Numbers*

First Responders	**Number Trained**
SARC	294
Victim Advocate/	
Unit Victim Advocate (UVA)	2893
Staff Judge Advocates	60
Provost Marshal Office	3160
~3000 Military Police – MOSs 5811/5803	
~160 CID Agents – MOSs 5821/5805	

2. *Per installation numbers*. Not applicable

3. *Training programs – types/frequency*

a. Each deploying Marine unit, Battalion/Squadron-sized or equivalent, is required to assign at least two trained Uniformed Victim Advocates (UVA). Training of SARCs and UVAs is conducted by a Mobile Training Team (MTT) from the Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Section (MRRS), Marine and Family Services Branch (MRR), of Manpower & Reserve Affairs' Personal and Family Readiness Division (MR) using the USMC Training

and Requirements Manual. All required training is conducted in accordance with DoD guidance, and is currently completed prior to deployment.

4. *Combat areas of interest.* As Marine Corps CID and Military Police communities do not conduct a law enforcement mission in the area of interest; there are no issues to report.

B. Availability of SAFE Kits. No reports that SAFE Kits were unavailable when needed.

C. Availability of Lab Testing.

1. *Any cases hindered due to lab testing problems?* None to report. (Note: Submission protocol for sexual assault forensic evidence was coordinated with NCIS, which provides criminal investigative services for Marine Corps forces within the AOR, and is appended to Marine Corps Order 1752.5A, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program*, as Appendix F. Generally, the submission process is the same no matter where evidence is collected.)

OFFICE OF THE JUDGE ADVOCATE GENERAL (hereafter OJAG) RESPONSE

A. Personnel trained. Trial Counsel assigned to Region Legal Service Offices (RLSO) world-wide

1. *Overall numbers*. 187

2. *Per installation numbers (if applicable or on average/minimum).* The average per installation is five (5), with a minimum of one and a maximum of 15.

3. *Training programs – types/frequency, including internal training and outside training from civilian programs*:

a. SAPRO Policies. SAPRO policy training is provided annually to all Judge Advocates (JA) by local installation Sexual Assault Regional Coordinators (SARC). Additionally, all JAs are required to complete annual General Military Training with the Navy Knowledge Online course "Introduction to the Navy's Sexual Conduct Policy".

b. Command/Service training

1) All Trial Counsel attended annual command training that included the topics required by DOD Instruction 6495.02. Within each RLSO command, the Senior Trial Counsel (STC) provided training, advice and mentoring to assigned counsel in every sexual assault case. The STCs provided close supervision in such cases and assisted counsel to develop the plan on presenting evidence, how to work with certain witnesses, trial strategy, theory of the case and other matters. The STCs focused specifically on Military Rules of Evidence 404(b), 412, 413, 414, 513, if applicable to that case, and how best to question the particular victim in the case on direct examination. The STCs also trained Trial Counsel (TC) in working with sexual assault victims and getting them ready to participate and testify in their cases.

2) The Office of the Judge Advocate General (OJAG) Code 20 provided training to several RLSO commands on prosecuting sexual assault cases. Code 20 subject matter experts traveled to various commands to present training. Additionally, a joint training was held by the Naval Justice School (NJS) and Code 20 in FY08, with all RLSOs having the opportunity to participate via VTC.

3) Joint training sessions were held with NCIS, covering matters such as Trial Counsel and agent cooperation in sexual assault investigations and prosecutions. Rape trauma syndrome was also a joint training topic.

c. NJS training

1) The Naval Justice School (NJS) provides the majority of JA training for both Navy and Marine Corps, and prepares each JA for courtroom litigation. The NJS curriculum includes the Basic Lawyer Course that JAs must complete to be certified as Trial or Defense Counsel. Additional courses include Prosecuting Complex Cases, Computer Crimes, and Trial Refresher and Enhancement Training. Although not reflected in the numbers reported above, additional training is provided to Staff Judge Advocates and Defense Counsel as well as non-JAs (at the Senior Officer Courses and Legal Officer Courses).

2) As funds are available, JAs are also sent to Army JAG School courses, such as the Criminal Law New Developments course, which included training on the new UCMJ Art. 120. The training material is then brought back to the RLSOs and made available to all TCs.

d. Civilian training. RLSOs routinely sought training from civilian sources on litigating sexual assault matters. Additionally, most RLSOs have established relationships and share training with local District Attorney offices and with local civilian counsel. Some of the civilian training received by JAs in FY08 included:

1) National College of District Attorneys, Prosecuting Sexual Assaults and Related Violent Crimes

2) Northwestern University Prosecutor's Short Course

3) Adult Sexual Assault Prosecution Seminar sponsored by the CA District Attorneys

4) Defense Criminal Forensic Laboratories (DCFL) training on the analysis of child sexual assault/child pornography and DNA testing in sexual assault crimes.

5) National Center for Missing and Exploited Children (NCMEC) training on child pornography and child sexual trafficking.

6) Pedophilia, Paraphilias, & the Online Offender presented by Hawaii Internet Crimes Against Children (HICAC) Task Force.

4. *Combat Areas of Interest* - US CENTCOM AOR. RLSO Europe and Southwest Asia (EURSWA) is responsible for training JAs assigned to trial prosecution within CENTCOM AOR. These JAs have all received training on litigation of sexual assault. JAs assigned to CENTCOM AOR deployed units as individual augmentees receive additional training from NJS.

5. *Plans for FY09* -- the number of personnel you anticipate you will train if applicable and in what setting (e.g., group lecture, etc.).

a. NJS has received approval for, and will develop and offer, a new course on Litigation of Sexual Assault Crimes. OJAG anticipates sending up to 30 Trial and Defense Counsel to this course. Additionally, NJS will continue to update and revise current course offerings in accordance with changes to the law, and in its understanding of sexual assault pathology. RLSOs will take advantage of all funded training opportunities and will use command funds to send Trial Counsel to appropriate training throughout the fiscal year. NJS will also look for additional opportunities to add to periodic training as schedules and funding permit.

b. Each RLSO will conduct at least one internal Trial Counsel training stand-down that will include sexual assault matters, including a presentation on the SAVI Program, VWAP Programs, and a presentation on SART and SANE exams in sexual assault cases. This group training will be conducted locally and will be conducted in both lecture and participatory formats. About 50 JAs are assigned as Trial Counsel and will receive this training.

c. RLSOs will also continue to seek out partnerships with local District Attorneys, civilian subject matter experts and attorneys. Our Senior Trial Counsels will continue to advise, mentor and train our Trial Counsels. We will leverage the skills of our new community of Military Justice Career Track litigators to ensure that Trial Counsel with highly developed skills are sought out and reassigned to litigation billets.

BUMED RESPONSE

A. Personnel Trained – Medical First Responders

1. *Overall Number trained:* Total number of personnel trained – 18091 (See TABLE 3 below)

TABLE 3 – Trained First Responders and Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFE)

Facility	Medical First Responders Trained in FY08	Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFE)	Facility	Medical First Responders Trained in FY08	Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFE)
Naval Medical Center (NMC) Portsmouth	1230	MOU	**National Naval Medical Center (NNMC) - Bethesda**	1583	2
Naval Hospital (NH) Pensacola	414	6	**NHCL Quantico**	26	MOU
Naval Health Clinic (NHCL) Great Lakes	713	5	**NHCL Patuxent River**	29	MOU
Naval Hospital (NH) Jacksonville	1024	MOU	**NMC San Diego**	3200	MOU
NHCL Corpus Christi	215	MOU	**NH Camp Pendleton**	1769	16
NHCL New England	558	MOU	**NH Lemoore**	261	MOU
NH Sigonella	220	1	**NH 29 Palms**	460	MOU
NH Beaufort	474	1	**NH Oak Harbor**	452	MOU
NH Guantanamo Bay	198	2	**NH Bremerton**	1110	MOU
NHCL Cherry Point	198	MOU	**NHCL Hawaii**	450	MOU
NH Naples	645	2	**NH Guam**	390	1
NH Rota	197	3	**NH Yokosuka**	174	12
NH Charleston	62	MOU	**NH Okinawa**	684	15
NH Camp Lejeune	470	11	**NDC Camp Pendleton**	336	MOU
Naval Dental Clinic (NDC) Camp Lejeune	149	MOU	**NDC Okinawa**	274	MOU
Naval Medical Command (NMC) – East Norfolk	56	MOU	**NMC West – San Diego**	70	MOU
TOTALS				**18091**	**77**

2. Training programs (types/frequency):

a. While the majority of SAPR-related training is completed online through the Navy Knowledge Online (NKO), commands also provide classroom training for periodic refreshers (Command Indoctrinations, staff in-services, physician/nurse program training lecture series). These numbers may not account for classroom training because they are not tracked by a central database as is NKO.

b. Personnel also complete General Military Training (GMT) annually on response and confidentiality policies, victim resources and treatment resources.

c. Forensic examiners are trained by attending civilian programs, online courses, or trained SMEs will travel to MTFs to train additional staff, as requested.

B. Availability of SAFE Kits:

1. *Installation level*. Each medical facility that provides in-house SAFE collection has kits available, maintained in their emergency department or appropriate clinic.

2. *Any care hindered due to lack of SAFE?* N/A

3. *Special issues*

a. Lessons learned in FY08 re sexual assault-related healthcare/forensic exams. BUMED has significantly increased the number of medical staff trained as first responders with the implementation of a Tri-Service web-based Sexual Assault Prevention and Response course. BUMED continues to train additional forensic examiners in those facilities that provide SAFE collection.

b. Plans for FY09 re sexual assault-related healthcare/forensic exams. Navy Medicine Manpower, Personnel, Training & Education (NMMPT&E) has developed a Tri-Service Sexual Assault Forensic Examination training program that will be deployed early CY09. This training will be used as an initial and refresher training for medical personnel performing the forensic exam.

c. Mental health issues/programs for sexual assault. All MTFs use counseling services available through Fleet and Family Support Center staff and reach out to the local community resources as needed.

C. Availability of Lab Testing. Military Treatment Facilities (MTF) did not report any cases being hindered due to lab testing problems.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF CHAPLAINS (hereafter CHAPLAIN) RESPONSE

A. Personnel Trained.

1. *Overall Numbers*. The total number of Chaplains trained in first responder techniques in FY08 was 500.

Service	Region Total	Service Total
USN		
CNE	13	
CNRMA	37	
CNRMW	3	
NDW	5	
CNRNW	16	
CNRSE	10	
CNRSW	21	
USFJ	2	
CNRSWA	1	
NETC	64	
CNRFC	191	
USN Totals		363
USMC	NA	91
USCG	NA	46
TOTAL		500

2. *Per installation numbers*. Not applicable

3. *Training programs*. The Chaplain Corps provided regional training for active duty and reserve component chaplains utilizing live lecture with question and answer. Whenever possible, training was conducted by Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) program personnel. SAPR training has also been incorporated into the basic and Supervisory Courses taught at the Naval Chaplain School in Newport, RI.

4. *Combat areas of interest*. Not applicable.

B. Availability of SAFE Kits. Not applicable.

C. Availability of Lab Testing. Not applicable.

NCIS RESPONSE

A. Personnel Trained

1. *Overall numbers*. NCIS presently has 1,291 Special Agent billets, worldwide. The billets are broken down into General Crimes, Foreign Counter-Intelligence, and Combating Terrorism. There are 422 General Crimes special agents. Of the 1,291 special agent billets, 930 are in Field positions (see Table below) working open investigations. Three hundred and three special agents are in Headquarters positions. The remaining Special Agents are located in various positions, such as attached to a Joint Terrorism Task Force (JTTF), Staff Counterintelligence Officer (SCIO) or other staff-type positions.

2. *Per installation numbers*

Field Office	Number of Special Agents
Carolinas	61
Central	55
Washington DC	88
Europe	71
Far East	68
Hawaii	43
Middle East	37
Marine West	62
Northeast	46
Norfolk	95
Northwest	49
Southeast	99
Singapore	40
Southwest	116
Total Field Positions	**930**

3. *Training programs – types/frequency*. All 1,291 Special Agents receive annual sexual assault training during the 4th quarter of each fiscal year. This training is mandatory and must be completed by all NCIS Special Agents, irrespective of location or discipline. All NCIS Special Agents are mandated to complete an on-line sexual assault training program which mirrors the requirements of Enclosure (6) to DoDI 6495.02. This is an annual requirement and is completed by all Special Agents, irrespective of discipline. Newly hired agents receive detailed Sexual Assault and Victim Issues training at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) in Glynco, GA. The Victim Issues-related training covers the Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) and victim sensitivity. The training includes a role-play, sexual assault scenario.

4. *Combat areas of interest*. NCIS has agents assigned to the Middle East Field Office in Bahrain, which supervises offices in Dubai and Kuwait. NCIS also maintains an office in Iraq. At any given time, NCIS has 40 or more agents deployed to Iraq, Afghanistan, the Horn of Africa and elsewhere in the combat zones. As stated above, all NCIS Special Agents receive mandatory annual sexual assault training in compliance with DoD policy.

B. Availability of SAFE Kits. During FY08, no NCIS sexual assault investigation ever mentioned the fact that a SAFE kit was not available. There are no documented cases for FY08 which indicate that a victim did not receive a sexual assault examination due to the lack of a SAFE kit or any other comparable sexual assault evidence collection kit.

C. Availability of Lab Testing. NCIS forwards all sexual assault evidence received to USACIL (United States Army Criminal Investigations Laboratory) for analysis. USACIL does not have the ability to analyze hair, but USACIL will forward the evidence to an accredited private criminal forensic laboratory for analysis, with concurrence from NCIS. To date, the utilization of a private lab has not hindered any NCIS investigation. The laboratory analysis time for USACIL is adequate and has not hindered the timely completion of any NCIS investigations.

III. FY08 PROGRAM INITIATIVES

NAVY RESPONSE

A. SAVI Program Headquarters Highlights

1. Participated in the development of a joint-Service Victim Advocate training event for the National Capitol Region.

2. The Navy's Deputy Director, Counseling, Advocacy, and Prevention Programs, Ms. Kathy Robertson, co-chairs the Sexual Assault Advisory Council (SAAC) Outreach Sub-committee. The Navy's Deputy Director, Research, Development & Performance Measurement, Dr. Terri Rau, Ph.D., co-chairs the Sexual Assault Advisory Council (SAAC) Research Sub-committee. In addition, Navy headquarters personnel worked with all SAAC sub-committees and working groups and other stake holders on prevention strategies, program improvement and OSD-level changes to policy; improve accountability, training and outreach.

3. Facilitated real-time online discussion and training program for SARCs Navy-wide via a webinar forum. Webinars offer the technological capabilities to deliver real-time trainings and Community of Practice discussions to ensure effective, timely sharing of information and ideas. Facilitating the flow of communication within the SAVI community makes it possible to disseminate new guidance and information.

4. Delivered training for new SARCs in February 2008 at San Diego, California.

5. Developed an interactive SARC Resource Guide that will continue to be updated as the program evolves and requirements change to meet Navy, SARC and victim needs.

6. Emailed SAVI Updates to SARCs and their FFSC leadership throughout the year.

B. SAVI Program Installation Highlights.

1. One SARC developed SAVI/safety brief for all Destroyer Squadron (DESRON) Nine units as part of their "Understanding Sex Offenders" safety program.
2. One SARC structured a "SAVI Commander's Checklist" presentation and overview packet for Regional Leadership Summit.

3. One installation organized small group (20-30 sailors) SAPR training sessions for their personnel. Trained SAVI Victim Advocates helped to facilitate the training, and most of the sessions were completed in a single day.

4. Several victim advocates developed General Military Training (GMT) PowerPoint training presentations on bystander intervention targeting younger sailors. These presentations were sent to SAVI HQ and are now included in the new SARC Resource Guide.

5. A SARC participated in a joint U.S. Navy-U.S. Coast Guard (USCG) 3-day victim advocate training provided to personnel assigned to the Thirteenth Coast Guard District. Thirty-five USCG personnel from Pacific Northwest locations were certified as Victim Support Persons (VSP). Additionally, the SARC assisted in a one-day table top exercise to educate and practice VSP, command, and sector response to reports of sexual assault incidents.

6. Naval Air Facility (NAF) Atsugi, Japan hosted a very well-supported SAVI Fun Run/Walk. The SAVI and Drug and Alcohol Prevention personnel coordinate information displays at the event. Also, SAVI Program personnel participated in NAF Atsugi's DAPA Conference.

7. Naval Base (NAVBASE) Yokosuka, Japan planned and hosted presentations of *SCREAM* (Sailors Challenging Reality and Myths) at the Fleet Theater in April 2008. Attendance at *SCREAM* was mandatory for all personnel. SAVI Victim Advocates routinely use this dramatic presentation, which addresses drug and alcohol abuse, sexual assault, domestic violence, risk reduction, etc., to raise awareness of sexual assault and increase reporting. Yokosuka's goal is to present *SCREAM* to over a thousand personnel during FY09. [SAVI Headquarters will look at it as a best practice to distribute to other regions and installations].

8. On 26 March 2008, CAPT John C. Oberst, Commander, Navy Region Southwest Asia (NRSWA), signed a proclamation, declaring April as Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM) throughout the AOR. Sexual Assault Awareness Day was observed on 10 April with the 3rd Annual SAAM Fun Walk, led by military working dogs. Approximately two hundred supporters chanted cadence and carried signs, shaped like puzzle pieces, with sexual assault awareness and prevention messages. T-shirts, with DoD's theme for the year, were given to participants. MWR supported the endeavor with a command barbecue. A live broadcast on the base radio station was also conducted during April 08, on myths and facts, statistics, reporting options, how to report a sexual assault and to whom, available resources and other awareness and prevention information. The listening audience was invited to join in the SAAM Walk.

9. National Capital Region (NCR) Sexual Assault Response Coordinators Joint Services Victim Advocate Training was developed and delivered. This training is the culmination of almost two years' work by SARCs from each of the Services in the National Capital Region. Each Service's initial Victim Advocate training curriculum requirements were reviewed and combined creating

a Joint Training that fulfills each Service's requirements, i.e., any service member can take the week-long 40-hour course and be qualified as a Victim Advocate for his/her Service. The Joint Service Victim Advocate Training Pilot was conducted at FT Meade on August 4-8 2008, with SARCs representing each Service taking turns to present pieces of the training. The outstanding success of this training initiative laid the ground work for future joint collaboration.

10. Twenty-two (22) Naval Air Station (NAS) Patuxent River personnel completed a 4-hour RAD (Rape Aggression Defense) course.

11. The Naval Air Station (NAS) Lemoore SARC made and distributed, Sexual Assault Awareness Month (SAAM) placemats to local restaurants publicizing DoD's 2008 theme, *Prevent Sexual Assault Ask, Act, Intervene.* The NAS Lemoore SARC also coordinated a SAPR outreach informational booth with her National Guard and Army Reserve counterparts for the California National Guard 144th Fighter Wing Family Readiness Fair in Fresno, in September 2008.

12. One SARC included a field trip visit to a local community hospital rape victim care unit, and the troop military health care center in the 30-hour Initial Victim Advocate training The Advocates were introduced to staff at these facilities, and received briefings from them.

13. Navy Region Southwest (NRSW) sponsored the following SAAM Events in April 2008:

a. Coordinated observance with local women's center with the aim of increasing awareness through radio spots, handouts, and additional training opportunities.

b. Made and placed Sexual Assault Awareness teal ribbons and informative palm cards in high-traffic areas on base and at FFSC.

c. Naval Air Weapons Station (NAWS) China Lake sponsored several SAPR-related activities:

1) The Commanding Officer promulgated a Sexual Assault Awareness Proclamation
2) The NAWS China Lake SARC coordinated a tour of the local women's center for NAWS' Commanding Officer and Command Master Chief.
3) The NAWS SARC set up SAPR/SAVI education booths in high-traffic areas on base manned by SARCs and Victim Advocates (VA). In addition to talking with people who visited the booths, the SARCs and VAs distributed handouts on safety planning, Internet safety, legal information, base and community SAPR and victim support resources and the history of the domestic violence purple ribbon.
4) The NAWS SARC sponsored numerous collaborative trainings with local women's center, and provided training to base Ombudsmen, which led to invitation to provide SAVI brief and distribute literature at Housing.

d. Developed SAVI Program evaluation instrument for victims and requested they fill it out subsequent to closure of active SA case. Evaluations request victim's feedback on the following:

1) Experience with SARC and Victim Advocate;
2) Evaluation of SAVI Program pre- and post-incident;
3) Delivery of services;
4) Confidentiality/ need-to-know issues;
5) Response of command;
6) What he/she considered helpful or no helpful.

C. SAVI Program Headquarters FY09 Initiatives

1. Resources being developed for FY09 release include: SAVI Case Management System, SAVI Commander's Toolkit and the revision of the Command Liaison, SAVI POC, and DCC trainings. The SAVI Case Management System (CMS) will allow for efficient and valid data collection from SARCs Navy-wide. The SAVI Commander's Toolkit includes both SAVI resources for Commanding Officers and leadership, including a SAVI CD and reference folder containing all relevant policy and guidance, the Commander's Checklist, FAQs about SAVI, and other helpful resources. The Toolkit also includes initial and ongoing leadership training materials for use by SARCs.

2. Prevention-related initiatives include development of a strategy to engage leadership from senior Navy leadership down to each Commanding Officer and Command Master Chief in active support of the SAVI Program and take steps to lead all Navy personnel to take action to prevent sexual assault. One component of the prevention strategy includes collaborating with Marine Corps to sponsor *Mentors in Violence Prevention* (MVP) and *Sex Signals* presentations to train sailors and Marines on bystander intervention.

3. Awareness campaign efforts will focus on three key components: reporting options, bystander intervention, and SAVI Victim Advocate (VA) recruitment. A public service announcement (PSA), tri-fold brochure, flyer and/or poster will be created for each of these key initiatives. The first of the awareness campaigns will address reporting options.

4. Transition of senior leaders, commands, and new Sailors requires constant training on prevention, awareness, victim response, and military and civilian resources. The SAVI Program will continue to train and educate accordingly.

MARINE CORPS RESPONSE. The Marine Corps is embarking on several initiatives in a continuous improvement process for its Sexual Assault Prevention and Response program:

1. The Marine Corps is in the final stages of acquiring fulltime civilian personnel to manage the SAPR program at its four major installations with the highest troop concentrations. A secondary function will be to manage the training and education programs for the Regions (Marine Corps Installations East, Marine Corps Installations West, etc.) with which those installations are affiliated.

2. The collateral duty billet of Sexual Assault Response Coordinator (SARC) will be identified on the Table of Organization and Equipment (TO&E) for each installation and command associated

with a General Courts-Martial Convening Authority. This will allow tracking of trained personnel as they move about the Corps and facilitate manpower management.

3. The Sexual Assault Incident Reporting Database will be revised to include the new data elements identified by the Sexual Assault Advisory Council for collection, and for interoperability with the planned DOD Integrated SAPR Data Collection vehicle.

4. The Marine Corps is fully participating in development of the "MyDuty" campaign to promote risk reduction and bystander intervention education. This campaign will be initiated during Sexual Assault Awareness Month 2009.

5. Training development is a continual process. Final production of the USMC Sexual Assault Awareness video is in progress. Plans include conversion to a web-delivered format, with an interactive training package to accompany presentation and document completion.

IV. UNRESTRICTED REPORTING

A. Discussion

1. Victims. The discussion below was prepared using data obtained from the matrices at Attachments 1, 4 and 7, and provides highlights of the data contained in the aforementioned matrices. The discussion does not reflect each data point contained in the matrices, but addresses the most prevalent victim/subject demographics, reported offenses, and subject dispositions. Please refer to the Attachments for further information

a. NAVY

1) ***Type of offense*****.** The most frequently reported sexual assaults were Aggravated Sexual Assault (100), and Rape (65), followed by Wrongful Sexual Contact (53). Note: The total number of rapes combines the reports made before and after changes to Article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice in FY08.

2) ***Demographics*****.** Of the FY08 investigations involving service member victims, the most reported offenses included the following number of victims: Aggravated Sexual Assault (80), Wrongful Sexual Contact (55), Rape (37), Non-Consensual Sodomy (15), and Abusive Sexual Contact (12). In the completed FY08 investigations, the majority of victims were female (178) and seventeen (17) of the victims were male. The most frequently reported ages of the victims fell into the following ranges: 20-24 years old (86), followed by 16-19 years old (59) and 25-34 years old (36). A vast majority of victims were E1-E4 (121), with the remaining identified as civilians (43), E5-E9 (20), Foreign National/Military (6), Cadet Midshipmen (3), and Unknown (2).

3) ***Service Referrals*****.** See Attachment 3A. Data compiled from Sexual Assault Case Management Group Reports. The final data collection methodology was not provided until May 2008, which did not allow modification of the information systems used to collect the data. Navy will provide preliminary information against this reporting item for FY09.

4) ***Experience in Combat Areas of Interest.*** Of the Combat-related areas of interest reports of Navy-related sexual crimes investigated by NCIS in FY08 (13), the majority of allegations involved military victims (13) and one civilian victim. Aggravated Sexual Assault (3) and Rape (3) were the most reported offenses.

b. MARINE CORPS

1) ***Type of offense*****.** The most frequently reported sexual assaults were Aggravated Sexual Assault (86), followed by Rape (42), and Wrongful Sexual Contact (21). Note: The total number of rapes combines the reports made before and after changes to Article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

2) ***Demographic*****s.** Of the FY08 investigations involving service member victims, the most reported offenses included the following number of victims: Aggravated Sexual Assault (56), Rape (19), and Wrongful Sexual Contact (18). The 105 investigations completed in FY08 had a total of 112 victims. One hundred-two (102) victims were female and ten (10) of the victims were male. The most frequently reported ages of the victims fell into the following ranges: 20-24 years old (48), followed by 16-19 years old (32) and 25-34 years old (16). Fifty-four (54) of the victims were E1-E4, with 41 identified as civilians and nine (9) as E5-E9.

3) ***Service Referrals***. Anecdotal reports from the field indicate services are being provided consistently. However, the final data collection methodology was not provided until May 2008, which did not allow modification of the information systems used to collect the data. Marine Corps will provide preliminary information against this reporting item for FY09.

4) ***Experience in Combat Areas of Interest.*** Of the Combat-related areas of interest reports of Marine-related sexual crimes investigated by NCIS in FY08 (9), all victims were military members. The most reported offenses involved Rape (3) and Aggravated Sexual Assault (2).

2. Subjects

a. NAVY

1) ***Demographics*****.** An analysis of the 188 investigations completed in FY08 shows that although the majority of investigations involving subjects who were active duty members (152), nearly 20% of the cases involved subjects who were either civilians (12) or their identities - and thus duty status - were unknown (24). The subjects were almost exclusively male (1 female subject, 3 subjects gender unknown), and, where their age was known, were predominantly under 35 years old (age 20 to 24 – 51; age 25 to 34 – 34). Of those subjects who were identified as active duty members, the majority were junior enlisted personnel (E1 to E4 – 63; E5 to E9 - 41; Officers - 2).

2) *Disposition*. A significant number of the 188 investigations completed in FY08 were not prosecutable. In 20% of the cases, the Navy had no jurisdiction due to the subject being unknown (24), a civilian (12), or having been previously discharged (1). Additionally, 23% of the cases could not be prosecuted because of victim or law enforcement related issues. In 6 of the 188 cases the victim recanted. In 34 cases the victim either signed a preference statement (asking that charges not be brought against anyone) or refused to cooperate with law enforcement authorities. In one case the victim went UA before the case could go to court, and in 3 cases law enforcement authorities terminated the investigation.

Of the remaining 107 investigations, nearly 20% were presented to, or initially belonged to, civilian authorities for disposition. Of those 23 cases, 6 are still under investigation, the victim recanted in one case, and 16 cases were presented for a disposition decision – resulting in one prosecution and 15 decisions by civilian authorities not to press charges.

As a result of the foregoing, only 84 of the 188 investigations completed in FY08 were presented to commands for a disposition decision. Of those 84 cases, there were 42 allegations of rape and /or aggravated sexual assault, 32 allegations of wrongful or abusive sexual contact, 7 allegations of non-consensual sodomy, and 3 allegations of indecent assault.

Of the 42 allegations of rape and /or aggravated sexual assault, 9 resulted in the preferral of courts-martial charges (1 for a lesser/different offense), 9 were disposed of at non-judicial punishment (7 for a lesser/different offense), and no action was taken in 24 cases due to a lack of sufficient evidence.

With respect to the 32 allegations of wrongful or abusive sexual contact, 5 resulted in the preferral of courts-martial charges, 12 were disposed of at non-judicial punishment, 2 were disposed of through administrative separation, 3 were handled by administrative action, and no action was taken in 10 cases due to a lack of sufficient evidence.

The 7 allegations of non-consensual sodomy resulted in the preferral of courts-martial charges in 3 cases, one was disposed of at non-judicial punishment for a lesser offense, one was disposed of through administrative separation for a lesser offense, and no action was taken in 2 cases due to a lack of sufficient evidence. All three indecent assault cases were declined by the command due to a lack of sufficient evidence.

3) *Experience in Combat Areas of Interest.* No additional comments.

b. MARINE CORPS

1) *Demographics*. An analysis of the 105 investigations completed in FY08 shows that the majority of investigations involving subjects who were active duty members (99), while 8% of the cases involved subjects who were either civilians (4) or were unidentified - and thus their duty status - unknown (2). The subjects were exclusively male, with the possible exception of one subject whose identity and, consequently,

gender were unknown. In those cases where the subject's age was known, subjects were predominantly under 35 years old (age 20 to 24 – 31; 25 to 34 - 15). Of the subjects who were identified as active duty members, the majority were junior enlisted personnel (E1 to E4 – 44; E5 to E9 – 13).

2) *Disposition*. A significant number of the 105 investigations completed in FY08 were not prosecutable. In 8% of the cases, the Marine Corps had no jurisdiction due to the subject being unknown (2), a civilian (4), or having been previously discharged (2). Additionally, 37% of the cases could not be prosecuted because of victim or law enforcement related issues. In 9 of the 105 cases the victim recanted. In 28 cases the victim either signed a preference statement (asking that charges not be brought against anyone) or refused to cooperate with law enforcement authorities. In one case the victim was administratively separated on an unrelated basis before the case could be prosecuted, and in one case law enforcement authorities terminated the investigation.

Of the remaining 58 investigations, nearly 19% were presented to, or initially belonged to, civilian authorities for disposition. Of those 11 cases, 3 are still under investigation, the victim refused to cooperate in one case, and 7 cases were presented for a disposition decision – resulting in zero prosecutions and 7 decisions by civilian authorities not to press charges.

As a result of the foregoing, only 47 of the 105 investigations completed in FY08 were presented to commands for a disposition decision. Of those 47 cases, there were 25 allegations of rape and/or aggravated sexual assault, 19 allegations of wrongful or abusive sexual contact, 1 allegation of non-consensual sodomy, and 2 allegations of indecent assault.

Of the 25 allegations of rape and /or aggravated sexual assault, 9 resulted in the preferral of courts-martial charges (2 for a lesser/different offense); 3 were disposed of at non-judicial punishment for a lesser/different offense, 2 subjects were administratively separated on a different basis, and no action was taken in 11 cases due to a lack of sufficient evidence.

With respect to the 19 allegations of wrongful or abusive sexual contact, 7 resulted in the preferral of courts-martial charges, 5 were disposed of at non-judicial punishment (2 for a lesser/different offense), 2 were handled by administrative action, and no action was taken in 5 cases due to a lack of sufficient evidence.

No action was taken on the single allegation of non-consensual sodomy due to a lack of sufficient evidence. Both of the indecent assault cases resulted in preferral of court-martial charges, one for a lesser/different offense.

3) *Experience in Combat Areas of Interest.* No additional comment.

3. Overview of Reports

a. NAVY

1) *Descriptives*. There were 334 Navy-related sexual crimes investigations initiated by NCIS in FY08, with 188 of those investigations completed. Of the 334 initial reports, 196 were allegations of military subjects committing offenses on military victims, 102 involved a civilian as either the alleged offender or victim and there were 36 unidentified subjects. Aggravated Sexual Assault (100) was the most commonly reported offense, followed by Rape (65). A slight majority of these alleged offenses took place on-base (164), as opposed to off-base (152), but the location was not identified in 18 reports. Victims' timeliness in reporting of the allegations varied, with most reports being made within 72 hours (158), followed by 72 hours to one month (68), one to 12 months (62), unknown time between assault and report (38) and more than one year (8) reporting periods.

2) *Investigations*. Overall, a majority of reports involved alleged male-on-female criminal acts (305), with the remaining allegations consisting of male-on-male criminal acts (26) and female-on-female (1) and unknown gender-on-male (2).

3) *Experience in Combat Areas of Interest*. Of the Combat-related areas of interest reports of Navy-related investigations in FY08 (13), the majority of allegations were of military subjects committing alleged offenses on military victims (10) and 3 cases involved a civilian as either the suspect or victim. An equal number took place on-base (6) and off-base (6), and one occurred at an unidentified location. Overall, a majority of reports involved alleged male-on-female criminal acts (11), with the remaining allegations consisting of male-on-male criminal acts (2). Victims' timeliness in reporting of the allegations varied , with most reports being made within 72 hours (6), followed by 72 hours to one month (4) and one to 12 months (1). The majority of alleged offenses were reported to have occurred in Bahrain (8), followed by United Arab Emirates (3), and Kuwait (2).

b. MARINE CORPS

1) *Descriptives*. There were 216 Marine-related sexual crimes investigations initiated by NCIS in FY08, with 105 of those investigations completed. Of the 216 initial reports, 109 were allegations of military subjects committing offenses on military victims, 94 involved a civilian as either the alleged offender or victim and there were 13 unidentified subjects. Aggravated Sexual Assault (86) was the most commonly reported offense, followed by Rape (42). A slight majority of these alleged offenses took place on-base (128), as opposed to off-base (73), but the location was not identified in 15 cases. Victims' timeliness in reporting of the allegations varied , with most reports being made within 72 hours (110), followed by 72 hours to one month (37), one to 12 months (33),

unknown time between assault and report (29) and more than one year (7) reporting periods.

2) ***Investigations***. Overall, a majority of reports involved alleged male-on-female criminal acts (198), with the remaining allegations consisting of male-on-male criminal acts (17), and unknown gender-on-female (1).

3) ***Experience in Combat Areas of Interest.*** Of the combat-related areas of interest reports of Marine Corps-related investigations in FY08 (9), the majority of allegations were of military subjects committing alleged offenses on military victims (6), 1 civilian subject and 2 unidentified subjects. All reported offenses took place on-base. A majority of reports involved alleged male-on-female criminal acts (6), with the remaining allegations consisting of male-on-male criminal acts (3). Victims' timeliness in reporting of the allegations varied, with most reports being made within 72 hours (5) followed by 72 hours to one month (1), one to 12 months (1) and more than one year (2) reporting periods. The majority of alleged offenses were reported to have occurred in Iraq (8), with one occurring in Kuwait.

V. RESTRICTED REPORTING

NAVY RESPONSE

A. Discussion

1. Victims. Navy had 155 Restricted Reports for FY08. Fourteen (14) victims converted from the restricted reporting option to unrestricted with a final total of 141 cases remaining restricted. Thirty-three (33) restricted reports had unidentified subjects. The majority of the incidents (108 of 155) occurred off military installations.

a. ***Demographics***. Of the 153 victims who chose to make a Restricted Report, 152 were Navy, one (1) was a Marine; 144 were active component; and 9 were Midshipmen. 131 were female, 21 were male, and one (1) was unknown. 71 were E-1 – E-4, 12 were E-5 – E-9, one (1) was 04-010; and seven (7) were Midshipmen. 37 victims were aged 16-19; 72 were aged 20 – 24; 24 were aged 25-34; and the age of 20 was not specified. The type of offense is not recorded for restricted reports as no investigation was initiated.

b. ***Service referrals***. Of the victims choosing to make Restricted Reports, 81 received medical treatment in a Military Treatment Facility and 12 received medical treatment in a civilian facility. 80 Victims availed themselves of military counseling services which is primarily provided in Navy Fleet and Family Support Program by licensed clinical providers; and 23 availed themselves of civilian counseling services. None of the victims elected to undergo a Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE).

c. ***Experience in Combat areas of interest***. Two (2) Restricted Reports were made in Bahrain, Navy Region Southwest Asia which is considered a Combat Area of Interest. The victims were both female and both were Navy. Both victims were active duty, one (1) was E-

5 – E-9; and one (1) was 01 – 03. Both victims declined to undergo a Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE).

2. Overview of Reports

a. ***Descriptives***. Of the 155 sexual assaults where the victim chose to make a Restricted Report,

1) 104 involved service-member on service-member assault with 18 victims assaulted by non-service member on service member, and thirty three (33) with unknown assailants.

2) Thirty eight (38) of the incidents occurred on base and 108 off-base; and nine (9) were in an unidentified location.

3) Eighty seven (87) were reported within three (3) days; thirty six (36) between four (4) and 30 days; twenty four (24) between 31 days and one (1) calendar year, and three (3) over one (1) year, and five (5) were unknown.

4) Thirteen (13) occurred between midnight and 0600; eight (8) between 0600 a.m. and 6 p.m., eleven (11) between 1800 and midnight; with 123 occurring at an unknown or unspecified times.

5) Ten (10) occurred on Monday, eleven (11) occurred on Tuesday; nine (9) occurred on Wednesday, eight (8) occurred on Thursday, and thirty (30) occurred on Friday, thirty five (35) occurred on Saturday, and twenty nine (29) on Sunday; and twenty one (23) were unknown.

b. ***Combat Areas of Interest***

1) In FY08, the Navy had two restricted reports made in combat areas of interest, specifically Bahrain. Both of which were service member on service member and occurred off military installation.

2) Navy SARCs were asked if they worked with any victims in FY08 who were assaulted in combat areas of interest. Navy SARCs worked with 5 victims who were assaulted while in a combat area of interest as outlined below. Numbers suggest victims may have not reported at location where the assault occurred, but reported later, and may include both restricted and unrestricted cases.

Location of Assault	Navy Region SARC Worked with Victim	Number of Victims
Bahrain	Mid-Atlantic	3
Qatar	Northwest	1
Iraq	Southwest	1

MARINE CORPS RESPONSE

A. Discussion

1. Victims.

a. *Demographics*. Of the 27 victims who chose to make a Restricted Report, 25 were Marines, two (2) were sailors; all were from the active component. 22 were female and five (5) were male. 23 were between E1 to E-4; four (4) were E-5 to E-9. Thirteen (13) victims were aged 16-19: ten (11) were aged 20-24; and two (2) were aged 25-34. The age of the remaining victim was not specified. The type of offense is not recorded for restricted reports as no investigation is initiated. One victim elected to convert a restricted to an unrestricted report, leaving a total of 26 restricted reports.

b. *Service referrals*. Of the 26 victims choosing to make Restricted Reports, 22 received medical treatment in a Military Treatment Facility. 22 victims availed themselves of counseling in military facilities. Only 2 victims elected to undergo a Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE).

c. *Experience in Combat areas of interest* A single restricted report was made in Iraq. The victim was a mobilized reservist. The victim declined a SAFE, but received medical treatment.

2. Overview of Reports

a. Descriptives. Of the 27 sexual assaults where the victim chose to make a Restricted Report,

1) Eighteen (18) involved service-member on service-member assault, with 6 victims assaulted by civilians, and 3 with unknown assailants.

2) Thirteen (13) of the incidents occurred on-base, 14 off-base.

3) Eleven (11) were reported within three (3) days; seven(7) between four (4) and 30 days; seven (7) between 31 days and one (1) year, and two (2) over one (1) year.

4) Thirteen (13) occurred between midnight and 0600; ten (10) between 1800 and midnight; with four (4) occurring at an unknown or unspecified times.

5) Eight (8) occurred on Saturday; five (5) on Tuesday; four (4) each on Wednesday and Friday; three (3) on Sunday; two (2) on Thursday; and one (1) on Monday.

VI. SERVICE REFERRALS TO VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT

NAVY RESPONSE. See Attachment 3A. The data elements for service referrals were added to requirements during the fiscal year. Navy SARCs were not required to collect support service referral data for unrestricted or restricted reports of sexual assault, and we are developing a web-based SAVI Case Management System since the Defense Case Record Management System (DCRMS) was not implemented. Neither Navy SARCs nor SAVI Victim Advocates are required to collect support service referral data for unrestricted or restricted reports of sexual assault, therefore the following numbers do not accurately reflect the number of referrals to support services that Navy SARCs and SAVI Victim Advocates made in FY08.

VII. CHALLENGES WITH THE UNRESTRICTED AND RESTRICTED REPORTING PROCESSES

NAVY RESPONSE. The Navy addresses the various challenges discussed below as they arise, and on an on-going basis.

A. Joint Environment. U.S. Navy personnel serve in all areas of the joint environment, e.g., Combatant Commanders' Areas of Operation (AOR); the Joint Staff and other Executive Branch organizations and commissions, and joint bases. The Navy actively participates at the agency, joint and inter-service levels to synchronize and deconflict policies and procedures to promote effective implementation and delivery of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response programs. Additional resources are needed to ensure qualified, cross-Service trained SAPR program personnel, including personnel capable of performing forensic examinations, are readily available to victims of sexual assault, particularly those wishing to make a restricted report.

1. When not assigned to afloat units, Navy personnel deploy to the CENTCOM AOR primarily as Individual Augmentees (IA). Navy victims of sexual assault in Iraq and Afghanistan are supported by other Services' SARCs and Victim Advocates. Coordination among the Services' Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) program personnel and pre-deployment and in-theater training are key to ensuring victims receive appropriate support and services.

2. Difficulties with case management and delivering and tracking services provided to victims in joint environments are most often the result of procedural differences among the Services' SAPR programs and/or internal reporting processes, reluctance on the part of victims to work with SARCs or Victim Advocates from another Service, communications protocols, and/or lack of cross-Service tracking systems. The latter should be mitigated in 2010 when DoD fields its joint, sexual assault information data base.

B. Combat Areas of Interest. Challenges typically include lack of organic capability to conduct Sexual Assault Forensic Examinations (SAFE), limited availability of transportation, proper control of evidence, timely communications among SAPR program personnel and victims, and protecting victims' privacy, particularly at small, forward bases. Operational security may also complicate staffing, reporting, and communications, e.g., there are many Forward Operating Bases (FOB) whose locations cannot be disclosed, and that may have to "share" deployable SARCs (DSARC),

Victim Advocates and health care personnel. For example, Navy Independent Duty Corpsmen (IDC) may be the only persons authorized to receive restricted reports at a deployed locations. Because IDCs currently do not conduct SAFEs, however, victims must be flown to the nearest medical treatment facility (MTF).

C. Tracking Victim Services. As noted above, the farther a victim is from his or her home command and/or service providers, the more difficult it is to provide and/or monitor appropriate support. Even with Memoranda of Understanding in place, DoD SAPR program personnel may be unable to ensure civilian providers provide information relative to their support for military victims. Of equal concern is the unwillingness of some victims to respond to or communicate with their assigned victim advocates. Proper reporting (initial, continuing, and final Situation Reports (SITREP)) for all cases of sexual assault at the command level is the best way to ensure senior leadership is aware of the obstacles unit commanders, whether ashore or afloat, may encounter as they work to provide sexual assault victims with proper care and support.

D. Restricted Reporting.

1. As noted above, the Navy has a robust outreach and training program targeting the known challenges associated with restricted reporting, e.g.,

a. What a restricted report is, how it must be made and to whom, and how a victim makes his/her medical care and other support preferences known;

b. Differences among state laws and procedures, and between state laws and procedures and DoD policies;

c. The perceived or actual inability of victims to attend counseling or other appointments without scrutiny/inquiry from colleagues or supervisors;

d. The ability of SAPR program personnel to protect the privacy and/or maintain the confidentiality of victims, particularly those deployed

2. Victims who fear being prosecuted for misconduct such as underage drinking may be reluctant to report being sexually assaulted.

E. Other (please explain)

1. While the SAPR Program remains an unfunded mandate for all the Services, our respective Reserve components are especially in need of additional resources to enable them to establish and staff key SAPR positions. Reserve components also face the challenge of monitoring sexual assault victims when the latter are not on active duty.

MARINE CORPS RESPONSE

A. Joint Environment. No challenges experienced.

B. Combat Area of Interest. The exigencies of combat result in the need to triage sexual assault victims along with wounded personnel who are in danger of loss of life or limb. This may sometimes result in an unavoidable delay in providing services. Additionally, operational combat needs may cause reassignments of previously-trained response personnel.

C. Tracking Victim Services. No challenges experienced.

D. Restricted Reporting. Nothing to report. See V above, Marine Corps Response.

E. Other (please explain). Not applicable.

VIII. CASE SYNOPSES OF COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS

A. Summary of Synopses. As noted at Section IV above, the discussion below was prepared using data obtained from Attachments 1, 4 and 7, and provides highlights of the data reflected in the attachments, rather than addressing each data point. Please refer to the attachments for further information.

NAVY. Upon review of the attachments, a consistent finding is that many of the 334 (pending and closed) sexual assault incidents reported to NCIS in FY08 involved service member on service member (196). A review of the victim rank structure shows that the largest number of victims (121 out of 195) is E1-E4. Most Navy victims (86 out of 195) fall within the age range of 20-24. The rank of subjects was a little more difficult to ascertain due to the fact that the ranks of 83 listed subjects was "unknown". Of the subjects whose rank was known, the majority fell within the same demographic rank structure as the victims. Sixty-three out of 203 listed subjects fell within the E1-E4 range. The same pattern was discernable when it came to the age of the suspects. Of the listed suspects, 51 out of 203 are between the ages of 20-24.

MARINE CORPS. The Marine Corps Criminal Investigation Division conducted 33 sexual assault investigations during fiscal year 2008 (FY08). Twenty-eight of the investigations were closed and five (5) investigations were pending adjudication at the end of FY08. Thirty-one (31) of the investigations involved a service member as either the subject or victim and four (4) investigations involved only a civilian or a civilian as the victim with the subject unidentified. The majority of the reports were made within three (3) days of the incident. The time period in which most assaults occurred was between 6 p.m. and midnight, with no particular day being more prevalent for assaults. The majority of subjects were Marines (20/30) and the majority of victims were civilians (20/34). **Note**: Since a report may have more than one subject, more than one victim, or both, the total of either may not equal the total number of reports investigated.

NCIS/OJAG: The Marine Corps showed similar findings to the Navy. There were 216 unrestricted sexual assault investigations worked (pending and closed) by NCIS in FY 08. Of the 216, most of the sexual assault investigations involved service member on service member (109), a consistent pattern among both services. The USMC victim and subject ranks were also heavily in the E1-E4 range. There were 112 victims, 54 of which were E1-E4. Of the 62 known subjects in the USMC cases, 44 were E1-E4. Ages of both Marine Corps victims and subjects

also mirrored that of the Navy. Where ages were known, more victims and subjects fell within the age range of 20-24 than any other group.

Final assessment: It is apparent that victims and subjects of military sexual assaults are primarily between the ages of 20-24 and lower in rank, E1-E4. Of the 293 (USN and USMC) completed investigations in FY08, victims signed Victim Preference Statements or refused to cooperate in sexual assault investigations in 63 of the cases. Sixteen victims recanted their initial complaint.

IX. DISCUSSION

A. Status of FY08 Plans Described in the FY07 Report/Lessons Learned – FY08

NAVY RESPONSE

1. **Status of FY08 Plans Described in the FY07 Report**. Each of the items identified in last year's report is addressed below. In summary, the plans developed for FY08, according to data collected in each of the Navy's Regions, have been achieved.

a. **Restricted Reporting**. As the number of restricted reports and the number of personnel trained in FY08 demonstrates, the Navy SAVI program did continue to train commands, Senior Leadership, and new Sailors, and promoted the restricted reporting option as a way to reduce the barriers to reporting sexual assault incidents.

b. **Advocacy**. As the number of victim advocates receiving initial and refresher training in FY 08 demonstrates as well as the numbers of service referrals provided to victims for medical and counseling services, the training of victim advocates and providing caring proactive response to victims remains a priority.

c. **Healthcare/Forensic Exams**. The FY08 data collected indicates that overall the availability and usage of SAFE exams has not been an issue this year. The Navy has continued to ensure that SAFE kits are available. Navy SARCs and Victim Advocates in FY08 have continued to encourage all victims to receive medical care.

d. **Mental Health**. In FY08 Mental health services have continued to be provided to victims through military medical treatment facilities and local FFSC and the civilian community.

e. **Counseling**. In FY08 Navy Fleet and Family Support Centers continued to have licensed clinical providers available to provide counseling to victims of sexual assault. Additionally, Navy SARCs and Victim Advocates have continued to encourage victims to seek counseling through FFSP, medical, and civilian resources. Again in FY08, Navy SARCs have not identified any barriers to victims obtaining counseling services. Military OneSource, TRICARE, and local civilian resources continue to be offered to victims as counseling options.

f. Navy SAVI and Marine Corps SAPR Program personnel are discussing how to field *Mentors in Violence Prevention* (*MVP*) as a DoN-wide prevention initiative to train Sailors on bystander intervention. The *MVP* program has proven very successful with the Marine Corps.

2. Lessons Learned – FY08

a. Decreasing victim blaming requires we find the "right answer" in dealing with rumor and conjecture related to sexual assault incidents and those involved.
b. SARCs need to work closely with the Emergency Room personnel to ensure the latter provides care appropriate to the types of assault victims suffer; and understand the parameters of the unrestricted reporting option.
c. Need to coordinate domestic abuse and sexual assault policies, particularly with regard to reporting options. This issue will be reviewed by the SAAC Policy and Accountability subcommittee in FY09.
d. Command SAVI POCs remain an excellent resource for providing and coordinating training, disseminating information, and recruiting advocates at the command level.
e. Immediate SARC intervention, SAVI training for command leadership/personnel and coordinated victim advocacy are critical for the safety, care, support, and well being of victims.
f. Close cooperation between commands and SAVI program personnel ensures victims receive appropriate support and prevents "victim blaming".
g. Ensuring all Sexual Assault Case Management Group (SACMG) members have assigned alternates allows for more effective SACMG meetings and case management.
h. Having a Victim Advocate perform his/her duties successfully requires thorough communication of expectations and holding the VAs accountable.
i. Ensuring recognition of advocates who make the SAVI Program a success is an excellent tool in the enhancement of the SAVI program.
j. Communicating the availability of SAVI related training and services to all personnel requires aggressive, proactive marketing of program requirements, resources and services.
k. As success in the National Capital Region (NCR) proves, implementation of joint efforts strengthens the SAVI/SAPR Program,
l. Identifying Reserve units and personnel as far in advance of their deployments as possible is necessary to ensure they receive appropriate SAPR training prior to deployment.
m. Providing frequent clarifications of the policies and protocols of the SAVI program to Sailors and commands is necessary.
n. SARCs may need to be more assertive to ensure that all commands are in possession of all pertinent information, such as written protocols, current SAVI Watchbills, and contact information. It has been helpful to formalize delivery of services in environments where, because of size, interactions have sometimes been more informal.

MARINE CORPS RESPONSE

1. Mandatory Reporting of sexual assault cases is problematic when victims desire a Restricted Report if there is no MTF available to perform a SAFE. Solution: Local Memoranda of Understanding allow reporting to military law enforcement as an option for sexual assault victims who present to a civilian Medical Treatment Facility.

2. Students at Uniformed Victim Advocate (UVA) training strongly desired to spend more time on role-playing scenarios. Solution: UVA training was extended from two-and-a-half days to three full days to allow more in-depth, scenario-based interactive training.

BUMED RESPONSE. In response to the need to enhance sexual assault response capability, BUMED significantly increased the number of medical staff trained as first responders with the implementation of a Tri-Service, web-based Sexual Assault Prevention and Response course. We continue to train additional forensic examiners at those facilities that provide SAFE collection.

CHAPLAIN RESPONSE. Though current guidance does not establish periodicity for refresher SAPR-related training offered to chaplains, the Chief of Chaplains should make it an annual requirement.

B. Plans for FY09

NAVY RESPONSE

1. ***Restricted Reporting***

a. Continue to provide discreet, quality care and advocacy for victims desiring confidentiality, and continue educational and promotional efforts to ensure victims know who to contact to make a restricted report.
b. Establish a schedule to review the restricted and unrestricted processes separately from the refresher training with advocates. The training will be provided as commands are deploying.
c. Provide duty cell phones for on-call victim advocates to ensure the option of restricted reporting remains intact, and to avoid inadvertent disclosure to quarterdeck personnel resulting in an unrestricted report.
d. Develop marketing materials for the SAVI Program to include information cards on the types of reporting options to pass out and have in the men and women's bathrooms. Develop commercials to run on the Armed Forces Network (AFN) with SAVI victim advocates. Coordinate for an on-going spot in the local newspaper. Secure funding for marketing items (posters, magnets, etc) to promote Restricted Reporting.
e. Training to raise awareness about reporting options and pros/cons to each. Increase availability of written information on base; increase emphasis on the distinction between restricted vs. unrestricted in trainings; provide increased information to civilian employees to counter potential misinformation.

2. ***Advocacy***

a. It is important to implement a plan to meet with other disciplines (key stakeholders) on a monthly basis (pending active cases), to discuss present and future prevention plans (Primary Prevention: Attitudes and Behaviors; Secondary Prevention: Risk Factors and Targeting).
b. There is a need to develop a way to reach deployed advocates through on-line training and/or email lessons to assist deployed advocates in earning refresher training hours.

c. We need to be more effective in partnering with other first responders to develop and deliver training skits/training.
d. Ongoing active recruitment of SAVI advocates is essential.
e. Creativity and facilitating monthly refresher training at different locations is important in order to accommodate the volunteer VA schedules.
f. SARCs can coordinate opportunities for victim advocates to provide outreach within their commands.
g. Contacting commands through FFSC Command Representative Program can target leadership to support the victim advocate designation and training component.
h. Need to find ways of improving attendance at Victim Advocate Refresher trainings.
i. Encouraging advocates to attend monthly advocate meetings to stay connected to the program and to each other, not just attend provide 10 hours of Refresher training all at once.
j. Find funding for having sweat suits as part of the Duty Bag and available for victims as they leave the Hospital.
k. Continue to recruit and train as many new Victim Advocates as possible to ensure adequate coverage on the Watch bill, and promoting the SAVI Duty Number as a resource for Command Members.
l. Working with commands to better prepare for advocate turn over within the command.
m. Continue to develop community partnerships.
n. Continue to develop and expand collaborative efforts with trained Victim Advocates.
o. Schedule numerous guest speakers for monthly advocate meetings, including mental health therapists, base chaplain, FAP advocate, women's center SART program manager, and staff from NCIS, JAG and base medical center.
p. Develop a Victim Witness Assistance Program (VWAP) reference manual that will list victim resources, providers, and will supply appropriate forms, as well as track pertinent data.
q. Collaborate with civilian partners to provide "Victim Packs" of necessities to be available to the advocate-on-call for any victim of sexual assault.

3. ***Healthcare/Forensic Exams***

a. Continue efforts to finalize Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) with local medical facilities for forensic exams.
b. Ensure that advocates, and security and medical personnel receive training on victim sensitivity and local procedures.

4. ***Investigative***

a. Maintain communication and collaboration with investigative personnel; continue to provide First Responder Training to law enforcement personnel.
b. Continue to provide SAVI training and marketing materials.
c. Maintain good communication with Investigations and continue utilize agents, officers and civilian detectives as speakers for SAVI training
d. Conduct a combined Training so that Base Security, DoD Police and NCIS all better understand their roles in this process and can improve communication with each other.

e. Look for additional Training opportunities specifically with Police Dispatch to ensure that they understand the resources the SAVI Program has to offer as well as the importance of Restricted Reporting.
f. Continue to improve working relationship with new NCIS staff members and stress the importance of their role/participation in the Case Management Group**.**
g. Incorporate a collaborative effort with NCIS to present with SARC to commands on First Responders responsibilities.

5. ***Legal***

a. Improve SARC relationship and communication with the base providers and utilizing representatives from Legal to train or brief as an expert speaker.
b. Continue developing an effective, collaborative relationship with civilian and military legal offices to ensure victim sensitivity and support throughout legal proceedings. SARC attends monthly County Special Assault Unit meetings including county prosecutors, local representatives of law enforcement through the county and civilian victim advocates.

6. ***Chaplain***

a. Maintain a close working relationship with chaplains and staff to include providing annual SAVI overview training and victim assistance as requested.
b. Coordinate workshops for parents and youth group participants on topics such as "Internet Predators" and "Teen Dating Violence".
c. Work with the Chaplains to promote them as a resource for victims and ensuring that they understand the elements of the SAVI Program so they can make appropriate referrals.
d. Continue developing the collaborative relationship with Chaplains who have been particularly supportive of the SAVI program. For example, one Chaplain has been available as a resource for victims, for consultation, and provided training on the topic of compassionate leadership for advocates. He is on the schedule for 2009 and will be a presenter at the January refresher training.

7. ***Mental Health***

a. Continue to build upon the already strong relationship between the FFSC/SAVI Program and the Mental Health staff at the Naval Hospitals and Clinics.

8. ***Counseling***

a. Continue to recruit and fill vacant Social Worker positions filled at FFSC so that referrals can be made for counseling without victims having to travel off-base to access services.
b. Continue referral process to and from FFSC Counselors.
c. Continue to promote healthy self-esteem for victims through awareness, and continued education done by other advocates.

9. ***Command Support***

a. Communicate to Commanders the importance of each position within SAVI.
b. Deliver training to Ombudsman.
c. Deliver "How Do You Say STOP" brief within the commands.
d. Coordinate the Annual SAVI Awareness Community Walk.
e. Assist with National Night Out.
f. Singapore will request SAVI/SARC trainers from CFNJ to perform training in Singapore, hold a recruiting drive for more SAVI advocates, and pursue aggressive marketing efforts.
g. During Sexual Assault Awareness Month, one installation will have a Five (5) K Run/Walk to bring awareness to Sexual Assault in the community. This will be the first event, but plan to make this a yearly event. The goal is to have two thousand (2,000) personnel participate in the 5 K Run/Walk.
h. With the current installation commander leaving in April 2009, one SARC plans to work closely with the gaining Commander in hopes that he/she will be as actively involved and engaged in the SAVI program as the outgoing Commanding Officer.
i. Utilize the FFSC Command Representative Program and One on One contact with commands to aggressively market program services and leadership education.
j. Increase the number of officers involved in the SAVI Program.

MARINE CORPS RESPONSE

1. *Restricted Reporting*. The Marine Corps continues to cooperate with federal/national, state and local organizations working to obtain changes to mandatory reporting statutes, and/or exemption for military treatment facilities in those localities affected by mandatory reporting.

2. *Advocacy*. The Marine Corps continues training Uniformed Victim Advocates in order to provide a robustly-maintained response capability to our deploying formations.

3. *Healthcare/Forensic Exams*. See BUMED Response.

4. *Investigative*. See NCIS Response.

5. *Legal*. See OJAG Response.

6. *Chaplain*. See Chaplain Response.

7. *Mental Health*. Bystander intervention education will be explored as a means of influencing behaviors found to facilitate sexual assault as well as other anti-social behaviors.

8. *Counseling*. The Marine Corps will continue to participate in the National Sexual Violence Resource Center's ongoing effort to produce a curriculum for training non-DoD-associated victim advocates who may work with military victims through local community resources, such as rape/crisis centers. The curriculum will also eventually be used to refresh civilian Marine Corps counselors' skills in dealing with military victims.

OJAG RESPONSE

1. NJS has received approval for, and will develop and offer, a new course on Litigation of Sexual Assault Crimes. OJAG anticipates sending up to 30 Trial and Defense Counsel to this course. Additionally, NJS will continue to update and revise current course offerings in accordance with changes to the law, and in our understanding of sexual assault pathology. Regional Legal Service Offices (RLSO) will take advantage of all funded training opportunities and will use command funds to send Trial Counsel to appropriate training throughout the fiscal year. We will also look for additional opportunities to add to periodic training as schedules and funding permit.

2. Each RLSO will conduct at least one internal Trial Counsel training stand-down that will include sexual assault matters, including a presentation on the SAVI Program, VWAP Programs, and a presentation on SART and SANE exams in sexual assault cases. This group training will be conducted locally and will be conducted in both lecture and participatory formats. About 50 JAs are assigned as Trial Counsel and will receive this training.

3. RLSOs will also continue to seek out partnerships with local District Attorneys, civilian subject matter experts and attorneys. Our Senior Trial Counsels will continue to advise, mentor and train our Trial Counsels. We will leverage the skills of our new community of Military Justice Career Track litigators to ensure that Trial Counsel with highly developed skills are sought out and reassigned to litigation billets.

BUMED RESPONSE

1. Regarding Healthcare/forensic exams for sexual assault: Naval Medical Manpower, Personnel, Training and Evaluation has developed a Tri-Service Sexual Assault Forensic Examination (SAFE) training program that will be deployed early CY09. This program will include initial and refresher training for medical personnel performing the SAFE exams.

2. Regarding Mental health issues/programs for sexual assault: All Military Treatment Facilities use counseling services available through Navy's Fleet and Family Support Centers and reach out to the local community resources as needed.

CHAPLAIN RESPONSE. Providing training for deployed chaplains remains the most difficult challenge in FY09. The Chaplain Corps will import SAPR/first responder training into a distance learning product that will be hosted within Navy Knowledge Online. This distance learning product will be linked to the Navy Training Management and Planning System to provide by-name tracking of chaplains who complete the program.

NCIS RESPONSE

1. For FY09, NCIS will provide a new, updated sexual assault training program. This program is on line, but can be taught in person, if the supervisor/personnel so desire. The program will contain more detailed policy and explanations, expanding on issues seen in many of the NCIS sexual assault investigations. The training is mandatory for all special agents and is in compliance with DoDI 6495.02.

2. A new report writing system is scheduled to be implemented in FY09. This new system is called DONCJIS (Department of the Navy Criminal Justice Information System). DONCJIS will allow for easier retrieval of statistics and information.
3. NCIS will continue to deploy special agents to combat areas. All agents, irrespective of discipline can and will respond to sexual assault complaints in a timely manner. NCIS responds to sexual assault allegations in the combat zones in the same manner and following the same NCIS policy, as they would INCONUS.

C. Combat Area Issues. No additional comments.

NAVY RESPONSE (all listed at IX.B. above). Generally, ensure that all FFSC, Clinic, and base personnel that interact with deploying or returning personnel are trained regarding Sexual Assault Awareness and Prevention, Risk Avoidance, and Victim Intervention/advocacy (direct services).

1. ***Restricted reporting***. Provide SAPR training, including detailed training on sexual assault reporting options, to all Individual Augmentees (IA), and verify they know how to contact a SARC and Victim Advocate assigned to the lead service at their deployment location.

2. ***Advocacy*.** Document outreach to Navy IAs prior to deployment to combat areas. Designate most experienced SAVI victim advocates to work with returning IAs who may require medical treatment, counseling and/or advocacy as a result of being sexually assaulted.

3. ***Healthcare/forensic exams***. Ensure medical personnel assigned to combat areas, and forward-deployed and remote locations, particularly Bahrain and Djibouti, receive priority for SAFE training. Coordinate with sister Services to ensure trained advocates are available to Navy personnel in combat areas.

MARINE CORPS RESPONSE. No new issues for discussion.

NCIS RESPONSE. No issues for discussion. NCIS uses the same procedures in all cases.

	A	B
1	**Navy FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY**	
2	**A. FY08 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Serv**	**FY08 TOTALS**
3	**# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports**	**359**
4	# Service Member victims	268
5	# Non-Service Member victims	91
6	**# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories**	**334**
7	# Service Member on Service Member	196
8	# Service Member on Non-Service Member	85
9	# Non-Service Member on Service Member	17
10	# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	36
11	**# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring**	**334**
12	# On military installation	164
13	# Off military installation	152
14	# Unidentified location	18
15	**# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)**	**334**
16	# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	146
17	**# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08**	**188**
21	**# Restricted Reports**	**155**
22	# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	14
23	**# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED**	**141**
24	**B. INCIDENT DETAILS**	**FY08 TOTALS**
25	**Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report**	**334**
26	# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	158
27	# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	68
28	# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	62
29	# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	8
30	# Unknown	38
31	**Time of sexual assault**	**334**
32	# Midnight to 6 am	60
33	# 6 am to 6 pm	16
34	# 6 pm to midnight	21
35	# Unknown	237
36	**Day of sexual assault**	**334**
37	# Sunday	47
38	# Monday	23
39	# Tuesday	28
40	# Wednesday	26
41	# Thursday	25
42	# Friday	35
43	# Saturday	44
44	# Unknown	106
45	**C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS**	**FY08 TOTALS**
46	**# Completed investigations**	**188**
47	# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	14
48	**# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations**	**203**
49	# Your Service Member subjects	159
50	# Service Member subjects from other Services	5
51	# Non-Service Member subjects	12
52	# Unidentified subjects	27
53	**# VICTIMS in the completed investigations**	**195**
54	# Service Member victims	147
55	# Non-Service Member victims	48
56	# Service Member victims from other Services	0
57	# Unknown	0
58	**D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Subjects)**	**FY08 TOTALS**
59	**# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories**	**203**
60	# Unidentified subjects	24
61	# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	95
62	# Under civilian/foreign authority	31
63	# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	0
64	**# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08**	**53**
65	**# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)**	**53**
66	# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	14
67	# Nonjudicial Punishments	22
68	# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	4
69	# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	1
70	# Other administrative actions	12

	A	B
71	**E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)**	**FY08 TOTALS**
72	**# Investigations pending from reports made from FY04 to FY07 that were completed as of 30-SEPT-08**	**131**
73	# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEPT-08	4
74	# Pre-FY08 Investigations COMPLETED as of 30-SEPT-08	127
75	**# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - resolved as of 30-SEPT-08**	**140**
76	**# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY07 reports and investigations were completed in FY08**	**140**
77	# Unidentified subjects	4
78	# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	51
79	# Under civilian/foreign authority	21
80	# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	0
81	**# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08**	**64**
82	**# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08**	**64**
83	# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	39
84	# Nonjudicial punishments	13
85	# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	4
86	# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
87	# Other administrative actions	8

Navy FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER							
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY08 Totals
	305	**26**	**0**	**1**	**2**	**0**	**334**
# Service Member on Service Member	175	20	0	1	0	0	196
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	85	0	0	0	0	0	85
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	15	2	0	0	0	0	17
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	30	4	0	0	2	0	36

FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE												
REPORTS MADE IN FY08	*Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS*				*FY08 INCIDENTS*							
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Sodomy (Art 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
	16	**8**	**1**	**0**	**97**	**101**	**4**	**18**	**63**	**21**	**5**	**334**
# Service Member on Service Member	4	4	1	0	43	73	1	12	43	13	2	196
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	10	2	0	0	30	23	1	3	13	1	2	85
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	7	2	0	17
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	2	2	0	0	17	5	2	2	0	5	1	36
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	**6**	**6**	**1**	**0**	**68**	**82**	**3**	**15**	**64**	**20**	**3**	**268**
# Service Member Victims: Female	6	5	1	0	68	82	3	10	56	6	3	240
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	14	0	28
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08												
Time of sexual assault	**16**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**97**	**101**	**4**	**18**	**63**	**21**	**5**	**334**
# Midnight to 6 am	0	1	0	0	17	23	1	7	4	5	2	60
# 6 am to 6 pm	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	8	1	0	16
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	10	4	0	0	6	1	0	21
# Unknown	14	7	1	0	68	73	3	9	45	14	3	237
Day of sexual assault	**16**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**97**	**101**	**4**	**18**	**63**	**21**	**5**	**334**
# Sunday	1	0	0	0	15	17	0	5	6	2	1	47
# Monday	1	0	0	0	6	7	0	3	4	2	0	23
# Tuesday	1	1	0	0	8	7	1	2	6	2	0	28
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	5	8	1	3	6	2	1	26
# Thursday	0	1	0	0	10	9	0	0	5	0	0	25
# Friday	1	0	0	0	9	14	0	2	5	4	0	35
# Saturday	2	0	0	0	10	20	0	2	6	5	0	45
# Unknown	10	6	1	0	34	19	2	1	25	4	3	105
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of VICTIMS	**11**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**49**	**54**	**1**	**12**	**44**	**13**	**2**	**195**
# Male	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	8	0	17
# Female	11	7	1	0	49	54	1	9	39	5	2	178
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	**11**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**49**	**54**	**1**	**12**	**44**	**13**	**2**	**195**
# 16-19	1	3		0	16	18	1	2	15	3	0	59
# 20-24	3	4	1	0	21	26	0	5	18	6	2	86
# 25-34	4	1	0	0	9	7	0	4	8	3	0	36
# 35-49	3	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	1	0	7
Grade of VICTIMS	**11**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**49**	**54**	**1**	**12**	**44**	**13**	**2**	**195**
# E1-E4	2	5	1	0	32	32	1	7	28	11	2	121
# E5-E9	2	1	0	0	4	5		3	4	1	0	20
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3
# Civilian	7	2	0	0	9	13		1	10	1	0	43
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	6
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
Service of VICTIMS	**11**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**49**	**54**	**1**	**12**	**44**	**13**	**2**	**195**
# Army	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Coast Guard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	4	6	1	0	35	40	1	10	33	12	2	144
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	7	2	0	0	12	14		2	10	1	0	48
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Status of VICTIMS	**11**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**49**	**54**	**1**	**12**	**44**	**13**	**2**	**195**
# Active Duty	4	6	1	0	38	36	1	10	33	12	2	143
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	7	2	0	0	11	15	0	2	10	1	0	48
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of SUBJECTS	**11**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**50**	**57**	**2**	**12**	**42**	**17**	**2**	**203**
# Male	11	7	1	0	50	57	2	11	41	17	2	199
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	1
# Unknown	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
Age of SUBJECTS	**11**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**50**	**57**	**2**	**12**	**42**	**17**	**2**	**203**
# 16-19	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	9
# 20-24	2	2	0	0	6	19	0	5	13	4	0	51
# 25-34	1	1	1	0	8	10	0	3	6	3	1	34
# 35-49	2	2	0	0	5	3	0	1	5	1	0	19
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
# Unknown	6	3	0	0	31	22	2	3	12	9	1	89
Grade of SUBJECTS	**11**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**50**	**57**	**2**	**12**	**42**	**17**	**2**	**203**
# E1-E4	1	2	0	0	11	26	0	5	17	3	0	65
# E5-E9	3	4	1	0	5	8	0	4	10	5	1	41
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# O4-O10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	1		7
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	5
# Unknown	6	3	0	0	27	21	2	3	10	8	1	81
Service of SUBJECTS	**11**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**50**	**57**	**2**	**12**	**42**	**17**	**2**	**203**
# Army	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	9	6	1	0	32	53	0	10	34	12	1	158
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	4
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	5	1	0	12
# Unknown	2	3	0	0	11	3	2	2		4	1	28
Status of SUBJECTS	**11**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**50**	**57**	**2**	**12**	**42**	**17**	**2**	**203**
# Active Duty	9	6	1	0	32	52	0	10	37	12	1	160
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	5	1	0	12
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	2	3	0	0	11	2	2	2	0	4	1	27

Marines FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Serv	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**227**
# Service Member victims	134
# Non-Service Member victims	93
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**216**
# Service Member on Service Member	109
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	89
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	5
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	13
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**216**
# On military installation	128
# Off military installation	73
# Unidentified location	15
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**216**
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	111
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**105**
# Restricted Reports	**27**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	1
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	**26**
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**216**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	110
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	37
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	33
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	7
# Unknown	29
Time of sexual assault	**216**
# Midnight to 6 am	47
# 6 am to 6 pm	5
# 6 pm to midnight	5
# Unknown	159
Day of sexual assault	**216**
# Sunday	36
# Monday	12
# Tuesday	15
# Wednesday	6
# Thursday	11
# Friday	23
# Saturday	44
# Unknown	69
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Completed investigations	**105**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	16
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**128**
# Your Service Member subjects	109
# Service Member subjects from other Services	5
# Non-Service Member subjects	4
# Unidentified subjects	10
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**112**
# Service Member victims	62
# Non-Service Member victims	43
# Service Member victims from other Services	7
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Subjects)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**128**
# Unidentified subjects	5
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	60
# Under civilian/foreign authority	20
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**43**
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**43**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	20
# Nonjudicial Punishments	17
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	6
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Investigations pending from reports made from FY04 to FY07 that were completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**111**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEPT-08	3
# Pre-FY08 Investigations COMPLETED as of 30-SEPT-08	108
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - resolved as of 30-SEPT-08	**111**
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY07 reports and investigations were completed in FY08	**111**
# Unidentified subjects	7
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	41

# Under civilian/foreign authority	8
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**55**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**55**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	43
# Nonjudicial punishments	7
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	1
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	4

Marines FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER

F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY08 Totals
	198	17	0	0	0	1	216
# Service Member on Service Member	99	10	0	0	0	0	109
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	89	0	0	0	0	0	89
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	3	2	0	0	0	0	5
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	7	5	0	0	0	1	13

FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE

	REPORTS MADE IN FY08	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS			FY08 INCIDENTS							
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Sodomy (Art 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
	12	4	0	0	58	87	8	11	22	13	1	216
# Service Member on Service Member	4	3			19	54	2	7	14	5	1	109
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	7	1			34	31	4	1	7	4		89
# Non-Service Member on Service Member					1	1	1	1	1			5
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	1				4	1	1	2		4		13
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	5	3	0	0	25	57	4	10	19	10	1	134
# Service Member Victims: Female	5	3			25	57	4	4	16	1	1	116
# Service Member Victims: Male								6	3	9		18
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08												
Time of sexual assault	12	4	0	0	58	87	8	11	22	13	1	216
# Midnight to 6 am	0				10	26		4	5	1	1	47
# 6 am to 6 pm	0				2	1	2					5
# 6 pm to midnight	0					1		1	2	1		5
# Unknown	12	4			46	59	6	6	15	11		159
Day of sexual assault	12	4	0	0	58	87	8	11	22	13	1	216
# Sunday	1				5	23		3	2	2		36
# Monday					5	4	1	1	1			12
# Tuesday					1	9	3			1	1	15
# Wednesday					1	4			1			6
# Thursday					5	5				1		11
# Friday		1			6	7	1	1	5	2		23
# Saturday					10	21	1	4	6	2		44
# Unknown	11	3			25	14	2	2	7	5		69
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of VICTIMS	7	4	0	0	25	35	5	8	22	6	0	112
# Male								4	2	3		9
# Female	7	4			25	35	5	4	20	3		103
# Unknown												0
Age of VICTIMS	7	4	0	0	25	35	5	8	22	6	0	112
# 16-19		1			8	11	2	1	7	2		32
# 20-24	2	1			9	19	2	5	9	1		48
# 25-34	3	1			4	2	1	1	3	1		16
# 35-49		1			2				2			5
# 50-64									1			1
# 65 and older												0
# Unknown	2				2	3	0	1		2		10
Grade of VICTIMS	7	4	0	0	25	35	5	8	22	6	0	112
# E1-E4	1	2			9	19	3	5	12	3		54
# E5-E9	1	1			1	2	1	1	2			9
# WO1-WO5												0
# O1-O3												0

# O4-O10												0
# Cadet/Midshipman												0
# Civilian	4	1			14	11	1	1	7	2		41
# Foreign national/military						1			1			2
# Unknown	1				1	2		1		1		6
Service of VICTIMS	**7**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**25**	**35**	**5**	**8**	**22**	**6**	**0**	**112**
# Army						1		1				2
# Air Force												0
# Coast Guard						1						
# Navy						1			3			4
# Marine	3	3			11	20	4	6	11	4		62
# Civilian	4	1			14	12	1	1	8	2		43
# Unknown												0
Status of VICTIMS	**7**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**25**	**35**	**5**	**8**	**22**	**6**	**0**	**112**
# Active Duty	3	3			11	23	4	7	14	4		69
# Reserve (Activated)												0
# National Guard (Activated)												0
# Civilian	4	1			14	12	1	1	8	2		43
# Cadet/Midshipman												0
# Unknown												0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FORCOMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of SUBJECTS	9	4	0	0	29	46	5	10	19	6	0	128
# Male	9	4			29	46	4	10	19	6		127
# Female												0
# Unknown							1					1
Age of SUBJECTS	**9**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**29**	**46**	**5**	**10**	**19**	**6**	**0**	**128**
# 16-19					1	4		1	1			7
# 20-24		1			9	10	3	2	5	1		31
# 25-34					3	2		3	7			15
# 35-49	1	3				1		1	1			7
# 50-64									1			1
# 65 and older												0
# Unknown	8				16	29	2	3	4	5		67
Grade of SUBJECTS	**9**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**29**	**46**	**5**	**10**	**19**	**6**	**0**	**128**
# E1-E4		1			12	16	1	6	7	1		44
# E5-E9	1	2			1	1	1		7			13
# WO1-WO5												0
# O1-O3												0
# O4-O10		1										1
# Cadet/Midshipman												0
# Civilian					1		1	1	1			4
# Foreign national/military												0
# Unknown	8				15	29	2	3	4	5		66
Service of SUBJECTS	**9**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**29**	**46**	**5**	**10**	**19**	**6**	**0**	**128**
# Army												0
# Air Force												0
# Navy					2			1	2			5
# Marine	8	4			22	45	3	8	16	3		109
# Civilian					1		1	1	1			4
# Unknown	1				4	1	1			3		10
Status of SUBJECTS	**9**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**29**	**46**	**5**	**10**	**19**	**6**	**0**	**128**
# Active Duty	7	4			24	45	3	9	18	3		113
# Reserve (Activated)	1											1
# National Guard (Activated)												0
# Civilian					1		1	1	1			4
# Cadet/Midshipman												0
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	3	0	10

U.S. NAVY -- FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY (ALL Quarters)	
A. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**155**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**155**
# Service Member on Service Member	104
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	18
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	33
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**155**
# On military installation	38
# Off military installation	108
# Unidentified location	9
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**155**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	87
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	36
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	24
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	3
# Unknown	5
Time of sexual assault incident	**155**
# Midnight to 6 am	13
# 6 am to 6 pm	8
# 6 pm to midnight	11
# Unknown	123
Day of sexual assault incident	**155**
# Sunday	29
# Monday	10
# Tuesday	11
# Wednesday	10
# Thursday	8
# Friday	31
# Saturday	35
# Unknown	21
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**155**
# Army victims	0
# Air Force victims	0
# Navy victims	154
# Marine victims	1
# Unknown	0
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**155**
# Male	21
# Female	133
# Unknown	1
Age of VICTIMS	**155**
# 16-19	37
# 20-24	72
# 25-34	26
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	20
Grade of VICTIMS	**155**
# E1-E4	71
# E5-E9	13

# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	2
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	7
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	62
Service of VICTIMS	**155**
# Army	0
# Air Force	0
# Navy	154
# Marine	1
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	**155**
# Active Duty	146
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	9
# Unknown	0

U.S. MARINE CORPS -- FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**27**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**27**
# Service Member on Service Member	17
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	6
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	4
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**27**
# On military installation	13
# Off military installation	14
# Unidentified location	0
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**27**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	11
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	6
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	7
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	2
# Unknown	1
Time of sexual assault incident	**27**
# Midnight to 6 am	13
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	9
# Unknown	5
Day of sexual assault incident	**27**
# Sunday	3
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	5
# Wednesday	4
# Thursday	2
# Friday	3
# Saturday	8
# Unknown	1
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**27**
# Army victims	0
# Air Force victims	0
# Navy victims	2
# Marine victims	25
# Unknown	0
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**27**
# Male	5
# Female	22
# Unknown	0
Age of VICTIMS	**27**
# 16-19	13
# 20-24	11
# 25-34	2
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	1

Grade of VICTIMS	**27**
# E1-E4	24
# E5-E9	3
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	0
Service of VICTIMS	**27**
# Army	0
# Air Force	0
# Navy	2
# Marine	25
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	**27**
# Active Duty	27
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Unknown	0

U.S. NAVY -- FY08 SERVICES REFERRED TO VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY (ALL Quarters)	
NOTE: Support Services are for all victims in each category that were referred for services during the reporting period, regardless of when their report was made (current or prior quarters).	
A. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**20**
# Medical	9
# Counseling	10
# Legal	1
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**5**
# Medical	2
# Counseling	1
# Legal	2
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM RESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**164**
# Medical	81
# Counseling	80
# Legal	3
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**39**
# Medical	12
# Counseling	23
# Legal	4
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICES REFERRED TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals/requests for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

U.S. MARINE CORPS -- FY08 SERVICE REFERRALS FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
NOTE: Support Services are for all victims in each category that were referred for services during the reporting period, regardless of when their report was made (current or prior quarters).	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**44**
# Medical	22
# Counseling	22
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**2**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	Data not collected
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - U.S. NAVY -- FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY (thru 4th Quarter)	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY ME	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**14**
# Service Member victims	13
# Non-Service Member victims	1
# Sexual assault Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**13**
# Service Member on Service Member	10
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**13**
# On military installation	6
# Off military installation	6
# Unidentified location	1
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**13**
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**13**
# Restricted Reports	**0**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	0
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	**0**
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**13**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	6
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	4
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Unknown	2
Time of sexual assault	**13**
# Midnight to 6 am	1
# 6 am to 6 pm	1
# 6 pm to midnight	1
# Unknown	10
Day of sexual assault	**13**
# Sunday	0
# Monday	2
# Tuesday	1
# Wednesday	2
# Thursday	0
# Friday	2
# Saturday	2
# Unknown	4
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Completed investigations	**13**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	1
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**16**
# Your Service Member subjects	14
# Service Member subjects from other Services	0
# Non-Service Member subjects	2
# Unidentified subjects	0
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**14**
# Service Member victims	13
# Non-Service Member victims	1
# Service Member victims from other Services	0
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS (Subjects)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**16**
# Unidentified subjects	0
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	10
# Under civilian/foreign authority	1
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**5**
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**5**
# Courts-martial	1
# Nonjudicial Punishments	1
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	1
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	2

E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	FY08 TOTALS
# Investigations pending from reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08	**11**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-08	1
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - COMPLETED as of 30-SEP-08	10
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - as of 30-SEP-08	**10**
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from reports made prior to FY08 and investigations were completed in FY08	**10**
# Unidentified subjects	2
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	2
# Under civilian/foreign authority	2
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**4**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**4**
# Courts-martial	3
# Nonjudicial punishments	0
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	1

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - U.S. NAVY -- FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER

F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY08 Totals
	11	2	0	0	0	0	13
# Service Member on Service Member	8	2	0	0	0	0	10
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

NAVY COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE (thru 4th Quarter)

	REPORTS MADE IN FY08	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS			FY08 INCIDENTS								
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Sodomy (Art 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
	0	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	4	0	2	0	13
# Service Member on Service Member	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	10
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	0	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	13
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	3	0	1	0	11
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08													
Time of sexual assault	0	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	4	0	2	0	13
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	0	2	0	10
Day of sexual assault	0	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	4	0	2	0	13
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS													
Gender of VICTIMS	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	14
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
# Female	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	3	0	1	0	12
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of VICTIMS	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	14
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	5
# 25-34	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Grade of VICTIMS	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	14
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	3	0	2	0	11
# E5-E9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of VICTIMS	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	14
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	13
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	0	1	0	0	3	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	14
# Active Duty	0	1	0	0	2	4	0	0	4	0	2	0	13

# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS													
Gender of SUBJECTS	0	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	4	0	2	0	16
# Male	0	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	4	0	2	0	16
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of SUBJECTS	0	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	4	0	2	0	16
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	5
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# 35-49	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	5
Grade of SUBJECTS	0	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	4	0	2	0	16
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	7
# E5-E9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4
Service of SUBJECTS	0	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	4	0	2	0	16
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Navy	0	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	2	0	2	0	14
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Status of SUBJECTS	0	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	4	0	2	0	16
# Active Duty	0	1	0	0	3	6	0	0	2	0	2	0	14
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - U.S. NAVY -- FY08 LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE (thru 4th QUARTER)

H. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	*Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS*				*FY08 INCIDENTS*							
	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Sodomy (Art 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	0	1	0	0	3	3	0	0	2	2	0	13
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea												
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	1	0	8
Iraq	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
South Asia												
Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NOTE:												

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - U.S. MARINE CORPS -- FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY (thru 4th Quarter)	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY ME	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**9**
# Service Member victims	9
# Non-Service Member victims	0
# Sexual assault Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**9**
# Service Member on Service Member	6
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	2
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**9**
# On military installation	9
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**9**
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	4
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**5**
# Restricted Reports	**0**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	0
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	**0**
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**9**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	5
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	2
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault	**9**
# Midnight to 6 am	3
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	1
# Unknown	5
Day of sexual assault	**9**
# Sunday	3
# Monday	2
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	0
# Friday	2
# Saturday	0
# Unknown	2
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Completed investigations	**5**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	0
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**5**
# Your Service Member subjects	1
# Service Member subjects from other Services	2
# Non-Service Member subjects	2
# Unidentified subjects	0
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**5**
# Service Member victims	4
# Non-Service Member victims	0
# Service Member victims from other Services	1
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS (Subjects)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**5**
# Unidentified subjects	0
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	2
# Under civilian/foreign authority	1
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**2**
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**2**
# Courts-martial	1
# Nonjudicial Punishments	1
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	0
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Investigations pending from reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08	**3**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEP-08	0
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - COMPLETED as of 30-SEP-08	3
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - as of 30-SEP-08	**3**
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from reports made prior to FY08 and investigations were completed in FY08	**3**
# Unidentified subjects	0
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	1
# Under civilian/foreign authority	1
# Pending completion as of 30-SEP-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEP-08	**1**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**1**
# Courts-martial	1

# Nonjudicial punishments	0
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	0

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - U.S. MARINE CORPS -- FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER

F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	Male on Female	Male on Male	Female on Male	Female on Female	Unknown on Male	Unknown on Female	FY08 Totals
	6	3	0	0	0	0	9
# Service Member on Service Member	5	1	0	0	0	0	6
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	1	1	0	0	0	0	2

MARINE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE (thru 4th Quarter)

REPORTS MADE IN FY08	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS				FY08 INCIDENTS								
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Sodomy (Art 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	9
# Service Member on Service Member	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	6
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	1	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	1	0	2
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	9
# Service Member Victims: Female	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08													
Time of sexual assault	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	9
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 6 pm to midnight	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
# Unknown	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	5
Day of sexual assault	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	9
# Sunday	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Friday	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2
# Saturday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS													
Gender of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# Male								1	1				2
# Female	1				1	1							3
# Unknown													0
Age of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# 16-19													0
# 20-24					1	1		1	1				4
# 25-34													0
# 35-49													0
# 50-64													0
# 65 and older													0
# Unknown	1												1
Grade of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# E1-E4					1	1		1	0				3
# E5-E9									1				1
# WO1-WO5													0
# O1-O3													0
# O4-O10													0
# Cadet/Midshipman													0
# Civilian													0
# Foreign national/military													0
# Unknown	1												1
Service of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# Army								1					1
# Air Force									1				1
# Navy													0
# Marine	1				1	1							3
# Civilian													0
# Unknown													0
Status of VICTIMS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# Active Duty	1				1	1		1					4
# Reserve (Activated)													0
# National Guard (Activated)													0
# Civilian									1				1
# Cadet/Midshipman													0
# Unknown													0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS													

Gender of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# Male	1				1	1		1	1				5
# Female													0
# Unknown													0
Age of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# 16-19													0
# 20-24						1							1
# 25-34					1								1
# 35-49													0
# 50-64									1				1
# 65 and older													0
# Unknown	1							1					2
Grade of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# E1-E4					1	1							2
# E5-E9													0
# WO1-WO5													0
# O1-O3													0
# O4-O10													0
# Cadet/Midshipman													0
# Civilian									1				1
# Foreign national/military													0
# Unknown	1							1					2
Service of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# Army													0
# Air Force													0
# Navy					1			1					2
# Marine						1							1
# Civilian									1				1
# Unknown	1												1
Status of SUBJECTS	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
# Active Duty					1	1		1					3
# Reserve (Activated)													0
# National Guard (Activated)													0
# Civilian									1				1
# Cadet/Midshipman													0
# Unknown	1												1

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - U.S.MARINE CORPS -- FY08 LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE (thru 4th QUARTER)

	Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS				*FY08 INCIDENTS*							
H. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Sodomy (Art 125)**	**Attempts to Commit these Offenses**	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**FY08 Totals**
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	9
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea												
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Iraq	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	8
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qatar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Asia												
Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Afghanistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NOTE:												

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - u.S. NAVY -- FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY (ALL Quarters)	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**2**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**2**
# Service Member on Service Member	2
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**2**
# On military installation	0
# Off military installation	2
# Unidentified location	0
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**2**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault incident	**2**
# Midnight to 6 am	2
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	0
# Unknown	0
Day of sexual assault incident	**2**
# Sunday	0
# Monday	0
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	0
# Friday	1
# Saturday	0
# Unknown	0
C. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**2**
# Army victims	0
# Air Force victims	0
# Navy victims	2
# Marine victims	0
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**2**
# Male	0
# Female	2
# Unknown	0
Age of VICTIMS	**2**
# 16-19	0
# 20-24	0
# 25-34	2
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	0
Grade of VICTIMS	**2**
# E1-E4	0
# E5-E9	1

# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	1
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	0
Service of VICTIMS	**2**
# Army	0
# Air Force	0
# Navy	2
# Marine	0
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	**2**
# Active Duty	2
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Unknown	0

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - U.S. NAVY -- LOCATION OF FY08 RESTRICTED

E. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	FY08 Totals
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN CENTCOM AOR	***2***
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea	
Bahrain	**2**
Iraq	**0**
Jordan	**0**
Lebanon	**0**
Syria	**0**
Yemen	**0**
Egypt	**0**
Kuwait	**0**
Oman	**0**
Qatar	**0**
Saudi Arabia	**0**
United Arab Emirates	**0**
South Asia	
Iran	**0**
Pakistan	**0**
Afghanistan	**0**
NOTE:	

COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - U.S. MARINE CORPS -- FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**1**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**1**
# Service Member on Service Member	1
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**1**
# On military installation	1
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**1**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	0
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault incident	**1**
# Midnight to 6 am	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	0
# 6 pm to midnight	1
# Unknown	0
Day of sexual assault incident	**1**
# Sunday	0
# Monday	0
# Tuesday	0
# Wednesday	0
# Thursday	0
# Friday	1
# Saturday	0
# Unknown	0
C. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**1**
# Army victims	0
# Air Force victims	0
# Navy victims	0
# Marine victims	1
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**1**
# Male	0
# Female	1
# Unknown	0
Age of VICTIMS	**1**

# 16-19	0
# 20-24	1
# 25-34	0
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	0
Grade of VICTIMS	**1**
# E1-E4	1
# E5-E9	0
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	0
Service of VICTIMS	**1**
# Army	0
# Air Force	0
# Navy	0
# Marine	1
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	**1**
# Active Duty	0
# Reserve (Activated)	1
# National Guard (Activated)	0
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Unknown	0
COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST U.S. MARINE CORPS - LOCATION OF FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS	
E. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN CENTCOM AOR	***1***
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea	
Bahrain	0
Iraq	1
Jordan	0
Lebanon	0
Syria	0
Yemen	0
Egypt	0
Kuwait	0
Oman	0
Qatar	0
Saudi Arabia	0
United Arab Emirates	0
South Asia	
Iran	0
Pakistan	0
Afghanistan	0
NOTE:	

AGGREGATE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST: FY08 SERVICE REFERRALS FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
NOTE: Support Services are for all victims in each category that were referred for services during the reporting period, regardless of when their report was made (current or prior quarters).	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**36**
# Medical	22
# Counseling	10
# Legal	4
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**1**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	1
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS FROM RESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**22**
# Medical	16
# Counseling	5
# Legal	1
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	4
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims in RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**1**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	1
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**0**
# Medical	0
# Counseling	0
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**0**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

WASHINGTON, DC

OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT SECRETARY

JAN 7 2009

MEMORANDUM FOR UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (PERSONNEL & READINESS)

FROM: SAF/MR

SUBJECT: Data Call for FY08 Sexual Assaults

As requested, the Air Force FY08 Annual Report on Sexual Assaults is included. Information regarding policies, procedures, and processes implemented and executed in FY08 and the Air Force plan of action for FY09 are included in the Narrative Report as Attachment 1. Data related to unrestricted and restricted reports of sexual assault, case dispositions, and case synopses are included as Attachment 2.

We will continue to work with the Department of Defense Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Office to pursue effective means of sexual assault prevention and response. The Air Force POC for this report is Mr Carl Buchanan, AF/A1SF, (703) 604-0318.

CRAIG W. DUEHRING
Assistant Secretary
(Manpower and Reserve Affairs)

Attachments:
1. AF FY08 Narrative Report
2. AF FY08 Combined Data Matrixes

This page intentionally left blank.

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE
FY08 REPORT ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY

TITLE: FY08 Report on Sexual Assaults in the Military: Department of the Air Force

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract
I. Program Overview
II. Program Response Capabilities
- **a. Personnel Trained**
- **b. Availability of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Kits**
- **c. Availability of Lab Testing**

III. Program Initiatives
- **a. Air Force Office of Special Investigations**
- **b. Air Force Security Forces**
- **c. Air Force Surgeon General**
- **d. Air Force Judge Advocate General**
- **e. Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower, Personnel, and Services**
- **f. Collaboration with Subject Matter Experts and Public Services**

IV. Unrestricted Reporting
- **a. Discussion**

V. Restricted Reporting
- **a. Discussion**

VI. Service Referrals to Victims of Sexual Assault
- **a. Discussion**

VII. Challenges with Unrestricted and Restricted Reporting Processes
- **a. Joint Environment**
- **b. Combat Areas of Interest**
- **c. Tracking Victim Services**
- **d. Restricted Reporting**
- **e. Other**

VIII. Case Synopses of Completed Investigations
- **a. Summary of Synopses**
- **b. Actual Synopsis**

IX. Discussion
- **a. Lessons Learned: FY08**
- **b. Plans for FY09**
- **c. Combat Areas Issues**

ABSTRACT:

During fiscal year (FY) 2008, the Air Force (AF) maintained full sexual assault prevention and response (SAPR) capability through the continued strategic placement of full-time civilian and military Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARC). Each SARC completed a mandatory training course before he or she assumed the respective role. This group of trained members provided services to victims of sexual assault as the SAPR program continued to mature as an institutional landmark. The successes of the program relied heavily upon the 1700-plus volunteer Victim Advocates (VA), consisting of AF active duty members and Department of Defense (DoD) civilian employees, to effectively work in-person issues with victims after their initial reports. Similarly, strong partnerships with other first-responder agencies and collaboration with key community providers at the installation level led to effective responses for victims of sexual assault. As a key component within the program, first responder training has become more firmly entrenched into functional area formal school sessions; and, field-level training and interaction between these communities have grown into positive working relationships. The AF SAPR Program has continued development of bystander intervention training modules for men, women, and leaders that serve as a foundation for an over-arching prevention-based approach. Throughout FY08, the AF initiated 387 investigations involving 404 victims of sexual assault based on unrestricted reporting (includes 42 converted from restricted reports at the request of the victims) and 262 were restricted reports (42 converted to unrestricted, leaving 220 restricted). This number of reports represents an increase of 18 unrestricted and 30 restricted reports received (8%) from FY07. As well, 9.9% of victims utilizing restricted reporting indicated the sexual assaults occurred prior to entry to the Air Force. While conducted outside the reporting period of FY08, but extremely relevant to the future of the SAPR Program, the Air Force Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower, Personnel, and Services hosted a Leader Summit that featured in-person attendance by the Secretary of the Air Force and Chief of Staff of the Air Force to re-invigorate institutional efforts. The outcome of the Summit provides clear emphasis for program direction and support throughout the Air Force. The success of the SAPR program also continues in deployed environments as policies and procedures are refined at specific locations to provide the best available services for victims.

I. PROGRAM OVERVIEW

a. Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program: The AF has 31 full-time active duty military and 79 full-time civilian Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARC). Civilian SARC grades are GS-12 and military are usually Captains or Majors. AF SARCs report directly to their installation Vice Wing Commander in order to ensure that they have direct access to senior leadership. Each of the nine AF Major Commands (MAJCOM) also have a SARC, who is responsible for providing guidance for SARCs within his or her command area. The SARCs are supported by 51 civilian and 14 military administrative assistants. Included within the overall number of SARCs is one military position assigned to Central Command Air Forces (CENTAF) to provide oversight to AF SARCs at deployed locations in the US Central Command (USCENTCOM) Area of Responsibility (AOR); one full-time civilian and one military member are at the Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC) to provide operational support for the AF SAPR Program; and, one civilian as the SAPR Program Manager and two military officers are located within the office of the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel, Manpower, and Services. The roles of the SAPR Program Manager Chief and Deputy Chief are to develop and implement policy, provide program guidance, and advocate for the AF program with senior leadership and outside organizations and agencies. The AF SAPR Program is fully implemented in the Air Reserve Component, which includes the AF Reserve Command (AFRC) and the Air National Guard (ANG). The AF Program Manager and Deputy serve as members on DoD Sexual Assault Advisory Subcommittees for training, outreach, research, and policy.

b. Program Oversight: Assistant Secretary of the Air Force (Manpower & Reserve Affairs). The Assistant Secretary, on behalf of the Secretary of the Air Force, provides oversight and guidance for the Air Force Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program. A member of his staff, the Assistant Deputy for Force Management Integration, serves as the Co-Chair of the DOD Sexual Assault Advisory Committee Subcommittee on Policy, working closely with the OSD SAPRO staff to assess current policy for effectiveness and need for change. The ASAF (M&RA) and the Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel and Services work closely to provide effective policy and a substantial program addressing sexual assault prevention and response. In 2008, Air Force led an integrated SAPR Data Collection & Reporting Working Group comprised of members from the Services, the National Guard Bureau, OASD Reserve Affairs, Joint Chief of Staff/J1, OASD Health Affairs, and the OASD SAPRO developing a basic concept design for a Department-wide centralized, case-level database for the collection and maintenance of information regarding sexual assaults involving a member of the Armed Forces. The draft, completed in 2008, will be transmitted to the PUSD (P&R) early January, 2009.

c. AF Deployed Environment: The AF has fully implemented the SAPR Program in the US CENTCOM AOR and in other deployed areas. There are trained full-time SARCs at six AF Air Expeditionary Wing (AEW) locations. In turn, the SARCs also oversee any geographically separated unit that is attached to a main operating location controlled or hosted by the AF. Each deployed location has ensured that SARCs are offered adequate work space with sufficient supplies and materials to provide assistance to victims of sexual assault. SARCs in the deployed environment utilize trained VAs to enhance victim

response. Each SARC also works with functionally trained investigators, AF Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI), AF Security Forces (AF/SFS), medical, and AF Judge Advocate (AF/JA) personnel at each location to support sexual assault victims. Restricted reporting is an option available in the deployed environment and has been utilized by sexual assault victims. Airlift and ground transportation are available and have also been used to assist victims/get victims proper care in a timely manner.

d. New Policies and Procedures in FY08: The AF published Air Force Policy Directive 36-60, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*, 28 March 2008, and Air Force Instruction 36-6001, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response (SAPR) Program*, 29 September 2008, to provide guidance to all levels of the Air Force for execution of the program.

i. Inspector General, Inspections: The AF/IG issued inspection guidance that directed review of all first-responder SAPR training during Unit Compliance Inspections (UCI).

ii. Inspector General, Air Force Office of Special Investigations (AFOSI): AFOSI revised and published AFOSIMAN 71-122V1, *Criminal Investigations*, May 2008. The updated publication re-codified various interim changes made to the manual during the preceding four years and included policy revisions pertaining to restricted reporting. Additionally, AFOSI, in-coordination with the Air Force Security Forces Center, provided guidance on investigative procedures to field-level activities that clarifies the threshold for determining appropriate authority for conducting investigations.

iii. Air Force Chaplain Corps: The Air Force Chaplain Corps directed development of standardized training material to be used by qualified SARCs and SAPR instructor trained Chaplains/Chaplain Assistants for the annual first-responder specific requirements. Additionally, the Chaplain Corps directed SAPR specific training for the Chaplain Assistant Apprentice Course.

iv. Judge Advocate General: The Judge Advocate General placed special emphasis on the Victim Witness and Assistance Program (VWAP). Chapter 7 of AFI 51-201, Administration of Military Justice, administration of VWAP, was rewritten to include a section describing the AF SAPR program and discussing the relationship between the two programs. It emphasizes that the VWAP and SAPR programs are complimentary, and, while the local responsible official (LRO), normally the staff judge advocate (SJA), remains responsible for the delivery of VWAP services, the LRO, and the SARC and VA, must work in concert. A TJAG message went to all SJAs forwarding a request from the DoD General Counsel for renewed emphasis on VWAP with regard to victims of sexual assault. TJAG's message stressed the importance of VWAP in all crimes and particularly in sexual assaults. He urged SJAs to get their VWAP liaison involved from the beginning; making sure they work together with the SARC to ease the hardships suffered by victims and witnesses,

foster cooperation and understanding as victims and witnesses interact with the military justice system, and do their best to protect the rights of victims.

II. PROGRAM RESPONSE CAPABILITIES

a. Personnel Trained

i. Annual Periodic Refresher Training: AF SARCs tracked attendance of 354,309 participants during 7,931 annual periodic refresher training sessions during FY08. This training was conducted specifically using the mandatory requirements from Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures*, Enclosure 3. SARCs also provided general sexual assault awareness training to 92,753 members during newcomer orientation sessions; 7,760 Commanders and First Sergeants; 56,745 participants at Commander Calls; 145,878 participants at on-base organizational gatherings; 7,656 participants with off-base organizations; and, 63,282 members for pre-deployment.

ii. First Responder Training: First Responder training falls into two categories. The first category of training is that which functional communities develop and deliver within formal or informal settings and covers those facets of SAPR for which that particular function has responsibility. This training is described in succeeding paragraphs. The second category of First Responder training is that which is provided by installation level SARCs to specific functional activities to enhance understanding of the relationship and inter-working requirements between the functions; and, to provide skills sets for working with victims of sexual assault. During FY08, AF SARCs conducted Victim Advocate (VA) training for 2,943 members, resulting in 1,746 fully-trained victim advocates--other individuals, not eligible to serve as VAs due to organizational position, such as First Sergeants, were also offered this training to increase understanding of sexual assault and effective response. Further, SARCs conducted first responder refresher training for 1,550 AFOSI investigators; 12,535 Security Forces members; 11,660 medical personnel; 1,200 Judge Advocate members; and, 1,110 Chaplains and staff members.

iii. Chaplain Training: During FY08, the Chaplain Service provided initial functional-specific training and recurring annual training updates to 1,958 Chaplain Corps personnel. Specific training attendance was 969 active duty members, 532 National Guard, and 457 Air Force Reserve (included IMA and CAT A categories). Chaplain Assistants are not first responders; however, the Chaplain Service provides this training to them as well so the assistants may provide greater support to the clergy while performing their roles. The Chaplain Corps has instituted initial first-responder training for all new Chaplains and Chaplain Assistants and it is conducted at the Basic Chaplain Course (30 training slots three times annually); and, at the Chaplain Assistant Course (30 training slots five times annually). Training is focused on the significance of sexual assault in context of cultural and religious differences that affect individual responses to sexual assault; counseling practices that provide more sensitive approaches to victims; and, creating worship environments that are sensitive

to victims of sexual assault. Specific levels of training include counseling skill-building that understands the relevance of rape myths and how to effectively build rapport with victims; developing an understanding of the violent nature of sexual assault as a crime while preparing families for the psychological and physical symptomology a victim experiences; and the role of confidentiality in counseling victims from multiple cultural, religious, and theological perspectives.

iv. **Investigator Training:** AFOSI conducted functional-specific SAPR training to 2,341 agents during FY08. Training for AFOSI agents was conducted through one of the following processes:

1. **AFOSI - Basic Special Investigations Course (BSIC):** This is a seven-week course taught at the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center (FLETC) for new agents. Most of AFOSI's sex offense investigations training is taught in five blocks of instruction in the AFOSI BSIC. Over 30 hours of classroom and practical exercises training is given in these five blocks of instruction that specifically relate to conducting sex offense investigations. 240 agents were trained in FY 08.

2. **AFOSI Advanced General Crimes Investigations Courses (AGCIC):** This is a two-week course taught at FLETC. The AGCIC encompasses a wide variety of topics relating to criminal investigations including: interviewing, photography, crime scene processing, and sexual assault investigations (victim sensitivity, handling reports with inconsistencies, etc.). The target audience for the AGCIC is agents in supervisory positions. Agents attending AGCIC have at least two years of field investigative experience before attending the course. 35 agents were trained during FY 08.

3. **Special Agent Laboratory Training (SALT) Course:** This is a one-week in-residence course taught at the US Army Criminal Investigations Laboratory (USACIL) at Ft. Gillem, Georgia. The course is taught by laboratory experts assigned to USACIL. The course consists of both lecture and hands-on training to acquaint experienced investigators with more advanced topics related to evidence recognition, evidence handling and preservation, as well as the state-of-the-art of the forensic sciences used to analyze physical and biological evidence. Several blocks of instruction pertain specifically to biological and physical evidence encountered with sexual offense investigations, including hairs and fibers, blood, semen, SAFE kits, etc. AFOSI began sending general investigators to this course in FY 08; it is now scheduled to be held about two times per year. It is open to all experienced AFOSI criminal investigators in AFOSI; however, course size is limited to 18 agents. Only one course was held in FY 08 with 18 agents having attended.

4. **AFOSI Investigative Sexual Assault Response Training Refresher Course:** This is an on-line web-based refresher training course brought on-line in FY08. It is designed to fulfill the DoD requirement for periodic refresher training related to

sexual assaults. Topics covered in the self-paced course include: sexual assault response policies, victimology, understanding sex offenders, crime scene management, interview techniques, investigating difficult cases, recantation and false information, working with Victim Advocates (VA) and Sexual Assault Response Coordinators (SARCs). All AFOSI special agents are required to complete this course annually. Course completion is centrally tracked via the AFOSI Learning Management System (LMS). 2048 agents completed the training during FY 08**.**

v. **Medical Personnel Training:** During FY08, the AF had 154 emergency room physicians and 472 family physicians trained to complete forensic examinations. Emergency physicians are fully qualified to perform sexual assault forensic exams (SAFE) without additional training, while family physicians complete basic training during residency to conduct sexual assault forensic examinations in deployed environments; the training is accomplished and managed through the Readiness Skills Verification and is validated when selecting members for deployment. The AF has approximately 38,000 medical members assigned and all received annual training on SAPR.

vi. **Air Force Security Forces (AF/SF) Training:** Initial first-responder SAPR training was provided by the USAF Security Forces Academy for all SF enlisted and officer members attending technical training. This training is managed via the SF Career Field Education and Training Plan (CFETP) and formally documented in the member's On-the-Job Training records or AF Form 623. SF students receive core initial skills training in crime scene and Victim Witness and Protection for sexual assaults. Additionally, SF personnel receive initial and periodic refresher training on sexual assault response actions. While this training may be tailored to meet MAJCOM-specific mission requirements, it contains as a minimum sexual assault response policy, crime scene management, interview techniques, coordination with military criminal investigation organizations, and working with VAs and SARCs. Periodic training also continues throughout the SF Airmen's career. Sustainment and advanced training is received through home station training and is documented in the AF Form 1098. Further sexual assault response training is included as part of the Airman's Career Development Courses, where completion is required for their skill level upgrades. Extensive and advanced sexual assault response training is conducted by the US Army Military Police School that all SF investigators attend. During FY 08, AF/SF trained 4,767 new members and 96 law enforcement investigators.

vii. **The Judge Advocate General's (JAG) Corps Training:** Department of Defense Instruction 6495.02 (DoDI) specifies training requirements for JAGs in two areas: (1) training requirements for all JAGs; and (2) additional requirements for trial counsel. Judge advocates and paralegals received training in a number of different venues. The main training effort was conducted through The Judge Advocate General's School (TJAGS) at Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama. During this time period, TJAGS conducted training as follows on both sets of requirements identified in the DoDI, as specified for each course below:

1. The Judge Advocate Staff Officer Course (JASOC), held three times every fiscal year, is designed to introduce new judge advocates to the career and The Judge Advocate General's Corps. One hundred and thirty-nine judge advocates received training covering all DoDI-specified topics for judge advocates and trial counsel.

2. The Trial and Defense Advocacy Course (TDAC) provides judge advocates with the opportunity to develop their trial advocacy skills through practical demonstrations and moot exercises. Experts are brought from both within and outside the DOD to teach how to overcome the challenges of a sexual assault case, including such topics as addressing the voluntarily-intoxicated victim and crossing-examining an accused. The two week course culminates in a moot court regarding sexual assault. Sixty-six judge advocates received training covering DoDI-specified topics for judge advocates and trial counsel.

3. The Military Justice Administration Course (MJAC) provides training in the management of the base legal office military justice system to those judge advocates and paralegals who are currently or soon will be either the chief of military justice or the noncommissioned officer in charge of military justice. Seventy judge advocates and paralegals received training covering DoDI-specified topics for judge advocates and trial counsel.

4. The Staff Judge Advocate Course (SJAC) course provides both a refresher course in military law and a study of Air Force leadership principles for judge advocates recently, or about to be, assigned to staff judge advocate positions. Seventy-eight new SJAs and deputy SJAs received training covering tasks for judge advocates and, although their duties do not include serving as trial counsel, this training also addressed DoDI-specified topics related to sexual assault cases.

5. The Area Defense Counsel Orientation Course (ADCOC) is taught twice annually, and is designed to introduce new Area Defense Counsel (ADC) to the practical aspects of day-to-day defense counsel duties. The course was first taught at the school in 2007. The course focuses on advising clients in common defense scenarios, defending clients at courts-martial and working with commanders and the legal office. The course hosted sixty-seven judge advocates.

6. The Law Office Management Course (LOMC) provides base law office Superintendents and noncommissioned officers in charge with information on recent developments having an impact on management of the legal services function of a legal office. Fifty senior paralegals received training covering DoDI-specified topics for judge advocates and trial counsel to assist them in supporting sexual assault cases.

7. The Reserve Forces Judge Advocate Course (RFJAC) provides experienced Reserve and Air National Guard judge advocates with sufficient update information on recent developments in military law to ensure their ability to

function effectively, both in their normal reserve duties and in the event of their emergency call to active duty. One hundred and thirty-six judge advocates and paralegals received training covering DoDI-specified topics for judge advocates and trial counsel.

8. The Annual Survey of the Law (ASOL) provides experienced Air Reserve Component (ARC) judge advocates and paralegals with the most up-to-date information on recent developments in military law issues. Three hundred and eighty-nine judge advocates and paralegals received training covering DoDI-specified topics for judge advocates and trial counsel.

9. The Trial Advocacy Conference (TAC) was held in CONUS during the time period. The TAC provided practicing trial and defense counsel updates on evolving aspects of military trial practice, practical lessons on securing and using evidence and experts and courtroom skills practice with immediate feedback. Students learned from experienced litigators, heard from military judges and senior leaders, and networked with other counsel. Student conducted exercises using a sexual assault case. Over two hundred and thirty-six judge advocates and paralegals received training covering DoDI-specified topics for judge advocates and trial counsel. Since 31 December 2007, the program has expanded to include conferences in Europe and the Pacific.

10. In addition to formal training opportunities through TJAGS, training on sexual assault related topics was conducted in a number of additional venues, such as:

a. KEYSTONE is the annual worldwide Judge Advocate General's leadership conference with over 700 civilian, active duty, Reserve and Air National Guard judge advocates, attorneys, paralegals and support personnel from The Judge Advocate General's Corps in attendance. They received training covering DoDI-specified topics for judge advocates and trial counsel at the conference. Attendees also received training on the importance of the Victim Witness Assistance Program and its interrelationship with the SAPR program.

b. At the Senior Trial Counsel (STC) Conference in September 2008, 21 STCs received training that featured Ms Anne Munch, a nationally recognized expert in the investigation and prosecution of sexual assault cases as well as training on DoD and AF policy and procedures as required by the DoDI. Her training was funded by the Air Force SAPR program.

c. Sexual assault was also a training topic at Major Command, Numbered Air Force and base level functions. At some installations the SARC and or the Staff Judge Advocate sponsored training for first responders, including judge advocates. For example, local judge advocates attended a training seminar conducted by the SARC at Charleston AFB featuring Ms Munch.

d. Presentations on sexual assault programs and issues were part of other training opportunities. For example, judge advocates and paralegals attended the National Conference sponsored by the National Center for Victims of Crime and received training on research as a tool for practice and policy, tailoring responses to victims, harnessing the power of DNA false allegations, case unfoundings, and victim recantations, tools and strategies for multidisciplinary teams, sexual assault and the court of public opinion, supporting the reporting sexual assault victim. In addition, attendees also received training on the importance of the Victim Witness Assistance Program and its interrelationship with the SAPR program. Funding was provided by the Department of Justice.

viii. Combat Areas of Interest (CAI): During FY08, SARCs deployed in support of the Central Command (CENTCOM) area of responsibility (AOR) provided first-responder training to 294 Judge Advocates, investigators, military police, medical personnel, and Chaplains. Additional SAPR awareness training, based on local conditions and environments, was provided through multiple forums of orientation programs (Warrior Welcome, Right Start, and Health Fair), Commander's Calls, First Sergeant meetings, and specialized training with individual groups.

b. Availability of Sexual Assault Forensic Examination Kits

i. At the installation level, servicing clinics and hospitals have sexual assault forensic examination (SAFE) kits on-hand when SAFEs are performed at the facility. At most locations, victims are referred to local civilian emergency departments where Memoranda of Understanding have been established to provide the examination to victims of sexual assault. To ensure availability of the SAFE kits, the AFOSI keep a supply of kits on hand at local agent detachments.

ii. There are no known instances of care being hindered due to unavailability of SAFE kits. All victims who chose to have the examination done were provided this service for FY08 and AFOSI has assessed the current SAFE kit to be sufficient for investigative needs.

iii. There are no noted special issues regarding SAFE kits.

iv. For the CAI, AFOSI are required to retain SAFE kits on-hand as part of their technical investigative supplies and have secured suitable evidence storage capability for sexual assault cases in Iraq and Afghanistan.

c. Availability of Lab Testing

i. All laboratory testing is performed by the U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory (USACIL) at Fort Gillem, Georgia, or accredited state laboratories. There are no known cases that were hindered by laboratory testing processes or time delays. As well, all prior evidential testing for sexual assault cases was accomplished within

the required 60 days, including using identical procedures for cases originating in the deployed environment.

ii. AFOSI, with support of AF senior leaders, provides funding to ensure availability of ten deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and trace-evidence analysts at USACIL for processing AF sexual assault cases. Current DNA processing for AF cases averages 34 days.

III. PROGRAM INITIATIVES

a. AFOSI: AFOSI established a computer-based training program, titled "AFOSI Investigative Sexual Assault Response Training Refresher Course" during FY08 to meet DoD requirements for "periodic refresher training." As well, AFOSI is analyzing the feasibility and effectiveness of establishing a mandatory requirement for all subject interviews to conducted using audio and video recording for FY09; results of this may potentially lead to shorter judicial processes and possibly limit the secondary trauma experienced by many victims during the legal processes.

b. AF/SF: AF/SF is in the process of developing a computer-based training module to continually refresh and enhance SF members understanding of sexual assault and will be mandated throughout SF career cycles. AF/SF has also developed and fielded electronic "Tactics, Techniques, and Procedure Guides" (e-TTPG) that reinforces training received during technical training and standardizes training that all SF first-responders must receive at their respective assigned installation. Developed e-TTPGs include:

i. Dispatch of Law Enforcement Patrols

ii. Preparation of SF Documents (evidence tags, suspect/witness statements, and incident reports)

iii. Conducting preliminary investigations of incidents/complaints

iv. Secure major accident, disaster, and incident scenes

v. Victim Witness Assistance Program

vi. Rape (MCM definition)

vii. Assault (MCM definition)

viii. Secure and process crime scenes (secured by patrol members but processed by SF investigators)

c. Air Force Surgeon General: The AF Family Advocacy Program (FAP) prevention staff, aware of the significant intersection of victims presenting victimization of both domestic violence and sexual assault, continue to work with SARCs in prevention and education

specific to sexual abuse in intimate relationships; the AF FAP received funding from the Office of the Secretary of Defense to provide 27 domestic abuse Victim Advocates at 25 installations. The domestic abuse Victim Advocates have been trained in both sexual abuse and sexual assault subject-matter material.

d. AF/JA: Current training initiatives include revising the annual training program to include a combination of local training provided by Air Force SARCs and other personnel on DoD and Air Force policy and procedures and computer and/or web based distance training on trial strategy, tactics, rules of evidence and related legal issues. In addition, The Air Force Judge Advocate General is participating in a training review being conducted by DoD SAPRO with a goal to producing a joint-service multi-disciplinary course aimed at sexual assault trial counsel focusing on trial strategies and tactics.

e. Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower, Personnel, and Services, Services Directorate: Throughout fiscal year 2008, the AF SAPR office focused on continued development of effective bystander intervention training modules for male, female, and leader audiences. Since there is no existing model for executing training modules of these types in a large-scale institution, considerable effort has been exhausted by subject matter experts and AF representative to ensure the material achieves desired objectives; several pilot sessions were conducted throughout FY08 and repeated revisions were made to the material. The AF SAPR office also funded a similar project to develop a risk reduction module with an expected delivery date in FY09. Both of these training modules are part of larger prevention-based approach.

f. Collaboration with Subject Matter Experts and Public Services: During FY08, AF field-level SARCs secured funding and support to utilize the training techniques and skills of widely-recognized subject matter experts; the following submissions are not fully inclusive but provide a representative sample of services or actions obtained or delivered:

i. Although outside the reporting period for annual report, the AF/A1 (Deputy Chief of Staff for Manpower, Personnel, and Services) directed and hosted an AF SAPR Leader Summit that featured the Secretary and Chief of Staff of the Air Force as keynote speakers. Each of these senior leaders provided a renewed emphasis on the SAPR program and levied expectations from AF leaders. Attendees included senior Air Staff, MAJCOM functional, and Wing-level leaders. Special subject matter experts provided an in-depth foundation of understanding sexual assault and methodologies to employ to shift the AF approach to a prevention-based model; experts included Dr. David Lisak, Ms Anne Munch, and Ms Gail Stern (from Catharsis Productions). The Secretary and Chief of Staff of the Air Force also followed up with a force-wide dual-signature letter to all Airmen and their expectations for preventing sexual assaults against Airmen.

ii. Air Combat Command (ACC), Dyess Air Force Base, Texas: The Dyess AFB SARC successfully acquired the services of Ms Joanne Archambault, an internationally known expert in sexual assault investigations, to provide additional training to investigative agents from Dyess and four other ACC installations. Demographic data

on the attendees revealed over half the agents in attendance had less than one year in the field and gained tremendously from three day course; other attendees who benefited equally as well included forensic consultants that plan on further training for others consultants in FY09. The training focused on actual case studies and sexual assault investigative methodologies for the best possible success in these cases.

iii. Air Force Material Command (AFMC): Tinker Air Force Base, Oklahoma, resolved complex funding issues and was able to host "Voices of Men" training presentations to over 800 military members and civilian employees. The training involved the use of humor and a male presenter to dispel common rape myths prevalent in American society. As well, volunteers within the installation were able to raise over $5000.00 in donations to support "Clothing for a Cause", a local project with the city's Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program to provide clothing for victims who gave their up during evidence collection.

IV. UNRESTRICTED REPORTING

a. Discussion: The AF initiated 387 investigations for unrestricted reports of sexual assault during FY08 as compared to 369 in FY07, an increase of 18. Any comparison to reports prior to FY07 is inappropriate as reporting transitioned from calendar year to fiscal year reporting for FY07. The numerical values described below (i. and ii.) only contain results from the 244 completed investigations.

i. Victims: There were 259 individuals, both service members and civilians, who reported sexual assault in the FY08 investigations completed year-to-date. There were 245 (95%) female and 14 (5%) male victims. Of the 252 reports by victims with known ages, the majority (178, 69%) were 24 years of age or under, with 54 (21%) between the ages of 16-19 and 124 (48%) between the ages of 20 to 24. Of the 170 military victims with known pay grades, 147 were in the grades E-1 to E-4 (86%). In interpreting these data, it is important to understand that these are reported assaults. Research demonstrates that most sexual assaults are not reported.

Note: the number of reports for victims does not align with the number of subjects due to unique case circumstances, more than one subject in any given case, or possible multiple victims for multiple subjects.

ii. Subjects: There were 254 subjects, that included service members, civilians, and unidentified subjects, in the FY08 investigations completed year-to-date. The vast majority of subjects (236, 93%) are male. Of the 225 subjects with known ages, the large majority were under 24 years of age (125, 56%), with 18 (8%) between the ages of 18-19 and 107 (48%) between the ages of 20 to 24. Of the 208 military subjects with known pay grades, the majority of 134 (64%) were in grades E-1 to E-4.

iii. Overview of Reports: Half of the investigations were servicemember on servicemember (194 (50%), followed by 119 (31%) servicemember on non-servicemember, 41 (11%) unidentified subjects on servicemember, and 33 (9%) non-

servicemember subjects on servicemember. Reported sexual assaults occurred about equally on and off the installation, with 179 (46%) occurring off base, 202 (52%) occurring on the installation and 6 (2%) in unidentified locations. Of the 387 investigations, data on length of time between incident and report was unavailable in 18 cases. In the remaining 369 cases, 29 (7%) were reported more than 12 months after the assault. Data on why the delay in reporting is not available. Forty-six percent of the cases were reported within 72 hours and 65% within one month. The vast majority of the reported cases (220, 75%) occurred between midnight and 0600 and 65% (251) occurred on a Friday, Saturday or Sunday.

V. RESTRICTED REPORTING

a. Discussion: The AF received 262 restricted reports of sexual assault during FY08, including 4 from the US CENTCOM AOR. This number of reports represents an increase of 30 (13%) restricted reports received from those in FY07. Of the 262 restricted reports, 42 (16%) went unrestricted. At the end of FY08, 220 reports remain restricted. SAFEs and/or evidence were collected in 59 of the restricted cases. Types of offenses identified in the restricted reports consisted of 193 (74%) as rape or attempted rape, 19 (7%) as sodomy or attempted sodomy, 40 (15%) as indecent or attempted indecent assault, and 10 (4%) as an unspecified type based on victim reporting preference. Of the restricted reports made, 26 (9.9%) occurred prior to entry in the Air Force; all 26 victims requested referral services. The evidence is held for one year to allow sexual assault victims additional time to make a decision about whether to report to authorities for a criminal investigation. There were no reported or known instances of an examination kit being unavailable if the victim chose to have one done.

i. Victims: Two hundred forty women and twenty-two men filed restricted reports of sexual assault during FY08. Of the restricted reports received, 177 (68%) were service member on servicemember, 58 (22%) non-servicemember on servicemember, and 27 (10%) unidentified subject on a servicemember assaults. The grades of victims, from the highest number of reports to the lowest were E1-E4 (196, 75%), E5-E9 (32, 12%), O1-O3 (20, 8%), and Cadet (14, 5%). The majority of the restricted reports indicate they occurred during the hours of 6:00 pm and midnight (137, 52%) and midnight to 6:00 am (84, 32%); the other assaults occurred between the hours of 6:00 am and 6:00 pm, or remain unknown for the actual time. Other demographic data indicated highest frequency of incident days were Saturdays (64, 24%), Sundays (56, 21%), and Fridays (31, 12%); all other reports were randomly interspersed for the remaining days of the week. All restricted reports received by victims of sexual assault were in an active duty status at the time of the assault or at the time it was reported.

ii. Overview of Reports: Generally, victims provided restricted reports 42% (109) of the time within three days of the assault; 22% (57) of the time within four to thirty days after the assault; 21% (55) within thirty-one to 365 days after assault; and 10% (27) longer than 365 days after the assault. However, 5% (14) remain unknown since the victim did not or elected not to reveal the information during the report. The

restricted reports received by AF SARCs represented 253 AF, 4 Army, 3 Navy, and 2 Marine victims.

VI. SERVICE REFERRALS TO VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT

a. Discussion: AF SARCs provided a total of 1,773 referral services for victims of sexual assault. It is important to note that referral numbers do not align exactly with the total number of victims since some victims requested multiple services while others elected no referrals. The types of referrals made include those for both military (1,147/65%) and civilian (626/35%) medical, counseling, and legal services. The categories of victims for whom the referrals were made included military victims from unrestricted and restricted reports of sexual assault, and non-military members (DoD civilians, contractors, etc.).

i. Unrestricted Reports: During FY08, 588 referrals were made for victims of sexual assault to military facilities; more specifically, 201 referrals were for medical treatment, 273 for counseling, and 114 for legal services. For civilian facilities, 205 total referrals were made that included 68 for medical treatment, 110 for counseling, and 27 for legal services. Only one referral for civilian counseling was made in the CAI.

ii. Restricted Reports: For restricted reports, 258 referrals were issued to military facilities; 108 referrals were for medical treatment and 150 for counseling. No referrals were made for legal services. For civilian facilities, 79 total referrals were made that included 36 for medical treatment and 43 for counseling. In the CAI, 5 referrals were made for military facilities that included 3 for medical treatment and 2 for counseling.

iii. Non-Military (DoD Civilians, Contractors, etc.): For non-military members who have an affiliation with the AF, 296 referrals were made to military facilities: 99 were for medical treatment, 116 for counseling, and 81 for legal services. Additionally, 341 referrals were made for civilian facilities and included 103 for medical treatment, 166 for counseling, and 72 for legal services. No referral services were requested in the CAI.

VII. CHALLENGES WITH UNRESTRICTED AND RESTRICTED REPORTING PROCESSES

a. Joint Environment: The AF deploys Airmen to locations other than those specified under the US CENTCOM AOR that are world-wide in nature and may support other than wartime environments, such as humanitarian relief efforts. For these locations, if a SARC is not part of the deployment component and the host for the gaining installation is a US force, then the capability is provided by the host. In situations where small AF contingents are not represented by an installation host, then the supporting stateside command component provides SAPR through a reachback capability to an installation that does have a full time SARC. There have been no specific challenges related to victims making unrestricted or restricted reports.

b. Combat Areas of Interest: A significant challenge for reporting sexual assaults in the CAI relate to collateral misconduct and may potentially deter victims from reporting. Unique to this combat theater are General Order #1 policies that specify no alcohol and no lodging room visitation by opposite sex. Inherently, any victim who commits behaviors that violate the General Order may feel less compelled to seek assistance and services should they be sexually assaulted due to the extreme and variable conditions present in the war zone.

c. Tracking Victim Services: AF SAPR Coordinators do not track whether victims utilize services offered. However, what is tracked is the type of referral made; and, should victims experience difficulties in obtaining the service, the response coordinator will effectively track until the provided service is able to be obtained, based on the victim's desires. When victims of sexual assault leave the deployed environment, the SARC provides a connection for the victim, if so desired, with a SARC at the victim's home station of assignment. Once victims return to their home station, the SARC can continue to offer a full spectrum of services, including referrals to medical and counseling services as desired by the victim.

d. Restricted Reporting: By developing effective partnering relationships with other Services' SAPR program managers, many potential problems in the deployed environments have been prevented; and, each Service has the ability to rely heavily on the combined support the Services can offer or provide at any given location.

e. Other: One challenge experienced during FY08 is accurate tracking of trained Victim Advocates in the CAI as these are not deployable resources within the AF. SARCs remain the dedicated resources for six primary locations; but, in turn, have to rely on trained VAs in theater to provide initial services at remote locations within the CAI until the SARC at the host installation can respond. The current method of tracking is time-consuming and lacks accuracy; US Air Forces Central (USAFCENT) is analyzing methods to optimize for future and to ensure reliable sourcing.

VIII. CASE SYNOPSES OF COMPLETED INVESTIGATION

a. Summary of Synopses: A synopsis of the final disposition of subjects' completed sexual assault investigations is included as attachment to the cover memo for this report.

b. The synopses contained in this report represent 117 subject dispositions. At the end of FY08, 137 subjects were pending disposition action and 143 cases were still under investigation.

IX. DISCUSSION

a. Lessons Learned: FY08

i. Training: Conducting all required training per DoDI 6495.02, *Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program Procedures,* remains extremely time-intensive and potentially competes for time with other institutional needs for mandatory skills and

Congressionally mandated training. While a certain degree of redundancy is necessary for SAPR-related material, as indicated in many national studies for repeated exposure to the information, career-long development planning is key to minimizing time impact while ensuring greatest impact of the training; it also effective ensuring trainees remain engaged during training by focusing training at the right level and time.

ii. **Resources:** Providing permanent funding stream resources to the AF SAPR Program remains a significant challenge while still meeting mission requirements. Two critical components for resources include manpower authorizations to perform as SARCs in order to maintain continuity and timeliness of care to victims; and, operating budgets to ensure development and execution of training, availability of research and analysis, and acquisition of relevant materials. While the AF has fully funded 110 full-time civilian and military SARCs, providing services to 92 locations (plus six AOR main operating bases), many of these are one-deep positions and installation Commanders must still multi-task other members to fulfill duties as alternates to ensure 24/7/365 response coverage. Air Force leadership recognized the significant budget challenges inherent in long term institutionalization of the SAPR program but considers the program high among many competing funding priorities. The Air Force Corporate Board committed to funding $35M in FY10 with a 2 percent incremental for each year in the Program Objective Memorandum (POM) cycle; final planned amount is $221M through the Future Years Defense Program (FYDP). Resources from this funding stream are to be utilized for manpower costs, program management, training, outreach, prevention, response, and data collection efforts.

iii. **Reserve Components:** Significant to the Air Force Reserve and Air National Guard members is streamlining efforts to ensure continued care while no longer in activated (federal) status for victims of sexual assault. In order for a reserve component member to continue to receive care of a sexual assault that occurred or reported while in an active-duty status, command elements must determine eligibility; this has been an extensive process in the past and involved many review processes that potentially minimize victim opportunities for restricted reporting. New guidance was issued by OUSD P&R for line of duty determinations and is currently being evaluated by each service for effective means to implement.

b. Plans for FY09

i. **Prevalence and Incidence:** The AF SAPR Program has obtained funding, and initiated contract actions, to conduct an internal Air Force-only study for prevalence and incidence to provide a high degree of accuracy for senior leader decisions. The SAPR office expects this study to be conducted throughout calendar year 2009. The focus of the study will involve obtaining anonymous data from randomly selected members and weighted for demographical and environmental purposes. The purpose of this study is to discover the true incidence rate for sexual assaults so real-time comparisons can be made in regards to the number of reports received annually; historically, sexual assault remains one of the most under-reported crimes--this was

also identified during the Government Accounting Office review of sexual assault in the military services, August 2008. Once the delta between the statistical number of sexual assaults and the number of reports received is known, effective milestones and benchmark practices can be developed to ensure success towards eliminating this crime from the Air Force.

ii. **Training Evaluation:** The AF SAPR Program has obtained funding, and initiated contract actions, to conduct an internal Air Force-only evaluation of SAPR-related training. The SAPR office expects this study to be conducted throughout calendar year 2009. Large-scale efforts, subject matter expert advice, and development costs have been extensive in creating the existing training. The next step is to determine effectiveness in the training to measure transference of knowledge, and, positive changes in individual behaviors and cultural shift.

iii. **Manpower/Authorizations:** The AF SAPR office plans to initiate actions to review and modify the existing support structure to provide a more robust and intentional redundancy to victim care services. Actions necessary will include upgrade of existing administrative assistant positions, restoration of positions eliminated from Program Budget Decision (PBD) 720, and creation of new civilian positions in some locations where none currently exist.

iv. **Resources:** The AF SAPR office has initiated actions to appropriately allocate and execute FY10-15 POM funding as previously agreed with OUSD P&R. Consistent with the intent to provide a permanent funding stream, allocations will include standardized budget packages for the Air Staff, MAJCOM, AFPC, Air Force Academy, USAFCENT, and installation support to minimize fiscal impact to current commands.

v. **AF/JA:** Current training initiatives include revising the annual training program to include a combination of local training provided by Air Force SARCs and other personnel on DoD and Air Force policy and procedures; and, computer and/or web based distance training on trial strategy, tactics, rules of evidence and related legal issues. In addition, The Air Force Judge Advocate General is participating in a training review being conducted by DoD SAPRO with a goal to producing a joint-service multi-disciplinary course aimed at sexual assault trial counsel focusing on trial strategies and tactics.

c. US CENTCOM AOR Issues

i. **USAFCENT:** One host installation initiated a sexual assault response scenario-based evaluation to determine effectiveness of first-responders in AOR. The results continue the Air Force's drive for Excellence and are targeted to be put in place all other main operating locations for the AF in the AOR throughout FY09.

ii. **Medical Services:** Maintain AF Expeditionary Medical Support facilities in the deployed environment to have at least one family physician assigned, who received

sexual assault forensic examination during residency, to perform sexual assault forensic examinations; each of the deployed facilities also maintains a minimum supply of two forensic examination kits as standard deployment material.

AIR FORCE FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**404**
# Service Member victims	269
# Non-Service Member victims	135
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**387**
# Service Member on Service Member	194
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	114
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	33
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	46
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**387**
# On military installation	202
# Off military installation	179
# Unidentified location	6
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**387**
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	143
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**244**
# Restricted Reports	**262**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	42
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	**220**
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**387**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	179
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	72
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	89
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	29
# Unknown	18
Time of sexual assault	**387**
# Midnight to 6 am	220
# 6 am to 6 pm	28
# 6 pm to midnight	46
# Unknown	93
Day of sexual assault	**387**
# Sunday	81
# Monday	43
# Tuesday	29
# Wednesday	34
# Thursday	30
# Friday	82
# Saturday	88
# Unknown	0
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Completed investigations	**244**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	17
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**254**
# Your Service Member subjects	192
# Service Member subjects from other Services	17
# Non-Service Member subjects	25
# Unidentified subjects	20
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**259**
# Service Member victims	161
# Non-Service Member victims	89
# Service Member victims from other Services	9
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Subjects)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**254**
# Unidentified subjects	15
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	43
# Under civilian/foreign authority	10
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	137
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**49**
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**49**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	12
# Nonjudicial Punishments	15
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	1
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	21
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Investigations pending from reports made from FY04 to FY07 that were completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**279**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEPT-08	4
# Pre-FY08 Investigations COMPLETED as of 30-SEPT-08	275
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - resolved as of 30-SEPT-08	**303**
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from FY04 to FY07 reports and investigations were completed in FY08	**303**
# Unidentified subjects	3
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	118
# Under civilian/foreign authority	10
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	25
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**147**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**147**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	55
# Nonjudicial punishments	52
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	5
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	3
# Other administrative actions	32

AIR FORCE FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER												
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	**Male on Female**	**Male on Male**	**Female on Male**	**Female on Female**	**Unknown on Male**	**Unknown on Female**	**FY08 Totals**					
	334	**12**	**7**	**2**	**3**	**29**	**387**					
# Service Member on Service Member	182	8	3	1	0	0	194					
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	115	4	0	0	0	0	119					
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	30	0	2	1	0	0	33					
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	7	0	2	0	3	29	41					
FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE												
REPORTS MADE IN FY08	***Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS***				***FY08 INCIDENTS***							
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS (UR)	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit these Offenses**	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**FY08 Totals**
	51	**11**	**1**	**0**	**241**	**16**	**4**	**9**	**42**	**12**	**0**	**387**
# Service Member on Service Member	27	7	1	0	119	8	2	7	18	5	0	**194**
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	17	1	0	0	70	5	2	1	14	4	0	**114**
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1	1	0	0	23	2	0	1	4	1	0	**33**
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	6	2	0	0	29	1	0	0	6	2	0	**46**
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	**32**	**10**	**1**	**0**	**170**	**10**	**3**	**7**	**28**	**8**	**0**	**269**
# Service Member Victims: Female	32	10	0	0	167	10	2	6	19	3	0	**249**
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	1	9	5	0	**20**
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08												
Time of sexual assault	**51**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**241**	**16**	**4**	**9**	**42**	**12**	**0**	**387**
# Midnight to 6 am	23	6	1	0	142	12	2	8	20	6	0	**220**
# 6 am to 6 pm	4	0	0	0	16	0	1	0	6	1	0	**28**
# 6 pm to midnight	5	1	0	0	31	1	0	0	5	3	0	**46**
# Unknown	19	4	0	0	52	3	1	1	11	2	0	**93**
Day of sexual assault	**51**	**11**	**1**	**0**	**241**	**16**	**4**	**9**	**42**	**12**	**0**	**387**
# Sunday	15	1	0	0	44	4	0	2	10	5	0	**81**
# Monday	4	0	0	0	32	1	0	1	4	1	0	**43**
# Tuesday	3	0	0	0	21	1	0	2	2	0	0	**29**
# Wednesday	7	1	0	0	20	2	0	0	4	0	0	**34**
# Thursday	1	3	0	0	19	0	0	2	3	2	0	**30**
# Friday	10	1	0	0	52	5	2	0	12	0	0	**82**
# Saturday	11	5	1	0	53	3	2	2	7	4	0	**88**
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of VICTIMS	**44**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**158**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**10**	**0**	**259**
# Male	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	6	5	0	**14**
# Female	44	9	0	0	156	6	2	3	20	5	0	**245**
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Age of VICTIMS	**44**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**158**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**10**	**0**	**259**
# 16-19	12	1	0	0	35	0	0	0	6	0	0	**54**
# 20-24	9	4	1	0	86	2	1	2	15	4	0	**124**
# 25-34	18	2	0	0	30	2	1	1	3	3	0	**60**
# 35-49	3	2	0	0	6	1	0	0	1	1	0	**14**
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
# Unknown	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	**7**
Grade of VICTIMS	**44**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**158**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**10**	**0**	**259**
# E1-E4	25	6	0	0	93	3	2	1	13	4	0	**147**
# E5-E9	0	0	1	0	6	1	0	1	1	1	0	**11**
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
# O1-O3	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	**8**
# O4-O10	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	**2**
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	**2**
# Civilian	19	1	0	0	52	2	0	1	10	4	0	**89**
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Service of VICTIMS	**44**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**158**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**10**	**0**	**259**
# Army	1	0	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	**9**
# Air Force	24	8	1	0	98	0	2	2	16	6	0	**157**
# Navy	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	**3**
# Marine	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	**1**
# Civilian	19	1	0	0	52	2	0	1	10	4	0	**89**
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Status of VICTIMS	**44**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**158**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**10**	**0**	**259**
# Active Duty	24	7	1	0	99	4	2	2	16	6	0	161
# Reserve (Activated)	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# National Guard (Activated)	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
# Civilian	19	1	0	0	52	2	0	1	10	4	0	89
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of SUBJECTS	**41**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**154**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**12**	**0**	**254**
# Male	38	9	1	0	142	6	2	3	25	10	0	236
# Female	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	6
# Unknown	2	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Age of SUBJECTS	**41**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**154**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**12**	**0**	**254**
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	14	1	0	0	2	1	0	18
# 20-24	19	4	0	0	66	3	0	2	9	4	0	107
# 25-34	8	0	0	0	46	1	2	1	5	4	0	67
# 35-49	8	4	1	0	11	1	0	0	6	2	0	33
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	6	1	0	0	17	0	0	0	4	1	0	29
Grade of SUBJECTS	**41**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**154**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**12**	**0**	**254**
# E1-E4	20	4	0	0	90	4	0	0	12	4	0	134
# E5-E9	10	1	0	0	32	1	2	2	6	2	0	56
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	3	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	9
# O4-O10	1	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	9
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	1	1	0	0	13	1	0	1	5	3	0	25
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# Unknown	6	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	20
Service of SUBJECTS	**41**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**154**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**12**	**0**	**254**
# Army	2	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
# Air Force	30	8	1	0	116	5	2	2	21	8	0	193
# Navy	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Marine	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
# Civilian	1	1	0	0	13	1	0	1	5	3	0	25
# Unknown	6	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	20
Status of SUBJECTS	**41**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**154**	**6**	**2**	**3**	**26**	**12**	**0**	**254**
# Active Duty	32	7	1	0	120	5	2	1	21	8	0	197
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	5
# National Guard (Activated)	2	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
# Civilian	1	1	0	0	13	1	0	1	5	3	0	25
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	6	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	1	0	20

AIR FORCE FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**262**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**262**
# Service Member on Service Member	177
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	58
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	27
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**262**
# On military installation	121
# Off military installation	136
# Unidentified location	5
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**262**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	109
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	57
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	55
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	27
# Unknown	14
Time of sexual assault incident	**262**
# Midnight to 6 am	84
# 6 am to 6 pm	21
# 6 pm to midnight	137
# Unknown	20
Day of sexual assault incident	**262**
# Sunday	56
# Monday	19
# Tuesday	15
# Wednesday	19
# Thursday	18
# Friday	31
# Saturday	64
# Unknown	40
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**262**
# Army victims	4
# Air Force victims	253
# Navy victims	3
# Marine victims	2
# Unknown	0
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**262**
# Male	22
# Female	240
# Unknown	0
Age of VICTIMS	**262**
# 16-19	56
# 20-24	153
# 25-34	43
# 35-49	9
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	1
Grade of VICTIMS	**262**
# E1-E4	196
# E5-E9	32
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	20
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	14
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	0
Service of VICTIMS	**262**
# Army	4
# Air Force	253
# Navy	3
# Marine	2
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	**262**
# Active Duty	236
# Reserve (Activated)	7
# National Guard (Activated)	5
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	14
# Unknown	0

AIR FORCE FY08 SERVICE REFERRALS FOR VICTIMS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
NOTE: Support Services are for all victims in each category that were referred for services during the reporting period, regardless of when their report was made (current or prior quarters).	
A. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO MILITARY VICTIMS FROM UNRESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**588**
# Medical	201
# Counseling	273
# Legal	114
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**205**
# Medical	68
# Counseling	110
# Legal	27
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**74**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making an UR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	0
B. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS FOR MILITARY VICTIMS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**258**
# Medical	108
# Counseling	150
# Legal	0
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**79**
# Medical	36
# Counseling	43
# Legal	0
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**59**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0
# Military Victims making a RR that received service referrals for an incident that occurred prior to military service	26
C. SUPPORT SERVICE REFERRALS TO NON-MILITARY (DOD CIVILIANS, CONTRACTORS, ETC) VICTIMS:	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Support service referrals for VICTIMS in the following categories	
# MILITARY facilities	**296**
# Medical	99
# Counseling	116
# Legal	81
# CIVILIAN facilities (Referred by DoD)	**341**
# Medical	103
# Counseling	166
# Legal	72
# Cases where SAFEs were conducted	**46**
# Cases where SAFE kits or other needed supplies were not available at time of victim's exam	0

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses) INVOLVING MILITARY MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS in FY08 Unrestricted Reports	**14**
# Service Member victims	14
# Non-Service Member victims	0
# Unrestricted Reports in the following categories	**14**
# Service Member on Service Member	12
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2
# Unidentified Subject on Service Member	0
# Unrestricted Reports of sexual assault occurring	**14**
# On military installation	14
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	0
# Investigations (From FY08 Unrestricted Reports)	**14**
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**14**
# Restricted Reports	**0**
# Converted from Restricted Report to Unrestricted Report	0
# FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS REMAINING RESTRICTED	**0**
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Unrestricted Report	**14**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	10
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	4
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	
Time of sexual assault	**14**
# Midnight to 6 am	3
# 6 am to 6 pm	6
# 6 pm to midnight	2
# Unknown	3
Day of sexual assault	**14**
# Sunday	2
# Monday	1
# Tuesday	1
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	3
# Friday	3
# Saturday	3
# Unknown	0
C. FY08 SUMMARY OF THE COMPLETED INVESTIGATIONS	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Completed investigations	**14**
# Investigations with more than one victim, subject, or both	1
# SUBJECTS in the completed investigations	**15**
# Your Service Member subjects	11
# Service Member subjects from other Services	1
# Non-Service Member subjects	3
# Unidentified subjects	0
# VICTIMS in the completed investigations	**14**
# Service Member victims	14
# Non-Service Member victims	0
# Service Member victims from other Services	0
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 FINAL DISPOSITIONS IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS (Subjects)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Final dispositions for FY08 SUBJECTS in the following categories	**15**
# Unidentified subjects	0
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	1
# Under civilian/foreign authority	3
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	4
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**7**
# Commander Actions (FY08 Subjects)	**7**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	1
# Nonjudicial Punishments	4
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	2
E. FINAL DISPOSITIONS FOR SUBJECTS (Reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Investigations pending from reports made prior to FY08 that were completed in FY08	**0**
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - STILL PENDING completion as of 30-SEPT-08	0
# Pre-FY08 Investigations pending as of 30-SEP-07 - COMPLETED as of 30-SEPT-08	0
# SUBJECTS for disposition in FY08 - from Pre-FY08 reports - as of 30-SEPT-08	**2**
# Final FY08 DISPOSITIONS for SUBJECTS from reports made prior to FY08 and investigations were completed in FY08	**2**
# Unidentified subjects	0
# No action (Unsubstantiated/unfounded, insufficient evidence, victim withdrew cooperation, death)	0
# Under civilian/foreign authority	0
# Pending completion as of 30-SEPT-08	0
# Completed as of 30-SEPT-08	**2**
# Commander Actions from reports that were made prior to FY08 and dispostions completed in FY08	**2**
# Courts-martial (Including all cases where charges were preferred)	1
# Nonjudicial punishments	1
# Discharges in lieu of courts-martial	0
# Discharges in lieu of disciplinary actions	0
# Other administrative actions	0

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT - SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY GENDER							
F. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE BELOW CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	**Male on Female**	**Male on Male**	**Female on Male**	**Female on Female**	**Unknown on Male**	**Unknown on Female**	**FY08 Totals**
	11	**2**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**14**
# Service Member on Service Member	9	2	1	0	0	0	12
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT- SERVICE MEMBER STATUS BY SEXUAL ASSAULT TYPE												
REPORTS MADE IN FY08	***Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS***				***FY08 INCIDENTS***							
G. REPORTED SEXUAL ASSAULTS INVOLVING SERVICE MEMBERS (BY or AGAINST Service Members) IN THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES FOR ALL FY08 INVESTIGATIONS	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Indecent Assault (Art. 134)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit these Offenses**	**Rape (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)**	**Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)**	**Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)**	**Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)**	**Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)**	**FY08 Totals**
	0	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# Service Member on Service Member	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	6	1	0	12
# Service Member on Non-Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# TOTAL Service Member Victims	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# Service Member Victims: Female	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	5	1	0	11
# Service Member Victims: Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
TIME OF INCIDENT BY OFFENSE TYPE FOR ALL INVESTIGATIONS OF FY08 UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FOR REPORTS MADE IN FY08												
Time of sexual assault	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# Midnight to 6 am	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	3
# 6 am to 6 pm	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	0	7
# 6 pm to midnight	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Day of sexual assault	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# Sunday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
# Monday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# Tuesday	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# Wednesday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# Thursday	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
# Friday	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3
# Saturday	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON VICTIMS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of VICTIMS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# Male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Female	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	5	1	0	11
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
Age of VICTIMS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# 16-19	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	5
# 25-34	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	1	0	6
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grade of VICTIMS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# E1-E4	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	1	0	9
# E5-E9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	3
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of VICTIMS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	8	1	0	14
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of VICTIMS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
# Active Duty	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	8	1	0	14
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DEMOGRAPHICS ON SUBJECTS FOR COMPLETED FY08 INVESTIGATIONS												
Gender of SUBJECTS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**15**
# Male	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	8	1	0	14
# Female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age of SUBJECTS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**15**
# 16-19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 20-24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
# 25-34	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	6
# 35-49	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
# 50-64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# 65 and older	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4
Grade of SUBJECTS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**15**
# E1-E4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3
# E5-E9	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	6
# WO1-WO5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# O1-O3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# O4-O10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
# Foreign national/military	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service of SUBJECTS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**15**
# Army	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Air Force	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	6	1	0	12
# Navy	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Marine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Status of SUBJECTS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**9**	**1**	**0**	**15**
# Active Duty	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	1	6	1	0	12
# Reserve (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Civilian	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
# Cadet/Midshipman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
# Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 LOCATION OF UNRESTRICTED REPORTS BY TYPE OF OFFENSE

H. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST UNRESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	*Pre-FY08 INCIDENTS*				*FY08 INCIDENTS*							
	Rape (Art. 120)	Indecent Assault (Art. 134)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit these Offenses	Rape (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Assault (Art. 120)	Aggravated Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Abusive Sexual Contact (Art.120)	Wrongful Sexual Contact (Art. 120)	Non-Consensual Sodomy (Art. 125)	Attempts to Commit Offenses (Art. 80)	FY08 Totals
TOTAL UNRESTRICTED REPORTS	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**8**	**1**	**0**	**14**
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea												
Bahrain	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Iraq	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**1**	**0**	**4**	**0**	**0**	**5**
Jordan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Lebanon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Syria	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Yemen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Egypt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Kuwait	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Oman	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Qatar	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	**4**
Saudi Arabia	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	**1**
United Arab Emirates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
South Asia												
Iran	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Pakistan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Afghanistan	**0**	**1**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**2**	**1**	**0**	**4**
NOTE:												

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**4**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**4**
# Service Member on Service Member	3
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	1
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	0
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**4**
# On military installation	3
# Off military installation	0
# Unidentified location	1
B. FY08 INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**4**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	2
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	1
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	0
# Unknown	0
Time of sexual assault incident	**4**
# Midnight to 6 am	0
# 6 am to 6 pm	2
# 6 pm to midnight	2
# Unknown	0
Day of sexual assault incident	**4**
# Sunday	0
# Monday	0
# Tuesday	1
# Wednesday	1
# Thursday	0
# Friday	0
# Saturday	1
# Unknown	1
C. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**4**
# Army victims	0
# Air Force victims	3
# Navy victims	1
# Marine victims	0
# Unknown	0
D. FY08 DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**4**
# Male	0
# Female	4
# Unknown	0
Age of VICTIMS	**4**
# 16-19	1
# 20-24	2
# 25-34	1
# 35-49	0
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	0
Grade of VICTIMS	**4**
# E1-E4	3
# E5-E9	1
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	0
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	0
Service of VICTIMS	**4**
# Army	0
# Air Force	3
# Navy	1
# Marine	0
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	**4**
# Active Duty	4
# Reserve (Activated)	0
# National Guard (Activated)	0
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	0
# Unknown	0

AIR FORCE COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST - LOCATION OF FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS	
E. TOTAL # FY08 COMBAT AREAS OF INTEREST -RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
TOTAL RESTRICTED ASSAULTS IN CENTCOM AOR	***4***
Arabian Peninsula, Iraq & Northern Red Sea	
Bahrain	**0**
Iraq	**1**
Jordan	**0**
Lebanon	**0**
Syria	**0**
Yemen	**0**
Egypt	**0**
Kuwait	**0**
Oman	**0**
Qatar	**3**
Saudi Arabia	**0**
United Arab Emirates	**0**
South Asia	
Iran	0
Pakistan	0
Afghanistan	0
NOTE:	

AIR FORCE FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE MILITARY	
A. FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT (rape, aggravated sexual assault, aggravated sexual contact, abusive sexual contact, wrongful sexual contact, non-consensual sodomy, and attempts to commit these offenses)	**FY08 TOTALS**
# Service Member VICTIMS in Restricted Reports	**262**
# Reported sexual assaults AGAINST Service Member victims in the following categories	**262**
# Service Member on Service Member	177
# Non-Service Member on Service Member	58
# Unidentified subject on Service Member	27
# Reported sexual assaults occurring	**262**
# On military installation	121
# Off military installation	136
# Unidentified location	5
B. INCIDENT DETAILS	**FY08 TOTALS**
Length of time between sexual assault and Restricted Report	**262**
# Reports made within 3 days of sexual assault	109
# Reports made within 4 to 30 days after sexual assault	57
# Reports made within 31 to 365 days after sexual assault	55
# Reports made longer than 365 days after sexual assault	27
# Unknown	14
Time of sexual assault incident	**262**
# Midnight to 6 am	84
# 6 am to 6 pm	21
# 6 pm to midnight	137
# Unknown	20
Day of sexual assault incident	**262**
# Sunday	56
# Monday	19
# Tuesday	15
# Wednesday	19
# Thursday	18
# Friday	31
# Saturday	64
# Unknown	40
C. RESTRICTED REPORTING - VICTIM SERVICE AFFILIATION	**FY08 TOTALS**
# VICTIMS	**262**
# Army victims	4
# Air Force victims	253
# Navy victims	3
# Marine victims	2
# Unknown	0
D. DEMOGRAPHICS FOR FY08 RESTRICTED REPORTS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT	**FY08 Totals**
Gender of VICTIMS	**262**
# Male	22
# Female	240
# Unknown	0
Age of VICTIMS	**262**
# 16-19	56
# 20-24	153
# 25-34	43
# 35-49	9
# 50-64	0
# 65 and older	0
# Unknown	1
Grade of VICTIMS	**262**
# E1-E4	196
# E5-E9	32
# WO1-WO5	0
# O1-O3	20
# O4-O10	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	14
# Civilian	0
# Foreign national/military	0
# Unknown	0
Service of VICTIMS	**262**
# Army	4
# Air Force	253
# Navy	3
# Marine	2
# Civilian	0
# Unknown	0
Status of VICTIMS	**262**
# Active Duty	236
# Reserve (Activated)	7
# National Guard (Activated)	5
# Civilian	0
# Cadet/Midshipman	14
# Unknown	0

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
1	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
		E4		M		Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
		E4		M		Rape	Rape, Forcible Sodomy	Discharge in-lieu of (ILO) CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
2	Q1	E5	E3	M	M	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 forfeit $2,026 and Chapter 14 Discharge.
3	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Other, no action taken.
4	Q1	E4	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Administrative action, oral reprimand.
5	Q1	E3	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Summary Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E1 forfeit $867 and 30 days confinement.
6	Q2	E5	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Adjudication pending Subject's redeployment from Iraq.
7	Q1	UNK	E2	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
		UNK		U		Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
8	Q1	E5	E5	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject plead No Contest at Filed Grade NJP, received 45 days extra duty.
9	Q2	E8	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
10	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 suspended for 90 days.
			CIV		F				
11	Q1	E4	E4	M	F	Rape	Rape	Company Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E3 suspended for 90 days forfeit $260 and 7 days extra duty.
12	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	Rape	Making a False Statement	Special Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at SPCM, reduced to E3 and forfeit $500.00.
13	Q1	E1	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Suspect a fugitive, no action taken.
14	Q2	E5	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. No explanation, no action taken.
15	Q2	UNK	E1	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
		UNK		U		Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
16	Q3	E2	E2	M	F	Rape	False Official Statement	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found NOT guilty of Rape at GCM, but found guilty of false official statement, reduced to E1 forfeit $1347 and BCD.
17	Q3	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Awaiting DA 4833 detailing sanction data.
18	Q1	E7	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $3575 and 45 days extra duty.
			E2		F				
19	Q1	E9	E4	M	F	Indecent Assault and Forcible		Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Court Martial pending.
			E4		F				
20	Q1	E5	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 forfeit $2,454 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
21	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Adjudication pending Subject's redeployment from Iraq.
22	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 and oral reprimand.
23	Q1	E3	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO Disciplinary Action (DA)	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 11 Discharge, Entry Level Separation.
24	Q2	E4	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject received Chapter 10 Discharge ILO CM.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
25	Q1	E2	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Charges dismissed by Court Martial Convening Authority, no action taken.
26	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E1 forfeit $898 and 30 days confinement.
27	Q1	E4	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Commander declined without explanation, no action taken.
28	Q1	E3	E2	M	M	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, 15 months confinement and BCD.
29	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Summary Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E2 forfeit $972 and 30 days confinement.
30	Q1	E5	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 and forfeit $1,754.
31	Q2	E3	E2	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
32	Q2	E5	E3	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Administrative action, oral reprimand.
33	Q1	E3	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1534 suspended for 4 months and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
34	Q1	E5	E4	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
35	Q2	E1	E1	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found not guilty at GCM, no action taken.
36	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E2 and 30 days confinement.
37	Q2	E5	E6	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, oral reprimand and oral counseling.
38	Q1	E2	E5	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Summary Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, received Chapter 14 discharge.
39	Q2	E5	E2	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
40	Q1	E5	E1	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 forfeit $2,054 and 45 days restriction and extra duty; all suspended for 30 days.
41	Q2	W4	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject retired, no action taken.
42	Q1	E1	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
43	Q3	E5	E2 CIV	M	F F	Rape	Rape	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1 and confinement for 181 days.
44	Q2	O1	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, referred to Family Advocacy.
	Q1	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E3 forfeit $1,700 suspended for 30 days and oral reprimand.
45	Q1	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
46	Q1	E5	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
47	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
		E4		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
48	Q2	E1	E1	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject released from Active Duty back to National Guard Status, referred to Texas State Adjutant General for action.
49	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
50	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
51	Q1	E5	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 forfeit $2,062 suspended for 60 days and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
52	Q1	FOREIGN (FOR) CIV	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, job termination and debarment for 10 years.
53	Q3	E7	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander unfound the offense and declined to prosecute, no action taken.
54	Q1	E1	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
55	Q3	E4	E2	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
56	Q1	E7	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
57	Q1	UNK	E4	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
58	Q1	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Victim recanted and stated act was consensual, no action taken.
59	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
60	Q3	O3	E4 E4	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact and	Forcible Sodomy and Indecent Assault	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Relief for Cause OER.
61	Q2	E8	E4 E1	M	F F	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
62	Q1	E3	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	None	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found not guilty at Field Grade NJP, no action taken.
63	Q1	UNK	E4	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
64	Q1	E4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Special Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SPCM (BCD), received 7 months confinement and BCD.
65	Q1	E4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $3,600 suspended for 6 months restriction for 6 days and 45 days extra duty.
66	Q1	E3	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
67	Q2	E2	E3	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, received 10 days restriction and extra duty.
68	Q1	E2	E1 E2 E1 E1	F	F F F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 11 Discharge, Entry Level Separation.
69	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
70	Q1	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Court Martial scheduled for 30 Oct 08.
71	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, oral counseling.
72	Q2	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
73	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
74	Q2	E5	E3	M	F	Rape	Failure to Obey Order	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 forfeit $2134 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
75	Q2	E3	E2 E3	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Summary Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E1 and 30 days confinement.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
76	Q2	E8	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, General Officer Memorandum of Reprimand (GOMOR).
77	Q2	E6	E3	M	F	Rape	Violation of General Order # 1	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, no sanctions imposed.
78	Q2	E5	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Article 32 Hearing complete, pending Court Martial.
79	Q1	E4	E3	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Other action, Commander found allegation unsubstantiated, but transferred Subject to another unit for his protection.
80	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
81	Q2	E6	E2	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Acts	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling and written reprimand.
82	Q1	E2	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct, and to be prosecuted by civilian authorities.
83	Q2	E7	E2 E3	M	F F	Abusive Sexual Contact and	Abusive Sexual Contact and Indecent Assault	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand and forfeit $3844.
84	Q1	E4	E4	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
85	Q4	CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, job termination.
86	Q1	E3	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
87	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E3 forfeit $890 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
88	Q1	E1	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1300 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
89	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Referred to Texas Criminal Court for prosecution.
90	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1 forfeit all pay and allowances, confinement for 1 year and BCD.
91	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1300 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
92	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling and No Contact Order.
93	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
94	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Indecent Acts	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1346 and 45 days restriction and extra duty; all susp
		E3		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	Indecent Acts	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1346 and 45 days restriction and extra duty; all susp
		E3		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1346 45 days restriction and extra duty; with excepti
		E1		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1346 and 45 days restriction and extra duty; all suspended for 6 mo
95	Q1	E1	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, forfeit $500 and 10 days restriction.
96	Q4	E7	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
97	Q1	E3	E1	M	F	Rape	Rape	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
		E3		F		Rape	Rape	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
98	Q1	E2	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1300 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
99	Q1	FOR MIL	O3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject prosecuted in Iraqi Criminal Court, reduced to Private and reassigned to Syrian border.
100	Q2	E7	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Indecent Assault and Indecent Exposure	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, received GOMOR.
101	Q1	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Sexual Abuse in the First Degree	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
102	Q2	E5	E2 E2	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 forfeit $2,046 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
103	Q2	E5	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1 confinement for 10 months and BCD.
104	Q1	E5	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found not guilty at GCM, no action taken.
105	Q3	FOR CIV	E6 E4	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Kuwait Criminal Court for prosecution.
106	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
107	Q2	E3 E1	E2	M M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault Aggravated Sexual Assault	Discharge ILO CM Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial. Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, 45 days extra duty and restriction and Chapter 14 Discharge.
108	Q1	E4	E2	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1 total forfeiture of pay and allowances confinement for 12 years and BCD.
109	Q2	E1	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1,340 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
110	Q3	E3 E2	E1	M M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault Aggravated Sexual Assault	No Action Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject PCS'd prior to Commander taking action, no action taken. Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1,348 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
111	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Adultery	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken on sexual assault offense. However, subsequently found guilty at Field Grade NJP for Adultery.
112	Q3	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
113	Q1	E6	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5.
114	Q1	E5	O1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
115	Q2	E5	E2	M	F	Rape	Consensual Sodomy and Violation of General Order	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E3 forfeit $2679 and 45 days confinement.
116	Q2	E5	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand and Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
117	Q1	E1	E1 E1 E1	M	F F F	Abusive Sexual Contact and Indecent Assault	Abusive Sexual Contact and Indecent Assault	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, forfeit $280 written reprimand and Chapter 14 Discharge.
118	Q2	E6	E6 E3 E4	M	F F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Indecent Assault and Indecent Acts	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, confinement for 13 months and BCD.
119	Q2	E1	E1 E3 E1	M	M M M	Forcible Sodomy	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. JAG opined evidence was circumstantial and Subject was allowed to PCS, no action taken.
120	Q1	E2	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $601 and 15 days extra duty and restriction.
121	Q3	E8	E4	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Charges dismissed by Court Martial Convening Authority, no action taken.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
122	Q3	E5	E4	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. General Court Martial just completed, pending DA 4833 with sanctions.
123	Q2	Cadet	Cadet	M	F	Rape	False Official Statement	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found NOT guilty of Rape at GCM, but found guilty of false official statement, Chapter 3 Discharge.
124	Q2	E2	E5	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E1 and 5 days confinement.
125	Q2	E3	E2	M	F	Rape	Attempted Rape and Indecent Assault	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
126	Q3	E4		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
127	Q3	E4	E4 E3	M	F F	Aggravated Sexual Assault and	None	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found not guilty on all counts at GCM, no action taken.
128	Q2	E1	E1	M	F	Rape	Wrongful Sexual Contact	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, confinement for 1 year and BCD.
129	Q2	CIV	E4	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
130	Q2	E5	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
131	Q1	E6	E5 E5 E5 E4 E6	M	F F F F F	Aggravated Sexual Contact and Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1 and BCD.
132	Q2	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, debarment for one year.
133	Q3	E4	E5	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found not guilty at GCM, no action taken.
134	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact and Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy and Aggravated Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Administrative action, restriction for 60 days.
135	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, oral counseling.
136	Q2	E2	E3	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, SJA accepted victim's desire to drop charges and therefore only revocation of Subject's Clearance, and reclassification.
137	Q1	E4	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
		E5		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Referred to Texas Criminal Court for prosecution., Pretrial Hearing scheduled for 18 Nov 2008.
138	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
139	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape, Forcible Sodomy, Assault, and Spouse Abuse	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
140	Q2	E1	E4 E1 E1	M	F F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1300 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
141	Q2	E6	E1	M	M	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
142	Q4	E5	E4	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
		E6		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
143	Q3	E7	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	General Officer Imposed NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at General Officer Imposed NJP, received written reprimand.
144	Q2	E7	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
145	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
146	Q2	E6	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
			E3		F	Wrongful Sexual	Wrongful Sexual Contact		Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
147	Q2	O3	E3	M	F	Contact and Indecent Assault	Wrongful Sexual Contact and Indecent Assault	General Court Martial	allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Assault and Indecent Assault against two Victims. Subject found guilty at GCM, 15 months confinement and Chapter 5 Discharge.
148	Q2	E6	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
149	Q2	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, access to all military installations revoked.
150	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Charges dismissed by Court Martial Convening Authority, no action taken.
151	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
152	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
153	Q2	E3	E1	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
154	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Declined without explanation, no action taken.
155	Q1	E1	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, 14 days extra duty and restriction.
156	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
157	Q3	E9	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
158	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject plead No Contest at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E2 forfeit $1502 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
159	Q1	E1	E1 E1	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
160	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Lakewood, WA Prosecutor found insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
161	Q2	E7	E6	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
162	Q2	FOR CIV	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
163	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
164	Q1	E2	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Indecent Acts	Summary Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E1 forfeit $467 and 30 days confinement.
165	Q3	E7	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
166	Q1	CIV	E2	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
167	Q2	E2	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
168	Q2	O3	E3 E4	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Convicted and currently pending appeal.
169	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	Sexual Assault in the First Degree (AK Penal Code)	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
170	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
171	Q2	UNK	E1	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
172	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
173	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
174	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
175	Q1	UNK	E1	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
			E2		M				

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
176	Q2	E1	E1	F	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
			E3		M				
			E1		M				
177	Q2	E8	CIV	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Statute of Limitations expired, no action taken.
178	Q2	E5	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Drunk and Disorderly	Special Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found not guilty of Aggravated Sexual Assault at SPCM (BCD), but found guilty of Drunk and Disorderly, reduced to E1 restriction for 60 days, and written reprimand.
		E5		M		Aggravated Sexual Contact	Cruelty of Subordinates	Special Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Charge of Aggravated Sexual Assault dismissed at SPCM (BCD), but Subject found guilty of Cruelty of Subordinates, reduced to E4.
179	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape and Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
180	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $500.
181	Q2	UNK	E1	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
182	Q1	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E2 forfeit $1502 and 10 days extra duty and restriction.
183	Q2	E4	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	False Swearing	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, Forfeit $1423 and 1 month confinement.
184	Q2	E3	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
185	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Adultery	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Found guilty of Adultery at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4, forfeit $2134 suspended for 6 months and 45 days extra duty.
186	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
187	Q3	E6	E3	M	F	Rape	Rape	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5 suspended for 6 months, forfeit $3,000 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
188	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending, Soldier deployed.
189	Q2	E1	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject DFR, returned to military control and Chaptered out of Army on 30 Apr 08, no action taken.
		E3		M		Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $340 and 7 days extra duty and restriction.
190	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault and Forcible Sodomy	Providing alcohol to minor and resisting apprehension.	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found NOT guilty of Aggravated Sexual Assault and Forcible Sodomy at GCM, but found guilty of providing alcohol to minor and resisting apprehension, reduced to E1 and 2 days confinement suspended for 60 days.
191	Q3	E2	E2	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
		E2		M		Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Suspect a fugitive, no action taken.
192	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty of drug offense in unrelated case and received Chapter 14 Discharge on 11 Jul 08, no action taken on sexual assault offense.
193	Q2	UNK	E3	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
194	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
195	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E3 forfeit $673 and 45 days extra duty. Forfeiture and extra duty suspended for 6 months.
196	Q2	E5	E6	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Other	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Case referred to Department of the Navy for Prosecution.
197	Q2	E1	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, 42 months confinement and DD.
			E4		F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault and		Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
198	Q2	E7	E2	M	F	and Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Summary Court Martial	Assault Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E6 forfeit $2383.
199	Q1	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
200	Q2	E6	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to FBI for investigation and prosecution., no action taken.
201	Q2	E5	FOR CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
202	Q2	E5	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
203	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
204	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
205	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1340 and 45 days restriction and extra duty; all suspended for 6 months.
206	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Charges dismissed by Court Martial Convening Authority, no action taken.
207	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	Rape	False Statement and Obstruction of Justice	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1 and confinement for 100 days.
		E3		M		Rape	Assault with Intent to Commit Rape	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, forfeit $10,000, 90 days confinement and BCD.
208	Q2	E2	FOR CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter Discharge from Army.
209	Q2	E1	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	None	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander found insufficient evidence on theses offenses, however, Administrative action was taken on several other offenses, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
		E3		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
210	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E2, and 45 days extra duty.
211	Q2	E1	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1340 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
212	Q2	E3	E7	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 suspended for 30 days and 30 days extra duty and restriction.
213	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
214	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
215	Q2	E6	FOR CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
216	Q4	UNK	E4	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
217	Q2	E1	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Special Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SPCM (BCD), forfeit $280, 14 days extra duty, 3 days restriction and Chapter 14 Discharge.
			E2		F				
			E3		F				
			E2		F				
			E2		F				
			E1		F				
218	Q3	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Results of Court Martial pending.
		E3		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Results of Court Martial pending.
219	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1346 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
220	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
221	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found not guilty at SCM, no action taken.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
222	Q2	O2	O1	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
223	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 5 Discharge - Convenience of Government.
		E1		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
		E2		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
		E4		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
224	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
225	Q2	E3	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E1 and forfeit $350.
226	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
227	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
228	Q3	E6	CIV	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Virginia Criminal Court for prosecution.
229	Q2	E1	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
230	Q2	E3	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
231	Q4	E6	E1	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Article 32 Hearing complete, pending General Court Martial.
		E7	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Article 32 Hearing complete, pending General Court Martial.
		E6	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Article 32 Hearing complete, pending General Court Martial.
			E1		F				
			E1		F				
232	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
233	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Charges dismissed by Court Martial Convening Authority, no action taken.
234	Q3	E5	E5	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Results of Field Grade Article 15 pending.
		E4		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Results of Field Grade Article 15 pending.
		E6		M		Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Results of Field Grade Article 15 pending.
235	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Sexual Abuse in the Second Degree	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
236	Q2	E4	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
237	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Due to conflicting statements from multiple parties Commander elected to not prosecute, no action taken.
238	Q3	E8	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, written reprimand, Relief for Cause NCOER and removal from SGM Promotion list.
239	Q4	O3	CIV	M	F	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Court Martial scheduled for 18 Dec 08.
240	Q2	E7	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Suspect deceased, no action taken.
241	Q2	E2	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1 and 15 months confinement.
242	Q3	E6	E4	M	F	Indecent Assault	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Court Martial scheduled for 3-7 Dec 08.
			E4		F				
			E5		F				
			E4		F				

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
243	Q3	E3	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault and Abusive Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
244	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
245	Q3	E4	E4	M	F	Rape	Indecent Exposure and False Statement	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E2 and written reprimand.
246	Q2	E2	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Suspect a fugitive, no action taken.
247	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	Rape	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
248	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Unable to substantiate allegation of Rape due to lack of cooperation from Victim. However, Administrative action taken, written reprimand.
		E3		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
249	Q3	E4	E5	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E2 and forfeit $2046 both suspended for 6 months, and 45 days extra duty.
250	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1346 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
251	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1340 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
			E2		F				
			E1		F				
252	Q3	E8	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
253	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
254	Q4	E2	E2	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
255	Q2	UNK	E2	U	F	Indecent Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
256	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape and Forcible Sodomy against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
			CIV		F				
257	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
258	Q2	E5	E2	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
			E1		M				
259	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Special Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SPCM (BCD), reduced to E1 and Chapter 10 Discharge.
260	Q2	E1	E1	M	M	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander did not take action on this incident; however, Subject received Chapter Discharged on unrelated incident.
		E1		M		Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander did not take action on this incident; however, Subject received Chapter Discharged on unrelated incident.
		E2		M		Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Bar to Reenlistment.
261	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
262	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
263	Q3	E5	E5	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Making a False Statement	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Subject found not guilty of Forcible Sodomy but guilty of Making a False Statement at GCM, reduced to E1 and confinement for 100 days.
264	Q3	E2	E3	M	F	Rape	Assault with Intent to Commit Rape	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, total forfeiture of pay and allowances for 1 year, confinement for 1 year, and DD.
265	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
266	Q2	E2	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, oral reprimand.
267	Q3	E2	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Court Martial scheduled for 20 Nov 08.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
268	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
269	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, 14 days restriction and extra duty suspended for 30 days.
270	Q2	E1	E2	F	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1, forfeit $1346 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
			E3		F				
			E1		F				
			E1		F				
271	Q4	E2	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Indecent Acts	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, forfeit $300 and hard labor without confinement for 30 days.
272	Q3	E2	E2	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
273	Q2	E3	E1	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 and forfeit $1340.
			E1		F				
			E3		F				
			E1		F				
			E3		F				
			E1		M				
			CIV		M				
			E2		M				
			E1		F				
			E2		F				
			E1		F				
			E2		F				
			E3		F				
			E1		F				
			CIV		F				
274	Q3	E1	E2	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1346 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
275	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
276	Q2	E1	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, forfeit $289 and 14 days restriction and extra duty.
277	Q2	E1	E2	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1508 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
278	Q4	E4	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found not guilty at GCM, no action taken.
279	Q3	E9	E7	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
280	Q2	FOR CIV	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, barred from future employment with AAFES.
281	Q2	E4	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written admonition.
282	Q2	CIV	E2	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, debarment from Campbell and Patton Barracks, and No Contact Order.
283	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
284	Q3	E4	E4	F	M	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
285	Q3	E6	E4	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1465 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
286	Q3	O3	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Article 32 Hearing complete, pending Court Martial.
287	Q3	UNK	O4	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
288	Q3	E2	E2	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
289	Q2	UNK	E1	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
290	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, forfeit $524 and 1 day extra duty and restriction.
291	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
292	Q3	E4	E2	M	M	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Special Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Trial date not scheduled yet.
293	Q3	E6	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
294	Q2	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
295	Q3	O2	CIV	M	F	Rape	Simple Battery	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty in Georgia Criminal Court., fined $1894 and 12 months unsupervised probation. Also issued General Officer Letter of Reprimand.
296	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E3 suspended for 90 days and 14 days extra duty.
297	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E1 suspended for 45 days and 14 days extra duty and restriction.
298	Q2	E5	E4	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
299	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
300	Q2	E5	E3	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
301	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Allegation UNFOUNDED, when Victim provided a sworn statement that contact was consensual. No action taken.
302	Q2	UNK	O3	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
303	Q4	O4	E4 E4 E3	M	M M M	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Awaiting CG's approval for Court Martial
304	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject plead No Contest at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1244 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
305	Q2	E5	E5	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
306	Q3	E2	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 and 30 days restriction and extra duty.
307	Q3	O3	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
308	Q4	E4	FOR CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
309	Q2	E1	E3	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 15 Discharge - Homosexual Conduct.
310	Q3	E1	E4 E4	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found not guilty at GCM, no action taken.
311	Q3	E4	E1 E2	M	F F	Aggravated Sexual Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Investigation failed to establish sufficient evidence on Aggravated Sexual Assault, however, Subject received Administrative Action, written counseling for Wrongful Sexual Contact.
312	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1340, 45 days restriction and 30 days extra duty.
313	Q3	E4	E4 E3	M	M M	Indecent Assault and Forcible	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault and Forcible Sodomy against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
314	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape and Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Allegation of Rape was UNFOUNDED, however, Subject received a written reprimand for Forcible Sodomy.
315	Q2	E2	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, no action taken on this offense; however, Subject Chapter Discharged for unrelated offenses.
316	Q2	E6	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
317	Q3	E7	CIV	M	F	Rape	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Pierce County Washington declined to prosecute, no explanation provided, no action taken.
318	Q2	E5	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
319	Q3	E1	E3	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Lewd Assault	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, forfeit $350 and 14 days restriction and extra duty.
320	Q2	E4	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
321	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
322	Q3	E5	E4	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
		E4	E5	F	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined without explanation, no action taken.
323	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
		E6		M		Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
324	Q3	CIV	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined without explanation, no action taken.
325	Q2	E3	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
326	Q4	O1	E2	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
327	Q4	E6	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Charges dismissed by Court Martial Convening Authority, no action taken.
328	Q2	E5	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E4 forfeit $1423 and 45 days restriction.
329	Q3	E2	Cadet	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty in Colorado Criminal Court., probation for 4 years and $361 fine.
330	Q4	E3	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
331	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found not guilty at GCM, no action taken.
332	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending Bde Cdr approval.
333	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Court Martial scheduled for 12-13 Nov 08.
334	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Referred to Texas Criminal Court for prosecution., Court Date scheduled for 15 Dec 2008.
335	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Article 32 Hearing scheduled on 20 Nov 08.
336	Q2	W3	E4	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
337	Q3	O3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending SJA's review and decision on prosecution.
338	Q2	E6	E4	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5 forfeit $1118 and written reprimand.
339	Q4	E2	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, reduced to E1 and 45 days restriction.
340	Q2	O1	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
341	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
342	Q3	UNK	E3	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
343	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Article 32 Hearing complete, pending Court Martial.
344	Q3	E1	CIV CIV CIV	M	F F F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, oral reprimand.
345	Q3	E1	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
		E1		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
		E3		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
		E3		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
		E2		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
346	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
		E3		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
347	Q3	E6	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5 and forfeit $2606, both suspended for 6 months and 45 days extra duty.
348	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, however Administrative action taken, verbal reprimand.
349	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Unit requested a Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct, but request was denied by AV Commander, no other action taken.
350	Q2	E4	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Indecent Assault	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, oral counseling.
351	Q3	E6	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5 suspended for 6 months, forfeit $2,710 and 45 days extra duty.
352	Q3	E2	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
353	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
354	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
355	Q2	E2	E1 E1	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1347 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
356	Q3	CIV	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending SJA's review and decision on prosecution.
357	Q3	FOR CIV	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
358	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Attempted Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, however Administrative action taken, verbal reprimand.
359	Q3	E1	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $674 suspended for 6 months.
360	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, oral counseling.
361	Q3	UNK	E6	U	F	Indecent Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
362	Q2	E6	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	None	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. AUSA declined to prosecute, no action taken.
363	Q3	E8	O3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Wrongful Sexual Contact	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E4, confinement for 100 days and written reprimand.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
364	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined without explanation, no action taken.
365	Q3	E6	E4	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault and Indecent Exposure	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5.
366	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, forfeit all pay an allowances and confinement for 30 months, and DD.
367	Q4	E6	E1	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Wrongful Sexual Contact, Forcible Sodomy, and Cruelty of Subordinates	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, confinement for 18 months and BCD.
368	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
369	Q2	E6	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
370	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
371	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
372	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
373	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact	Adultery	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty of Adultery at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E2, forfeit $754 and 45 days extra duty.
374	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Rape	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
375	Q4	O1	E5	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $2,037 and written counseling.
376	Q3	E2	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
377	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, oral counseling and restriction for 90 days.
378	Q2	UNK	E5	U	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
379	Q3	E6	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5 forfeit $5200, 45 days extra duty and 30 days restriction.
380	Q4	E4	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Adultery	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty of Adultery at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1, forfeit $673 and 45 days extra duty.
381	Q3	E2	E1 E1 E1	M	F F F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact or Wrongful Sexual Contact against three Victims. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, forfeit $54,356, confinement for 36 months and DD.
382	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Soldier was allowed to ETS prior to NJP being administered, no action taken.
383	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Violation of General Order	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found not guilty of Wrongful Sexual Contact but guilty of Violating a Lawful Order by engaging in inappropriate
384	Q4	E2	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, total forfeiture of pay and allowances for 3 years, confinement for 3 years, and DD.
385	Q3	E6	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
386	Q3	E7	E6	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
387	Q3	E1	E3	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
		E3		M		Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
388	Q3	O1	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
389	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
390	Q3	E3	E2	M	M	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
		E2		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
391	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
392	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1, forfeit $1235 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
393	Q3	E1	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
394	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Trial date scheduled for 8 Dec 2008.
395	Q3	E1	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $700 and 14 days extra duty and restriction.
396	Q3	E2	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Declined without explanation, no action taken.
397	Q3	CIV	E1	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
		CIV		M		Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
398	Q3	FOR CIV	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
			E2		F				
399	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
400	Q3	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, job termination.
401	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Declined without explanation, no action taken.
402	Q4	UNK	E3	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
403	Q3	E1	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1244 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
404	Q3	E6	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Illinois Criminal Court
405	Q3	E6	E2	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
406	Q4	E6	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
407	Q4	E5	E3	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Court Martial scheduled for 20 Jan 09.
408	Q3	E8	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
409	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
410	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
411	Q3	CIV	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
412	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E3 forfeit $417 and 14 days extra duty and restriction.
413	Q3	FOR CIV	E6	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, job termination.
414	Q3	E2	E3	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
415	Q3	E4	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
416	Q4	E4	E4	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
417	Q3	UNK	E3	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
418	Q3	E3	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
419	Q3	E1	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. , forfeit $311 and 14 days extra duty and restriction.
420	Q3	E5	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Referred to NAVY.
421	Q4	E5	E2 E4 E4	M	M M M	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Court Martial pending.
422	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander allowed Subject to medically retire from military instead of pursuing judicial proceedings, no action taken.
423	Q3	E2	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
424	Q4	E8	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander unfound the offense and declined to prosecute, no action taken.
425	Q3	CIV	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
426	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
427	Q4	E3	E3	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
428	Q3	E5	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4, forfeit $500 and 45 days extra duty.
429	Q3	E4	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Trial date scheduled for 2 Dec 2008.
430	Q3	FOR CIV	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, debarment for 3 years.
431	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
432	Q3	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1, forfeit $946 suspended for 2 months and 45 days extra duty.
433	Q4	E1	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
434	Q3	E2	E3	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
435	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
436	Q3	E2	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1,350 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
		E2		M		Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $ 673 suspended for 6 months, and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
437	Q3	UNK	E6	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
438	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
439	Q4	E6	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
440	Q3	E2	E1	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
441	Q3	FOR MIL	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
442	Q4	E3	E2	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject received Chapter 5 Separation for an unrelated offense, no other action taken.
443	Q4	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
443	Q4	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
444	Q4	E8	E4	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Texas Criminal Courts declined to prosecute, pending referral by SJA.
445	Q3	E4	CIV CIV	M	F F	Aggravated Sexual Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
446	Q3	E4	E4 E3 E3	M	M M M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
447	Q3	E6	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
448	Q3	E5	E2	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
449	Q3	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, confinement for 12 years and BCD.
450	Q3	E6	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5.
451	Q3	E1	E1 E1	M	F F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 11 Discharge, Entry Level Separation.
452	Q3	E5	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject PCS'd prior to Commander taking action, no action taken.
453	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject received Chapter 14 Discharge for an unrelated offense, however sexual assault charges are pending in Kentucky Criminal Court.
454	Q4	E2	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
455	Q4	E3	E3	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
456	Q4	E6	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
457	Q3	E2	E1 E1	M	M F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 and forfeit $673.
458	Q3	W3	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
459	Q3	UNK	E3	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
460	Q3	E2	E4 E3 E1	M	F F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, forfeit $291 and 14 days extra duty and restriction.
461	Q4	UNK	E2	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
462	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty in Oklahoma Criminal Court, confinement for 12 months, 4 years confinement suspended with 2 years supervision, $1,000 fine and court costs.
463	Q4	E4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
464	Q4	O2	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
465	Q3	E4	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject received Chapter 10 Discharge ILO CM.
466	Q4	E2	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
467	Q3	E4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
468	Q4	E7	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
469	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander released Soldier back to his NG unit, no action taken.
470	Q4	O3	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
471	Q4	E6	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
472	Q3	CIV	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
473	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Declined without explanation, no action taken.
474	Q3	FOR CIV	E5 E5 E5	M	F F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, job termination.
475	Q3	E6	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
476	Q3	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
477	Q4	UNK	E2	U	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
		UNK		U		Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
478	Q3	E3	E5	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
479	Q3	E6	E4	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
480	Q3	E5	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
481	Q4	E4	E3	M	M	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
		E2		M		Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
		E1		M		Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
482	Q4	E6	E3	M	F	Indecent Assault	Indecent Assault	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
483	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
484	Q4	E3	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, sanctions not completed on DA Form 4833.
485	Q3	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Iraqi Criminal Court for prosecution.
		FOR CIV	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Iraqi Criminal Court for prosecution.
486	Q4	O3	E4 E4	F	M M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
487	Q4	E4	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Court Martial pending.
489	Q4	O3	O2	M	F	Rape	Rape	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Administrative action, Relief for Cause OER and Relieved of Command.
490	Q4	E6	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending, Subject PCS'd to Fort Hood, TX
491	Q3	FOR CIV	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, job termination.
492	Q3	E7	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
493	Q3	E2	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Violation of General Order	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1,346 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
		E1	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Violation of General Order	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1 forfeit $1,346 and 45 days restriction and extra duty.
494	Q3	E4	CIV CIV	M	F F	Abusive Sexual Contact and		Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact and Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
495	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
496	Q3	E4	E4	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
497	Q4	E5	E4	F	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
498	Q3	E6	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
499	Q4	E5	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Assault Consummated by Battery	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E3, forfeit $9,000 and 45 days confinement.
500	Q4	E1	E2	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1,244 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
501	Q4	E2	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, oral counseling.
502	Q3	E1	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis		Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Declined without explanation, no action taken.
503	Q3	E6	E6 E6 E6	M	F M M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against three Victims. Commander's response pending.
504	Q4	E6	E5	M	F	Rape	False Official Statement	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, forfeit all pay an allowances and confinement for 1 year, and DD.
505	Q4	E4	E6	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E3, forfeit $270 and 7 days extra duty and restriction.
506	Q3	E4	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, confinement for 4 years and BCD.
507	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
508	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	Rape	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Subject found guilty in Kansas Criminal Court, confinement for 12 months, reduced to 12 months suspended probation.
509	Q4	E4	E4	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol and drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Article 32 Hearing scheduled for 20 Nov 2008.
510	Q3	FOR CIV	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, employment terminated and barred from military installations in theater.
511	Q3	E2	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $795 and 30 days extra duty and restriction.
512	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E1, forfeit $500 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
513	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending, however, Chapter Discharge action is in progress.
514	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
515	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Summarized NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Summarized NJP, 14 days extra duty.
516	Q4	E5	E4 E3	M	F F	Aggravated Sexual Contact and	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated and/or Abusive Sexual Contact against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
517	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	Rape		Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
518	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
519	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending SJA's review and decision on prosecution.
520	Q4	E4	E3	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, oral counseling.
		E3		M		Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Suspect deceased, no action taken.
		E2		M		Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
521	Q4	E4	E2 E3	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact		No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Victims refused to cooperate, no action taken.
522	Q4	CIV	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
523	Q4	E6	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling.
524	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
525	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	None	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Maryland Circuit Court declined to prosecute, no action taken.
526	Q4	E6	E5	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5, forfeit $2,822 suspended for 1 month, and 45 days extra duty.
527	Q4	E7	E5	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Article 32 Hearing complete, pending Court Martial.
528	Q3	UNK	E1	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
529	Q3	UNK	E5	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
530	Q3	E5	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Unit intends to take action in Feb 2009.
531	Q4	E5	E4	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
532	Q4	UNK	E2 E3	U	F F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against two Victims. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
533	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
534	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E5 and pending receipt of 4833 for further sanctions.
535	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
536	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	False Swearing	NJP	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol but with drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Offense of Abusive Sexual Contact was declined without explanation, however, Subject found guilty of False Swearing at Company Grade NJP, forfeit $562 suspended for 3 months, and 10 days extra duty.
537	Q4	E2	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
538	Q3	E6	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Declined without explanation, no action taken.
539	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
540	Q4	E5	E6	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	General Court Martial	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found not guilty at GCM, however administrative action taken included written counseling and adverse NCOER.
541	Q4	E5	E4	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Assault Consummated by Battery	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4 suspended for 6 months, forfeit $1,023, 12 days extra duty and restriction and oral reprimand.
542	Q4	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact and Indecent Exposure	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, disbarment letter for Kirkuk Regional Air Base.
544	Q4	CIV	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
545	Q4	UNK	E4	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
546	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
547	Q4	E4	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
548	Q4	E5	E1	M	M	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
549	Q4	UNK	E3	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
550	Q3	E1	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1,346 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
551	Q4	E1	E1	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Wrongful Use of a Controlled Substance	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
552	Q3	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
553	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	Forcible Sodomy	Discharge ILO CM	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 10 Discharge in lieu of Court Martial.
554	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
555	Q4	CIV	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
556	Q4	E4	E2	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
557	Q4	O4	E4 E5	M	F F	Indecent Assault and Wrongful	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Indecent Assault and Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Court Martial pending.
558	Q4	UNK	E2	U	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
559	Q4	E3	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Failure to Obey Order	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Charge of Aggravated Sexual Assault dismissed at Field Grade NJP, but Subject found guilty of Failure to Obey Order, reduced to E1, forfeit $673 suspended for 2 months.
560	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
561	Q4	E4	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E2, forfeit $1,000 and 14 days extra duty and restriction.
562	Q4	UNK	E2	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
563	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E4, forfeit $2134 suspended for 6 months and 45 days extra duty.
564	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
565	Q4	UNK	E1	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
566	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
567	Q4	O3	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
568	Q4	E6	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
569	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	None	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found not guilty at GCM, no action taken.
570	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Article 32 Hearing complete, pending Court Martial.
571	Q4	FOR CIV	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
572	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
573	Q4	E6	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
574	Q4	UNK	E4	U	M	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
575	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
576	Q4	E1	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, oral reprimand.
		E1	E2 E2 E2	M	F F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Summary Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at SCM, forfeit $673 and confinement for 20 days.
577	Q4	E1	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute due to Subject being previously discharged from Army., no action taken.
578	Q4	E4	E5 E4	M	F F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against two Victims. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
579	Q4	E2	E2	M	M	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
		E1		M		Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
580	Q4	E5	E4	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Pending receipt of DA 4833 to confirm following sanctions, reduced to E1, confinement for 21 months and DD.
581	Q4	E5	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
582	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
583	Q4	E1	E3	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against two Victims. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, sanctions not completed on DA Form 4833.
			E2		F				
584	Q4	UNK	E3	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
		UNK		U		Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
		E3		M		Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
585	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
586	Q4	O4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	General Officer Imposed NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at General Officer Imposed NJP, Relief for Cause OER and written reprimand.
587	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Administrative	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Administrative action, oral reprimand.
588	Q4	E9	O3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
589	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
590	Q4	UNK	O2	U	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
591	Q4	E6	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
			E3		F				
592	Q4	E4	E2	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Victim refused to cooperate, no action taken.
593	Q4	E1	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $670, 45 days extra duty and restriction, and Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
594	Q4	E2	E1	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	False Official Statement	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Aggravated Sexual Assault offense was declined without explanation however Subject found guilty of False Official Statement at Field Grade NJP., reduced to E1, forfeit $1,946, extra duty and restriction for 14 days, and Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
595	Q4	O4	CIV	M	F	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Referred to Virginia Criminal Court for prosecution, Court date scheduled for 3 Feb 2009.
596	Q4	CIV	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Civilian or Magistrate Criminal Court for prosecution.
597	Q4	E4	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written counseling and curtailment to CONUS.
598	Q4	E1	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1,246 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
599	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
			CIV		F				
600	Q4	E5	E5	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Commander's response pending.
601	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
602	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
603	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Company Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E3 suspended for 3 months, forfeit $370 and 14 days extra duty and restriction.
604	Q4	E4	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E3, forfeit $844 and 15 days extra duty and restriction.
605	Q4	FOR MIL	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
606	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
607	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Pending SJA's review and decision on prosecution.
608	Q4	E2	E2	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Aggravated Sexual Assault	Company Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Subject found guilty at Company Grade NJP, reduced to E1 and forfeit $314.
609	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander's response pending.
610	Q4	E2	E2 E3	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	General Court Martial	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Subject found guilty at GCM, reduced to E1, forfeit $54,356, confinement for 36 months and DD.
611	Q4	E1	E2 E4 E2	M	F F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against three Victims. Commander's response pending.
612	Q4	CIV	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, debarment for 1 year.
613	Q4	E8	E4 E5 Cadet	M	M M M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against three Victims. Commander's response pending.
614	Q4	E6	E6	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
615	Q4	E4	E4 E2	M	F F	Aggravated Sexual Contact and Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact or Aggravated Sexual Contact against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
616	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact		Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
617	Q4	E2	E1	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Discharge ILO DA	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Chapter 14 Discharge - Misconduct.
618	Q4	E4	E4	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
619	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
620	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, Subject received Chapter Discharge for unrelated offenses.
621	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
622	Q4	E1	E1	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, forfeit $1,346 and 45 days extra duty and restriction.
623	Q4	E2	E1	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
624	Q4	E4	CIV CIV	M	F F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against two Victims. Commander's response pending.
625	Q4	E5	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Court Martial pending.
626	Q4	E7	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	Abusive Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, written reprimand.
627	Q4	E3	E4	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Court date scheduled for 1 Dec 2008.
628	Q4	E4	E3	M	M	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
629	Q4	E4	E2	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
630	Q4	UNK	E4	U	F	Aggravated Sexual Assault	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Assault against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
631	Q4	E1	E2	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Summarized NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Summarized NJP, two days extra duty and restriction.
		E2		M		Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Summarized NJP	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Summarized NJP, two days extra duty and restriction.
632	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
633	Q4	E5	E3	M	M	Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Commander's response pending.
634	Q4	FOR CIV	E4	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Administrative	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Administrative action, debarment for 1 year and job termination.
635	Q4	E2	CIV	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base with alcohol but drug involvement is unknown where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
636	Q4	E5	E5	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against three Victims. Court Martial pending.
			E3		F				
			E3		F				
637	Q4	E2	E1	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	None	No Action	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Weak or insufficient evidence to prosecute, no action taken.
638	Q4	FOR CIV	E5	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against two Victims. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
			E2		F				
639	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Pending - not yet referred.
640	Q4	E3	E2	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
641	Q4	UNK	E1	U	F	Rape	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS off-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
642	Q4	E1	E2	F	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
643	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
644	Q4	E6	CIV	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
645	Q4	E1	E2	M	F	Abusive Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Abusive Sexual Contact against Victim. Awaiting receipt of DA 4833 containing sanctions imposed from NJP.
646	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Field Grade NJP	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Subject found guilty at Field Grade NJP, reduced to E2 forfeit $352 and 45 days extra duty.
647	Q4	E2	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred OCONUS on-base without alcohol and without drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
648	Q4	E4	E5	M	F	Rape	See Synopsis	No Action	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape against Victim. Commander declined to prosecute, no action taken.
649	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	Aggravated Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS off-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Aggravated Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Alaska Criminal Court for prosecution.
650	Q4	FOR CIV	E3	M	F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Civilian or Magistrate Court	Occurred OCONUS on-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Referred to Afghanistan Criminal Court for prosecution.
651	Q4	W1	FOR CIV	M	M	Wrongful Sexual Contact	See Synopsis	Pending	Occurred CONUS off-base alcohol involvement unknown and drug involvement unknown where Subject allegedly committed Wrongful Sexual Contact against Victim. Commander's response pending.
652	Q4	UNK	E2	U	F	Rape and Forcible Sodomy	See Synopsis	UNK	Occurred CONUS on-base with alcohol but no drug involvement where Subject allegedly committed Rape and Forcible Sodomy against Victim. Investigation failed to identify Subject, no action taken.
653	Q2	E1	E1	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not Specified	ADSEP	CONUS on-base: Victims reported being fondled on multiple occasions by subject. Subject received AD SEP for misconduct.
			E1		F				
654	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not Specified	ADSEP	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while dancing at a club. Subject received ADSEP.
655	Q2	FN	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped at a local bar in Italy. Victim declined to cooperate with foreign and military investigators.
656	Q2	CIV	E4	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while he tried to sell her a t-shirt in the Jebel Ali port. Case referred to foreign officials.
657	Q3	E4	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking after a night of drinking to find subject drunkenly attempting to pentrate her. Case dismissed at civilian grand jury.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
658	Q4	FN	MIDN	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject, a member of the British Royal Navy, while assigned on summer cruise. Case handled by British military.
659	Q2	CIV	E6	M	F	RAPE-8	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her residence aboard NAS Belle Chasse. Civilian authorities are investigating.
660	Q1	CIV	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after she drank too much at a New Year's party. Victim later refused to cooperate.
661	Q2	FN	E4	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Unsubstantiated	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported that subject hugged and kissed her at Djibouti International Airport. Subject not guilty in Foreign Court.
662	Q1	CIV	E3	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by an unknown civilian. Victim refused to cooperate further.
663	Q1	E2	CIV CIV CIV	M	F F F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Subject allegedly exposed himself and/or committed battery against victims. Civilian authorities are investigating.
664	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	Unsubstantiated	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Subject was detained as a suspect in a burglary rape, but victim later admitted act was consensual.
665	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being tied up and sexually assaulted by subject. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
666	Q2	E4	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject at his residence. Civilian authorities continue to investigate.
667	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being anally and vaginally pentrated (digitally) by subject, at which point she struck him with a guitar. Civilian authorities are investigating.
668	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject (NFI). Civilian and military authorities declined action.
669	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim tried to kiss and fondle victim. Civilian authorities are investigating.
670	Q4	E6	E1	M	F	NC SODOMY	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Subject was accused of performing oral sex on an 18 year-old recruit. Civilian authorities declined action, citing lack of evidence and non-cooperative victim.
671	Q4	E7	CIV	M	F	IA-7	Incest	CIVILIAN	CONUS unknown: Victim reported being fondled and digitally penetrated by her father. Subject found guilty of incest in civilian court.
672	Q1	E7	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being sodomized and raped by subject in a bathroom stall at on off-base bar. Civilian authorities are investigating.
673	Q1	E8	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	Not Specified	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject. Civilian authorities investigating.
674	Q4	UNK	E3	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking after heavy drinking to find her pants down and her vagina sore. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
675	Q4	E7	CIV	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking in her residence to find subject fondling her. Civilian authorities declined action.
676	Q3	E3 E3	CIV	M M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN (2)	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subjects in a hotel room after a night of drinking. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
677	Q4	E4	E4	M	M	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	Not Specified	CM	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject after falling asleep on his couch. Charges dismissed after Article 32 probable cause hearing.
678	Q3	E3	E5	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Not Specified	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her barracks room. Charges dismissed at Article 32 probable cause hearing.
679	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Not Specified	CM	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported drinking with subject and awaking the next morning with vaginal soreness and bleeding. Charges withdrawn after Article 32 probable cause hearing.
680	Q4	E3	E1	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	CM	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in his barracks room. Charges withdrawn after Article 32 probable cause hearing.
681	Q3	E3	E4	M	F	NC SODOMY	False Official Statement	CM	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being forcibly sodomized aboard the USS Port Royal while it was docked in the UAE. Subject not guilty at CM.
682	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	Abusive Sexual Contact	CM	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject fondling her and biting her. Subject pled guilty at SPCM; received confinement, reduction, and BCD.
683	Q3	E6	E1	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	Disobey Lawful General Orde	CM	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while she was intoxicated. Subject pled guilty at CM; received reduction and fine.
684	Q2	E2	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Indecent Assault	CM	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being digitially penetrated by subject after drinking heavily. Subject guilty at CM; received reduction in rank.
685	Q4	UNK	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Aggravated Sexual Assault	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped in her BEQ room by subject, who reportedly had access to her room. Subject convicted at CM; received confinement.
686	Q3	E5	E5	M	M	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Indecent Assault, False Official Statemnt	CM	OCONUS on-base: Subject was found with naked pictures of victim on his laptop. Subject pled guilty at GCM; received 3 years confinement and must register as sex offender.
687	Q2	E1	E1	M	M	NC SODOMY	Sodomy	CM	CONUS on-base: A witness reported seeing subject perform oral sex on victim. Subject found guilty at SPCM for this and related incidents; received confinement, fine, and BCD with sex offender registry.
688	Q2	E3	E2	M	M	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Wrongful Sexual Contact	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim awoke with an erection and found subject hiding under his bed. Subject admitted culpability; found guilty at SPCM, fined and received confinement and BCD.
689	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Assault consumated by Batter	NJP	CONUS off-base: Subject reportedly slid his hand down victim's pants and "rubbed her vagina." Subject received fine, restriction, and reduction.
690	Q2	E4	E2	M	M	NC SODOMY	Sodomy (Non-forcible)	NJP	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being sodomized by subject while at a hotel in Bahrain. Subject pled guilty to non-forcible sodomy at mast; received fine, reduction in rate, and restriction.
691	Q4	E3	E1	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	Art. 92 (Indecent) Assault	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking to find Subject ejaculating on her. Subject received NJP, with restriction, reduction, and fine.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
692	Q2	E5	E5	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	Failure to Obey Order	NJP (Other charges)	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject in a taxi after a night of drinking. Subject received NJP for Failure to Obey.
693	Q3	E3	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Adultery, Fail to Obey Order	NJP (Other charges)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in his hotel room while deployed for training. Subject found guilty of failure to obey at NJP.
694	Q4	O1	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	Detached for Cause	NJP/DFC	UNKNOWN on-base: Victim reported being digitally penetrated by subject while aboard the USS Ronald Reagan. Subject found guilty at Captain's Mast for inappropriate comments; Detached For Cause from ship.
695	Q1	E3	CIV	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Wrongful Sexual Contact	NJP	CONUS off-base: Victim reported subject fondled her after a night of drinking. Subject received NJP for Wrongful Sexual Contact.
696	Q4	E3	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Sexual Assault	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject aboard the USS Ronald Reagan. Subject found Guilty at Capt's Mast (reduced, restricted, fined & extra duty assigned)
697	Q4	E3	E1	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Wrongful Sexual Contact	NJP/ADSEP	CONUS on-base: Subject admitted to fondling victim aboard the USS Barry. Subject received restriction, pay forfeiture at NJP; subsequently received OTH discharge
698	Q4	E4	E3	M	M	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	ssault consumated by Batter	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being groped by subject while on the USS Kitty Hawk. Subject received 60 days restriction, fine, and reduction in rate at Mast.
699	Q2	E5	E6	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Wrongful Sexual Contact	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported subject fondled her and forced her to fondle him while aboard the USS James E Williams. Subject received NJP.
700	Q2	E5	E3 E2	M	F F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Fail to Obey Order	NJP	CONUS on-base: Subject reportedly groped and fondled victims on several occasions. Subject received NJP, restriction, and reduction in rank.
701	Q1	E5	CIV	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Disobey Lawful Order, Assaul	NJP	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject touching her while she slept nude in her living unit. Subject received NJP.
702	Q4	E5	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Disorderly conduct+Conduct Unbecoming a Petty Officer	NJP	CONUS off-base: Subject reportedly pulled down victims shorts and spanked her while she visited his Navy Recruiting Office. Subject received NJP; reduction in rate and fine.
703	Q2	E5	E5	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Wrongful Sexual Contact	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported that subject rubbed up against her in an sexual way. Subject guilty at Mast; reduced in grade and fined.
704	Q2	E5	E3	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported subject fondled her buttocks several times at a bar. Case closed with no result reported.
705	Q2	C2	C2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	ADMIN	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled and raped by subject in her dorm room at USNA. Command declined action, citing counseling to both subject and victim.
706	Q4	E3	E4	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject after drinking heavily. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
707	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being touched inapporpriately by subject while he gave her a medical IV. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
708	Q3	E1	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported that after consenting to digital penetration, subject became forceful and continued despite being told no. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
709	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject having sex with her. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
710	Q3	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after she passed out from drinking. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
711	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	IA-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being digitally penetrated by subject-husband. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
712	Q3	E3	E3	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	ADMIN	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being groped by subject. Command declined action, giving subject and victim disciplinary boards.
713	Q4	E3	E5	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported subject forced her to touch and put her face on his genitals. Command declined action.
714	Q3	C2	C3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim awoke in her barracks room to find subject digitally penetrating her and later having sex with her. Command declined action.
715	Q4	E2	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Underage Drinking	NJP (Other charges)	CONUS on-base:Victim reported being held down and sexually assaulted by subject in her barracks room. Subject received NJP for underage drinking.
716	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Adultery	NJP (Other charges)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by subject after turning down his advances. Subject received NJP for Adultery.
717	Q3	E4	E5	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by subject after she passed our in her BEQ room. Command declined action.
718	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after a night of drinking. Command declined action.
719	Q3	E6	E5	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject outside "Rebar" in San Antonio. Command declined action (NFI).
720	Q3	E7	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped in a hotel room by subject after spending the evening at several bars. Command declined action.
721	Q4	E2	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking after drinking heavily to find subject having sex with her. Command declined action.
722	Q4	O4	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by her subject-husband while at a relative's house. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
723	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	Not Prosecuted	CIVILIAN	CONUS various: Victim accused subject of raping her on several occasions. Civilian and Command authorities declined action.
724	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	SODOMY	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported that subject digitally penetrated her. Command declined action.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
725	Q4	E3	E1	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported that subject repeatedly tried to fondle her while walking on base. Command declined action.
726	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS unknown: Victim reported being physically and sexually abused on numerous occasions by husband-subject. Command declined action; subject ended active service.
727	Q2	UNK	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	ADMIN	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled and digitally penetrated by subject in a jacuzzi. Disciplinary Review Board dismissed all charges.
728	Q1	UNK	FN	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being beaten and raped by subject; subject claimed he paid for sex and victim's injuries were from when she fell over drunk. Command declined action.
729	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported having sex with subject, but she withdrew her consent and he continued to pin her down and have sex with her. Command declined action.
730	Q2	UNK	E2 E2	M	F F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victims reported being sexually assaulted by subject; one victim later recanted and no evidence was found to support the other accusation. Command declined action.
731	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported that subject fondled her breast in the recovery room at NAVMEDCEN Portsmouth. Command declined action.
732	Q1	E4	E3	M	F	IA-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled and harrassed by subject. Command declined action citing lack of evidence.
733	Q1	E7	E3	M	F	IA-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported subject fondled her and began masturbating after doing so. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
734	Q2	E4	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	ADSEP	CONUS off-base: Victim reported having sex with her boyfriend, who left the room. Subject began having sex with her and she told him to stop upon realizing he was not her boyfriend. Subject received OTH prior to case completion.
735	Q2	UNK	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Failure to Obey Order (S+V)	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in a bathroom stall after a night of drinking. Both subject and victim received NJP for Failure to Obey.
736	Q1	UNK	UNK	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while taking a nap in his barracks room. Command declined to take action, giving victim time to reconsider charges.
737	Q3	E6	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	OCONUS various: Victim reported being raped by her husband-subject multiple times over several years. Victim signed preference statement, but subject admitted to having sex even though victim told him "No."
738	Q4	E4	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VNC)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking after a night of drinking, believing she had been raped by Subject. NCIS declined to pursue due to lack of evidence and lack of victim participation.
739	Q4	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after becoming intoxicated. NCIS declined to further investigate after civilian authorities placed case on inactive status.
740	Q4	E5	E3	M	F	ATTEMPTS (RAPE)	Assault, DUI	NO ACTION (Other charges)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject, who also attempted to rape her. Subject pled guilty to DUI and Assault, but no sex-related offenses.
741	Q1	FN	E4	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while he gave her a private facial. No further action taken.
742	Q1	UNK	E3	M	M	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION /NCIS	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported subject fondled him over his clothing. Subject could not recall, saying he was intoxicated, and NCIS declined to take further action.
743	Q1	UNK	E3	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB	OCONUS unknown: Victim reported being raped by an unidentified Navy member.
744	Q2	UNK	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Failure to Obey Order (S+V)	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after drinking in her barracks room. Subject received NJP entering the room of the opposite sex after hours; victim received NJP for allowing subject to enter her room.
745	Q3	E3	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	False Official Statement	NJP (Other charges)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported that subject fondled her and digitally penetrated her while aboard the USS KEARSARGE. Subject received NJP for False Official.
746	Q1	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION /NCIS	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being digitally penetrated by her ER doctor following a complaint of kidney stones. NCIS declined to pursue, citing no crime.
747	Q1	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION /NCIS	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after having consensual sex with another female. NCIS declined to investigate, citing no crime.
748	Q4	E7	E6	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	ADMIN	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject on several occasions at his house. Subject received non-punitive letter of caution.
749	Q4	UNK	E3	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	ADMIN	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while standing late watch at NAS Lemoore. Subject received non-punitive letter.
750	Q4	UNK	E3	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (Recant)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped and sodomized by subject aboard the USS Oak Hill. NCIS stopped investigation after victim admitted fabricating her report (sex was consensual).
751	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	ADMIN	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while she was sleeping. Subject received Page 13.
752	Q2	CIV	E3	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled and forcibly attacked by subject at a bar and in her stateroom aboard the USNS Guadalupe. SAUSA declined action.
753	Q3	E3	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being digitally penetrated, orally sodomized, and raped by subject in a BEQ room. Command declined action.
754	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after a night of drinking at a club. Command declined, citing lack of evidence.
755	Q4	E5	E3	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS on-base: Subject reportedly attempted to forcibly remove victim's clothes aboard the USNS Mesa Verde. SAUSA declined action, citing lack of merit.
756	Q2	E1	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject during a drinking party at a local motel. Command declined action, citing conflicting statements by victim and witnesses.
757	Q2	E2		M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being digitally pentrated by subject. SJA advised prosecution would not likely be successful, at which point victim declined to participate further.
758	Q3	E3	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported that subject took sexual photos of her and raped her after a night of drinking. Command declined action.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
759	Q4	E4	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after he gave her a ride home when she drank too much.
760	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS unknown: Subject was accused of molesting his developmentally disabled step-daughter. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
761	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being "sexually assaulted" by subject in a motel room after a night of drinking. Civilian and Command declined action.
762	Q4	UNK	E3	M	F	NC SODOMY	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being sodomized by unknown subject as she slept outside of her room after taking a sleeping pill. Command declined action, citing lack of merit.
763	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in a car while others watched. Command declined acting, citing lack of evidence of non-consensual acts.
764	Q1	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Victim demanded money from subject, reporting that she had been raped. Foreign authorities and Command declined action.
765	Q1	UNK	E1	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported subject danced aggressively and later fondled her at a movie. Command declined action, citing contradictory evidence.
766	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	IA-7	Prosecution cancelled	CM	CONUS off-base: Subject reportedly fondled victim various times while victim tried to sleep. CM was cancelled due to victim going UA.
767	Q3	UNK	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being digitally and anally penetrated while passed out in the bathroom after drinking. Command later dismissed charges.
768	Q2	E3	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VNC)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking after a night of drinking to find subject having sex with her. Victim later told SJA she declined to participate in a trial.
769	Q3	E6	E6	M	F	IA-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while he was teaching her to drive a stick-shift truck at Camp Buering. Victim requested no action against Subject.
770	Q3	E5	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (Recant)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in an SUV aboard Camp Arifjan. Victim later stated she did not tell subject to stop.
771	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim awoke to find subject fondling her. Victim later signed preference statement.
772	Q3	UNK	CIV	M	F	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by unknown subject while awaking from anaesthesia after surgery. Victim later signed preference statement.
773	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being choked and raped by subject after she passed out from alcohol consumption. Civilian and Command authorities declined action.
774	Q3	UNK	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after an on-base party. Victim signed preference statement.
775	Q1	UNK	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her room after a night of drinking. Victim signed preference statement.
776	Q3	UNK	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported becoming intoxicated at a party and was later told by witnesses that subject had sex with her while she was passed out. Victim signed preference statement.
777	Q2	UNK	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported she awoke naked with sbuject after a night of drinking and thought she might have been raped. Victim later declined to pursue the case.
778	Q2	UNK		M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VNC)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in his barracks room. Victim later declined to assist.
779	Q3	UNK		M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped and/or sodomized by her subject-boyfriend on two occasions. Victim later signed preference statement.
780	Q3	UNK	E3	M	M	NC SODOMY	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim awoke after a night of drinking, believing he had been sodomized. Victim refused to assist further.
781	Q3	E5	E3	M	M	NC SODOMY	No Prosecution	ADSEP	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being orally sodomized by subject at a party. Subject received ADSEP for homosexual activity.
782	Q4	UNK	E2	M	F	NC SODOMY	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS unknown: Victim reported being forced to give subject oral sex while intoxicated. Victim signed preference statement. After Psych Eval, victim to be Admin Sep fm USN.
783	Q2	UNK	E3	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	OCONUS unknown: Victim reported being raped over one year prior. Victim preference statement signed.
784	Q3	E5	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reports being raped by her husband-subject on multiple occasions. Victim later signed preference statement.
785	Q2	E5		M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject, but later signed a Victim Preference Statement.
786	Q4	UNK	E5	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in the TPU lounge in 2002. Victim later declined to participate.
787	Q4	UNK	E5	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	OCONUS unknown: Subject unknown: Victim reported being raped by another military member (NFI). Victim signed preference statement.
788	Q3	E4	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported having sex with subject, but subject refused to stop after she revoked consent. Victim signed preference statement.
789	Q4	E4	E5	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Subject reportedly forcibly raped victim at her residence. Civilian DA declined prosecution
790	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VNC)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being forcibly raped by Subject in his barracks room. Victim later refused to participate in the investigation.
791	Q4	E6	E1	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported she was raped by subject at a party. Victim later signed preference statement.
792	Q4	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being forcibly raped by subject. Victim later signed preference statement.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
793	Q3	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being forced to have sex with Subject against her will. Victim later signed preference statement.
794	Q4	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported subject digitally penetrated her while she sat on his lap. Victim later signed preference statement.
795	Q2	UNK	E6	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject while aboard the USS Harry S Truman. Victim later signed preference statement.
796	Q4	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject. Victim later signed preference statement.
797	Q3	CIV	E1	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped in Arizona by a civilian. Victim signed preference statement.
798	Q3	CIV	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	UNKNOWN unknown: Victim reported being raped by her step-brother (NFI). Victim signed preference statement.
799	Q4	UNK	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking with brusing on her neck after drinking heavily with two unknown males. Victim signed preference statement.
800	Q2	CIV	E2	M	M	NC SODOMY	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being physically and sexually assaulted by fiancee-subject. Victim signed preference statement.
801	Q2	UNK	E1	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VPS)	CONUS unknown: Victim reported being sexually assaulted (NFI) and provided no further details. Victim preference statement signed.
802	Q2	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted (NFI) at an off-base hotel. Victim signed preference statement.
803	Q2	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VPS)	CONUS unknown: Victim reported being sexually assaulted (NFI). Victim signed preference statement.
804	Q2	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted (NFI). Victim signed preference statement.
805	Q3	UNK	E7	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted during SERE school, but refused to provide further information.
806	Q4	E3		M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled on her breasts and groin area (outside of clothing) by subject at Carter Hall Barracks. Victim signed preference statement.
807	Q4	UNK	E1	M	F	RAPE-8	Not Specified	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS unknown: Victim made restricted report of being sexually assaulted to SAVI. Victim gave no details to NCIS and signed Victim's preference statement.
808	Q3	E4	E3	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject at his apartment. Victim signed preference statement.
809	Q2	E4	E4	F	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION	CONUS on-base: Subject admitted "beaver chopping" victim to get her to leave her personal space. Command declined action.
810	Q2	UNK	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while deployed aboard the USS John Paul Jones. Victim signed preference statement.
811	Q4	UNK	E3	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being kissed and fondled by subject aboard the USS Iwo Jima. Victim later signed preference statement.
812	Q4	UNK	E2	M	M	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported subject made sexual advances toward him and "got on top of him" (NFI). Victim signed preference statement.
813	Q2	UNK	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (Recant)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported attending a party, drinking an unknown beverage, and awaking later to find an unknown assailant raping her. Victim later recanted.
814	Q3	UNK	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (Recant)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after a night of drinking. Victim later stated the event likely did not happen.
815	Q2	UNK	E3	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	NO ACTION (Recant)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped aboard the USS Theodore Roosevelt. Victim later recanted.
816	Q3	E4	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution (x2)	NO ACTION (Army)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being lured by subject into a park, where he forcibly digitally penetrated her. Army Command declined action.
817	Q4	UNK UNK	CIV	M M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution (x2)	NO ACTION (2) (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in a barracks bathroom. Victim later signed preference statement.
818	Q3	UNK UNK	E1	M M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution (x2)	UNK SUB (2) (VPS)	CONUS unknown: Victim reported being sexually assaulted (NFI) by two unknown subjects. Victim signed preference statement.
819	Q4	UNK UNK	CIV	M M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution (x2)	NO ACTION (2) (Recant)	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by two subjects in a local hotel room. Victim later recanted.
820	Q3	UNK UNK UNK	E2	M M M	F	NC SODOMY	No Prosecution (x3)	NO ACTION (3)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being forced to give oral sex to three subjects while she was intoxicated. Command declined action.
821	Q2	UNK UNK UNK	E3	M M M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution (x3)	NO ACTION (3) (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by several subjects on different occasions at off-base bars. Victim signed preference statement.
822	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not Specified	NJP/ADSEP	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject as he gave her a ride home from a party. Subject received misconduct separation (OTH) at NJP.
823	Q3	E6	E6	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Not Specified	SILA	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped while intoxicated at a Command-sponsored pig roast. Subject received ADSEP in lieu of NJP.
824	Q2	E1	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Not Specified	SILT	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject digitally penetrating her. Subject was separated in lieu of SCM.
825	Q4	UNK	E1 E1	M	F F	RAPE-8	Not Specified	SILT	CONUS on-base: Victims reported being raped on separate occasions by subject. Subject received separation in lieu of trial.
826	Q4	UNK	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking with bruises and a sore vagina after a night of drinking at her residence. No subject identified. Victim signed preference statement.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
827	Q3	UNK	E2	M	M	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	UNK SUB	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled in his barracks room by an unknown male after a night of drinking. Subject never identified.
828	Q4	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim told friends she was raped by an unknown male outside a club. She did not want to talk with law enforcement about the rape. Subject never identified.
829	Q2	UNK	E5	U	M	ABUSIVE SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution	UNK SUB	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported an unknown person digitally penetrated his anus while he slept aboard the USS Cole. NCIS declined to pursue, citing inability to ID a subject.
830	Q2	UNK	E2	M	F	ATTEMPTS (RAPE)	No Prosecution	UNK SUB	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being attacked by unknown subject who attempted to rape her, but she fought him off. Subject never identified.
831	Q2	UNK	E2	M	F	IA-7	No Prosecution	UNK SUB	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being attacked from behind, pinned to the ground, having her pants and underwear removed, at which point subject rubbed against her. Subject was never identified.
832	Q1	UNK	E5	M	F	RAPE-7	No Prosecution	UNK SUB	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped at an off-base party by an unknown person.
833	Q3	UNK	E3	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being digitally penetrated by force by subject. Victim signed preference statement.
834	Q4	UNK	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	No Prosecution	UNK SUB	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped in her apartment by an intruder. Civilian authorities failed to identify a subject.
835	Q1	UNK	E2	M	M	NC SODOMY	Not Specified	UNK SUB	CONUS off-base: Victim reported meeting an unknown male on the beach, later awaking to find his pants around his ankles. Civilian authorities are investigating.
836	Q4	UNK	E3	M	M	NC SODOMY	No Prosecution	UNK SUB	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by an unknown Subject who gave him a ride. Subject never identified.
837	Q1	UNK	E2	U	M	IA-7	No Prosecution (x2)	UNK SUB (2) (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being indecently assaulted (NFI) by unknown subjects while aboard the USS Enterprise. Victim signed preference statement.
		UNK		U					
838	Q4	UNK	E2	M	F	AGG SEX CONTACT	No Prosecution (x2)	UNK SUB (2) (VPS)	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by two unknown males in a hotel room after drinking heavily. Victim signed preference statement.
		UNK		M					
839	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Not Specified (x4)	SILT, ADSEP (2), No Action	OCONUS off-base: Victims reported being sexually assualted by subjects while intoxicated. One subject received SILT, two received ADSEP, and one had charges dismissed at NJP.
		E3		M					
		E3	E1	M	F				
		E4		M					
840	Q4	E5	E5	M	M	NC SODOMY	Not Specified (x3)	SILT, NJP, No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being held down by subjects, who fondled his penis and pentrated his anus with their thumbs. One subject received SILT, one received NJP with reduction and fine, one received no action.
		E5		M					
		E5		M					
841	Q2	UNK	E3	M	M	ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT	N/A	ADMIN	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while napping in a movie room aboard Camp Al Asad. Command declined action, making counseling available for subject.
842	Q1	E3	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Failure to obey Regulations/Adultery	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being blackmailed to perform sex acts on subject in Camp Fallujah. Charges were dismissed following Article 32 probable cause hearing. Both Subj and Victim rec'd NJP for Adultery.
843	Q4	E4	E3	M	F	RAPE-8	Failure to obey Regulations/Adultery	NJP	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by Subject in the Alpha Radio Shop, Camp Al Asad. Subject received NJP.
844	Q2	CIV	E5	M	M	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Not specified	CIVILIAN	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while in the Camp Al Asad Exchange. Subject was flown back to the US.
845	Q2	UNK	UNK	M	F	RAPE-7	N/A	No Action (VPS)	OCONUS on-base: Command reported that victim reported to a psycologist that she had been raped while deployed. Victim signed preference statement.
846	Q4	E1	E2	M	F	ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT	Sodomy, disobeying a lawful order	CM	OCONUS on-base: Subject admitted to anally and vaginally penetrating victim while she was non-responsive. Subject pled guilty at GCM; received confinement and DD, and must register as a sex offender.
847	Q2	E4	E5	M	M	ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT	Disobeying a lawful order, indecent assault	NJP (3)	OCONUS on-base: Video footage was obtained of subjects placing their genitals on victim while he slept. Subjects received NJP.
		E3		M					
		E3		M					
848	Q3	E2	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Failure to obey Regulations	CM	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject while she tried to sleep. Subject found guilty of Failure to Obey at GCM; received reduction to E1.
849	Q2	UNK	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported having sex with subject while she was intoxicated. Victim later signed preference statement.
850	Q2	UNK	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported an alcohol-related sexual assault (NFI) involving her subject-boyfriend. Victim signed preference statement.
851	Q4	E3	E2	M	F	IA-7	Charges dismissed	CM	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled as subject in a barracks lounge. Charges dismissed following Article 32 probable cause hearing.
852	Q2	O5	CIV	M	F	IA-7	N/A	No Action (VNC)	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled several times by subject. Victim later declined to participate.
853	Q4	E4	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	Rape, aggrevated sexual assault	AD SEP (VNC)	OCONUS both: Victim reported being raped by subject, once at her residence and another time at the Mountain View Inn. Subject received Ad Sep after victim withdrew cooperation.
854	Q4	E3	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported subject threatened to kill her, then raped her after staying the night in her apartment. Foreign officials declined to prosecute, citing lack of victim cooperation.
855	Q3	UNK	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	UNK S/	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being groped and digitally penetrated by an unknown subject while trapped in a mosh pit during the Camp Schwab Festival.
856	Q3	E2	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Failure to obey and unlawful entry	CM	OCONUS on-base: Subject allegedly fondled several victims. Subject guilty at SCM; received fine and confinement, AD SEP.
			UNK		F				
			E2		F				
		E5		M					

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
857	Q3	E7	CIV	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Rape, Kidnapping, indecent acts	CM (4)	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by four subjects in the back of a van. Subjects guilty at GCM of various lesser offenses.
		E7		M					
		E3		M					
858	Q4	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (2)	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by Subjects but later stated she was treated disrespectfully. Command declined action.
		UNK		M					
859	Q3	E7	E3	M	F	IA-7	Failure to obey,Obstruction of justice, Aggrevated assault	CM	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject while at training in AZ. Subject guilty of Failure to Obey at CM; reduced in rank.
860	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject fondling and kissing her. Victim signed preference statement.
861	Q4	E3	E2	M	M	ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT	Aggrevated sexual assault	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled while he slept in subject's barracks room. Court-martial charges were preferred and subject received separation in lieu of trial.
862	Q3	UNK	CIV	M	F	ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject after a party at her house. Victim later signed preference statement.
863	Q3	UNK	UNK	M	M	ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT	N/A	No Action	OCONUS on-base: UNKNOWN unknown: Subject found with several photographs on his cell phone of Marines being "teabagged." Command declined action.
864	Q3	E2	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	ADSEP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped, fondled, and sodomized by subject after drinking heavily. Subject received ADSEP for personality disorder.
865	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	NJP (Recant)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being intoxicated when subject had sexual intercourse with her. Victim later recanted and subject received NJP for adultery.
866	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being intoxicated when subject had sexual intercourse with her. Victim tested positive for Cocaine. All charges dismissed following Article 32 probable cause hearing.
867	Q3	E3	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Disobeying a lawful order, indecent assault	NJP (Recant)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her bed after a night of watching movies. Victim later recanted several statements and subject received NJP.
868	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Rape	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking in her barracks room to find subject having sex with her. Subject pled guilty; received reduction, 8 years confinement, and DD.
869	Q4	E3	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Guilty indecent Acts	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject digitally penetrating her. Subject pled guilty at SPCM; received reduction E-1 and confinement.
870	Q3	E3	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Disobeying a lawful order	NJP (5)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking after drinking heavily with vaginal soreness and a hickey. Subjects received NJP.
		E2		M					
		E3		M					
		E2		M					
		E2		M					
871	Q2	E4	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Charges dismissed	CM	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject at his residence after passing out from drinking while on bi-polar medication. Charges dismissed after Article 32 probable cause hearing.
872	Q4	E4	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Guilty Indecent Acts	CM	CONUS off-base: Victim reported passing out after excessive drinking to find subject having sex with her. Subject found guilty of indecent acts at GCM; received reduction, confinement, forfeiture and restriction.
873	Q4	E4	E5	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Guilty Indecent Acts	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking after a night of drinking to find subject digitally penetrating her. Subject found guilty at GCM; received reduction to E-1, forfeiture, and DD.
874	Q4	E7	E1	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	Fraternization, adultery, failure to obey	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking in another person's clothing after a night of drinking. Subject guilty at CM of lesser offenses; received SILT for other offenses. Received Other Than Honorable discharge.
875	Q3	UNK	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	OCONUS on-base: Victim stated she believed she was raped by subject, but could not recall the act. Victim later signed preference statement.
876	Q4	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (Recant)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject. Victim later recanted.
877	Q4	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	CIVILIAN (3)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by three subjects; civilian authorities and Command declined action.
		UNK		M					
		UNK		M					
878	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (Recant)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled and digitally penetrated by subject. Victim later recanted.
879	Q3	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	NJP (Other charges)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in his barracks room. Command declined action on the sexual assault; subject received NJP for adultery and false official.
880	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	AD SEP for prior drug abuse vs rape	AD SEP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking in subject's shower after a night of drinking in a friend's room.
881	Q3	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by her husband-subject after taking Ambien. Command declined action.
882	Q3	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action/NCIS	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject while asleep. Case closed by NCIS, citing lack of veracity.
883	Q4	UNK	E4	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (Recant)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being forced to fondle subject while driving him to his on-base residence, but victim later recanted. Victim placed on Command probation.
884	Q4	UNK	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject having sex with her. Command declined, citing lack of evidence.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
885	Q4	UNK	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported drinking heavily in a local hotel room with several other, later awaking to find Subject having sex with her. Victim signed preference statement.
886	Q2	UNK	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after both were drinking at a Barracks function. Victim later signed preference statement.
887	Q2	UNK	E3	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking after a night of drinking half naked and with genital pain. Victim signed preference statement.
888	Q3	UNK	E5	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after a night of heavy drinking. Civilian authorities declined jurisdiction, Command declined after victim declined to assist further.
889	Q4	UNK	UNK	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim believed she had been raped by subject after both were drinking. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
890	Closed in 07	UNK	UNK	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	Victim's advocate reported that victim had been sexually assaulted by subject after drinking at a party. Victim signed preference statement.
891	Q4	UNK	E2	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (2) (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being sexually assaulted by Subjects after she passed out from intoxication. Victim later refused to cooperate.
		UNK		M					
892	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	AGG SEX ASSAULT	N/A	No Action (4)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject(s) and filmed by another after passing out due to intoxication. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
		UNK		M					
		UNK		M					
		UNK		M					
893	Q2	E1	E2	M	F	AGG SEXUAL CONTACT	Assault, absent w/o leave	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being forced to the ground, groped, and kissed by subject. Subject received NJP.
894	Q1	UNK	E5	M	F	AGG SEXUAL CONTACT	N/A	No Action	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in an off-base hotel after a night of drinking. Command declined acting, citing lack of evidence of non-consensual acts.
895	Q2	E6	E6	M	F	IA-7	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject in 1999. Statute of limitations expired.
896	Q2	UNK	E4	M	F	NC SODOMY	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being forcibly sodomized by subject following consensual intercourse. Command declined action (NFI).
897	Q3	E7	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	N/A	No Action	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by her subject-husband. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
898	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by her subject-husband at their residence at MCB Beaufort a year prior. Victim signed preference statement.
899	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject-husband on several occasions since 2003. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
900	Q4	E3	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her barracks room. Victim later signed preference statement.
901	Q2	E3	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action	OCONUS on-base: Victim reported being forcefully raped by subject after watching a movie in his barracks room. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
902	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action	CONUS off-base: Two civilian victims reported they were raped by subject on multiple occasions. Command had no jurisdiction, because subject had already separated from service.
903	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (2)	CONUS off-base: Victim awoke after a night of drinking and had consensual sex with subjects, but was later raped and sodomized by both subjects. Command declined, citing lack of evidence of non-consensual acts.
		E3		M					
904	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by her subject-husband. Victim later signed preference statement.
905	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being raped by subject-husband on multiple occasions. Command declined action (NFI).
906	Q4	UNK	E3	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim stated she revoked consent for sexual activity, but subject continued to have sex with her. Command declined action, citing lack of merit.
907	Q3	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim claimed to have been raped by subject in a hotel room. Victim later refused to cooperate, and Command declined, citing lack of evidence.
908	Q3	UNK	UNK	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (3) (Recant)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being gang raped by Subjects at a mall in Jacksonville. Victim later recanted.
		UNK		M					
		UNK		M					
909	Q2	CIV	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject while on leave in Ohio. Victim refused to file a complaint with civilian authorities.
910	Q3	CIV	E3	M	F	AGG SEXUAL CONTACT	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being assaulted by subject, who also attempted to rape her. Victim later signed preference statement and SAUSA declined prosecution.
911	Q4	CIV	E4	M	M	ABUSIVE SEXUAL CONTACT	Sexual assault 4th degree	CIVILIAN	OCONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by a taxi driver. Subject pled guilty in civilian court.
912	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported subject forcibly digitally penetrated, performed oral sex, and raped her. Civilian authorities continue to investigate.
913	Q2	E3	CIV	M	F	NC SODOMY	N/A (reasonable doubt)	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base:Victim reported being orally copulated by subject after she became intoxicated. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
914	Q3	E3	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN (2)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject(s) after a party at a friends house. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
		E3		M					
915	Q3	E4	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject in her apartment after she blacked out. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
916	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	AGG SEXUAL CONTACT	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported that her husband-subject attacked her and attempted to rape her. Civilian authorities dropped charges after subject received counseling.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
917	Q4	E5	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim accused subject of raping her after leaving a restaurant (NFI). Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
918	Q1	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by subject after both were drinking at a party. Vict recanted. Civilian authorities are investigating.
919	Q1	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled, digitally penetrated, and raped by subject in her kitchen. Victim later refused to cooperate with civilian authorities.
920	Q3	UNK	CIV	M	F	NC SODOMY	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being forced to give subject oral and anal sex after drinking in her car. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute.
921	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject having sex with her. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute, as victim stopped cooperating.
922	Q2	UNK	CIV	M	F	RAPE-7	UNK	CIVILIAN	CONUS off-base: Civilian authorities requested an interrogation of subject for rape. Subject admitted culpability. NFI.
923	Q2	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped at an off-base hotel. Victim signed preference statement.
924	Q2	UNK	E4	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported to a friend that she had been sexually assaulted (NFI) in 2007 in the barracks. Victim signed preference statement.
925	Q3	UNK	E2	M	M	NC SODOMY	N/A	UNK S/	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being struck in the head and anally sodomized while in the showers at Camp Lejeune. Case closed as subject never identified.
926	Q1	UNK	UNK	M	M	NC SODOMY	N/A	UNK S/ (Recant)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being kidnapped and forced to perform oral sex on an unknown subject in Jacksonville, FL. Victim later recanted.
927	Q3	UNK	E2	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	UNK S/ (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being raped by UNK (possibly a civilian) in a hotel room after a night of drinking. Victim declined to assist further.
928	Q4	UNK	E7	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (VPS/VNC)	UNKNOWN unknown: Victim reported to a co-worker that she had been sexually assaulted (NFI). Victim later signed preference statement. Victim declined to affirm an offense occurred
929	Q3	UNK	E2	M	M	NC SODOMY	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being attacked and forcibly sodomized by an unknown number of subjects. Victim received ADSEP for mental health issues.
930	Q3	UNK	E3	U	F	AGG SEXUAL CONTACT	N/A	UNK S/ (VNC)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being indecently assaulted at a tattoo parlor. Victim refused to press charges.
931	Q2	UNK	UNK	M	F	RAPE-8	N/A	No Action (VNC)	OCONUS on-base: Friends of victim reported she had been raped, but victim said she consented to sex with subject.
932	Q4	UNK	E3	M	F	RAPE-7	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported she had been raped during training (NFI). Victim signed preference statement.
933	Q1	UNK UNK UNK	E5	M M M	F	RAPE-7	N/A	No Action (3) (VPS)	CONUS unknown: Victim's mother reported rape of her daughter by subjects to DoD IG. Victim signed preference statement. Incident allegedly occurred in 2004 at NAS Miramar, CA
934	Q3	E3	E2	M	M	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Aggrevated sexual assault	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject fondling and orally sodomizing him.
935	Q4	UNK	E6	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while in bed, but that subject stopped after being told to do so. Command declined action.
936	Q2	E2	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Indecent assault	NJP	CONUS on-base: Victim reported awaking to find subject fondling her. Subject received NJP; sentenced to confinement, fine, and reduction.
937	Q3	E3	CIV CIV	M	F F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Guilty Sex Assault	CM	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being hit on the head, grabbed from behind, and fondled by subject. Subject guilty of "Sexual Assault" at Summary CM; received reduction, confinement, and fine.
938	Q3	E3	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	N/A	No Action	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject at the smoke pit. Command declined action, citing lack of evidence.
939	Q4	E4	E2 E4 E4 E4	M	F F F F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Guilty IA	CM	CONUS on-base: Subject reportedly sexually harassed multiple victims, and forced one victim to fondle him. Subject pled guilty to indecent assault at court-martial; received confinement, reduction, and BCD.
940	Q2	E5	CIV	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	NJP not related to alleged sexual assault	NJP	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being grabbed in the crotch by subject in an elevator at a local hotel. Subject received NJP, fined, and reduced in rank.
941	Q4	E5	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	Found not guilty	CM (Civ decline)	CONUS off-base: Victim reported being fondled by subject while sleeping on the floor at another Marine's house. Civilian authorities declined to prosecute, subject acquitted at SCM.
942	Q3	E5	E3	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	N/A	ADMIN	CONUS on-base: Victim reported that subject forcefully kissed her neck and grabbed her at a party. Subject received SRB Page 11.
943	Q4	E6	CIV CIV	M	F F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	N/A	ADMIN	CONUS on-base: Subject reportedly fondled victim's buttocks at a party. Subject received counseling.
944	Q4	UNK UNK	CIV	M M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	N/A	No Action (2)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported being fondled by subjects on several occasions in the mess hall where they work. Command declined action.
945	Q2	UNK	E2	M	F	WRONGFUL SEX CONTACT	N/A	No Action (VPS)	CONUS on-base: Victim reported subject forcibly kissed her and forced her to put her hand on his groin area. Victim signed preference statement.
946	Q4	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Charges of rape were referred to a general court-martial.	Acquitted	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base In the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and charges of rape were ultimately referred to a general court-martial. The accused was acquitted at trial.
947	Q4	CIV	E3		F	Rape	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction.	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction.	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
948	Q3	E1	E2		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force airman basic (E-1) and the victim was an Air Force airman (E-2). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
949	Q4	E4	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Army E-4 and the victim was an Army E-3. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The case was referred to the Army commander who reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
950	Q4	E3	E2		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman (E-2). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
951	Q3	E3	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Japan). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
952	Q2	E3	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
953	Q3	E6	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force technical sergeant (E-6) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
954	Q3	E3	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Korea). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
955	Q3	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Charges of unprofessional relationship and adultery were referred to a summary court-martial.	Convicted	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and charges of an unprofessional relationship and adultery were referred to a summary court-martial. The accused was convicted and sentenced to 30 days confinement, reduction to E-1, and forfeiture of $898.00 pay for one month.
956	Q3	E4	E4		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Japan). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
957	Q4	UNK	O4		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Iraq). The assailant was never identified.
958	Q3	UNK	E5		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
959	Q3	CIV	E3		F	Rape	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction.	Acquitted	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction. The accused was acquitted.
960	Q2	E3	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Korea). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
961	Q3	E5	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
962	Q4	UNK	E4		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base OCONUS (Germany). The assailant was never identified.
963	Q3	UNK	E3		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
964	Q3	E3	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
965	Q3	E5	E4		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base OCONUS (Germany). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
966	Q3	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
967	Q4	CIV	E4		F	Rape	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction.	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction and declined to prosecute.	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction and declined to prosecute.
968	Q4	UNK	E3		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
969	Q3	E3	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
970	Q4	UNK	E4		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
971	Q4	E5	E4		M	Wrongful sexual contact	Charges of abusive sexual contact were referred to a general court-martial.	Convicted on one specification of abusive sexual contact	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of sexual assault. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and charges of abusive sexual contact were referred to a general court-martial. The accused was convicted on one specification of abusive sexual contact and sentenced to a bad conduct discharge, reduction to E-1, and confinement for 13 months.
972	Q4	E3	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
973	Q3	E4	E4		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
974	Q3	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
975	Q4	UNK	E6		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
976	Q3	E3	E3		F	Rape	Charges for rape and housebreaking were preferred.	After the Article 32 investigation the accused asked to be discharged in lieu of court-martial. The convening authority accepted the offer and the accused was discharged under other than honorable conditions.	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and preferred charges for rape and housebreaking. After the Article 32 investigation the accused asked to be discharged in lieu of court-martial. The convening authority accepted the offer and the accused was discharged under other than honorable conditions.
977	Q3	E3	E1		F	Rape	Charges of nonconsensual sodomy and indecent assault were preferred.	The charges were dismissed after the Article 32 hearing.	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman basic (E-1). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and charges of nonconsensual sodomy and indecent assault were preferred. The charges were dismissed after the Article 32 hearing.
978	Q3	E3	E3		F	Rape	Charges of aggravated sexual assault and unlawful entry were referred to a general court-martial.	Acquitted	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Germany). Charges of aggravated sexual assault and unlawful entry were referred to a general court-martial. The accused was acquitted.
979	Q2	E4	E4		F	Indecent assault	Charges of indecent assault were referred to a special court-martial.	Convicted	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of Assault: Indecent. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. Charges of indecent assault were referred to a special court-martial. The accused was convicted and sentenced to a bad conduct discharge, reduction to E-1 and 10 months confinement.
980	Q3	E1	E1		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Army E1 and the victim was an Army E1. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The case was referred to the Army commander who commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
981	Q2	E3	E1		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman basic (E-1). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
982	Q4	E6	E3		F	Indecent assault	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force technical sergeant (E-6) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of indecent assault. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
983	Q4	E3	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was a Marine Corps E-3 and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
984	Q3	E3	E3		F	Rape	Charges of rape were referred to a general court-martial.	Acquitted	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base at OCONUS (Korea). Charges of rape were referred to a general court-martial. The accused was acquitted.
985	Q2	E4	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base OCONUS (Turkey). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
986	Q2	E1	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force airman basic (E-1) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
987	Q3	UNK	E1		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
988	Q2	O5	O4		F	Indecent assault	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force lieutenant colonel and the victim was an Air Force major. The victim made an allegation of Assault: Indecent. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
989	Q3	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base OCONUS (Japan). The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
990	Q4	E3	CIV		F	Rape	Charges of aggravated sexual assault and adultery were referred to a general court-martial. The accused was convicted and sentenced to a dishonorable discharge, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years and 6 mon	Convicted	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. Charges of aggravated sexual assault and adultery were referred to a general court-martial. The accused was convicted and sentenced to a dishonorable discharge, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, and confinement for 2 years and 6 months.
991	Q2	E3	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Germany). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
992	Q2	E7	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force master sergeant (E-7) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
993	Q2	E3	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
994	Q4	O5	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force O5 and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
995	Q3	E4	E4		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
996	Q2	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
997	Q3	E3	CIV		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Charges of disobeying a lawful command and simple assault were referred to a summary court-martial.	Convicted	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Japan). Charges of disobeying a lawful command and simple assault were referred to a summary court-martial after the victim recanted. Accused was convicted and sentenced to 15 days confinement, 22 days hard labor without confinement, and reduction to E-1.
998	Q3	E2	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman (E-2) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
999	Q2	E5	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
1000	Q2	E4	E4		F	Aggravated sexual assault	Accused offered nonjudical punishment for aggravated sexual assault after the victim declined to cooperate.	After reviewing the evidence and the accused's presentation, the commander concluded that NJP was not appropriate.	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of aggravated sexual assault. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and offered the accused nonjudical punishment for aggravated sexual assault after the victim declined to cooperate. After reviewing the evidence and the accused's presentation, the commander concluded that NJP was not appropriate.
1001	Q3	E5	E1		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force airman basic (E-1). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
1002	Q2	E3	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1003	Q2	E7	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force master sergeant (E-7) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1004	Q3	E3	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Army E-3 and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base OCONUS (Korea). The case was referred to his Army commander. He received nonjudicial punishment of extra duties, forfeiture of pay, reduction in grade and restriction to post.
1005	Q2	E3	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1006	Q3	CIV	E4		F	Rape	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction.	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction and declined to prosecute.	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction and declined to prosecute.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
1007	Q2	E5	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base at OCONUS (Afghanistan). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1008	Q2	UNK	E5		M	Nonconsensual Sodomy	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of forceful sodomy. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
1009	Q2	UNK	E3		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
1010	Q2	E5	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1011	Q2	E4	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1012	Q2	E3	E4		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1013	Q2	CIV	O1		F	Rape	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction.	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction and subsequently withdrew charges.	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force second lieutenant (O-1). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction and subsequently withdrew charges.
1014	Q2	UNK	E3		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
1015	Q2	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1016	Q2	E3	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1017	Q2	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
1018	Q3	E5	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1019	Q3	E3	E3		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (England). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1020	Q3	E4	E3		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (England). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1021	Q3	E5	E4		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1022	Q3	E5	E5		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1023	Q3	O3	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force O3 and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1024	Q3	E2	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman (E-2) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1025	Q3	UNK	E3		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
1026	Q3	E3	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1027	Q3	E5	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1028	Q4	E3	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1029	Q4	CIV	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
1030	Q4	E2	CIV		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Charges of failure to obey lawful order, aggravated sexual assault and assault with intent to commit sodomy were preferred.	Charges were dismissed after the victim decline to cooperate and the accused was punished under Article 15 for failure to obey lawful order, abusive sexual contact and assault.	The accused was an Air Force airman (E-2) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred off base at Misawa AB JA. The commander reviewed the evidence and preferred charges of failure to obey lawful order, aggravated sexual assault and assault with intent to commit sodomy. Charges were dismissed after the victim decline to cooperate and the accused was punished under Article 15 for failure to obey lawful order, abusive sexual contact and assault. He was reduced to E-1, ordered to forfeit $673.00 pay per month for 2 months, restricted to base for 60 days and reprimanded.
1031	Q4	E2	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman (E-2) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action after the victim recanted.
1032	Q4	UNK	E5		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
1033	Q4	E5	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action after the victim declined to cooperate.
1034	Q4	UNK	E3		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
1035	Q4	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1036	Q4	E3	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action after the victim declined to cooperate.
1037	Q4	E5	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1038	Q4	O5	E4		F	Indecent assault	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force O5 and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of Assault: Indecent. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1039	Q4	UNK	E5		F	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified as the victim did not wish to press any charges or pursue an investigation.
1040	Q4	CIV	E4		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction.	The accused was convicted.	The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Turkey). Civilian authorities assumed jurisdiction and the defendant was convicted.
1041	Q3	E4	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Korea). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1042	Q4	E4	CIV		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was a Navy E-4 and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS The case was referred to the Navy commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1043	Q4	E3	CIV		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred on base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1044	Q4	E5	E3		F	Rape	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action after the victim declined to cooperate.
1045	Q3	E3	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1046	Q4	CIV	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1047	Q3	E5	E3		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
1048	Q4	E2	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force airman (E-2) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Germany). The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
1049	Q4	CIV	E3		M	Wrongful sexual contact	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1050	Q3	UNK	E3		M	Rape	The assailant was never identified.	The assailant was never identified.	The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. The assailant was never identified.
1051	Q3	E3	CIV		F	Rape	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was a civilian. The victim made an allegation of rape. The incident occurred off base in the CONUS. Local authorities declined to prosecute the case. The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.

No.	Quarter Closed	Subject Grade	Victim Grade	Subject Gender	Victim Gender	Initial Allegation Reported	Substantiated Misconduct	Disposition	Brief Synopsis
1052	Q1	E4	E5		F	Abusive sexual contact	Commander concluded evidence did not substantiate misconduct.	Commander concluded evidence did not support disciplinary action	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5). The victim made an allegation of abusive sexual contact The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Qatar). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support action.
1053	Q2	E5	E4		F	Aggravated sexual contact	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Nonjudical punishment for other offenses	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of aggravated sexual contact The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Saudi Arabia). The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed nonjudical punishment for other offenses.
1054	Q2	O3	O3		M	Wrongful sexual contact	Other non-sexual assault offenses	Administrative action	The accused was an Air Force captain (O-3) and the victim was an Air Force captain (O-3) . The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Iraq). The commander reviewed the evidence and concluded the evidence did not support the allegation. The commander took administrative action for other offenses.
1055	Q2	CIV	E3		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Wrongful sexual contact	The individual was barred from the installation and referred to civilian authorities.	The accused was a civilian and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Qatar).
1056	Q2	CIV	E3		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Wrongful sexual contact	The individual was barred from the installation and referred to civilian authorities.	The accused was a foreign national and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Afghanistan). The individual was barred from the installation and referred to civilian authorities.
1057	Q2	CIV	E3		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Wrongful sexual contact	The individual was barred from the installation and referred to civilian authorities.	The accused was a foreign national and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Afghanistan). The individual was barred from the installation and referred to civilian authorities.
1058	Q4	E5	E4		F	Aggravated sexual contact	Cruelty and maltreatment and aggravated sexual contact	Convicted	The accused was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5) and the victim was an Air Force senior airman (E-4). The victim made an allegation of aggravated sexual contact. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Iraq). The commander reviewed the evidence and preferred charges for cruelty and maltreatment and aggravated sexual contact. The charges were referred to a general court-martial. The accused was convicted of maltreatment and cruelty and sentenced to 60 days confinement, reduction to E-1, forfeiture of $750.00 pay for two months and a reprimand.
1059	Q4	O5	E5		M	Wrongful sexual contact	Wrongful sexual contact	Nonjudical punishment	The accused was an Air Force lieutenant colonel (O-5) and the victim was an Air Force staff sergeant (E-5). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Afghanistan). The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed punishment of forfeiture of $3704.00 pay per month for 2 months and reprimand.
1060	Q4	E3	E3		F	Wrongful sexual contact	Accused offered nonjudical punishment for wrongful sexual contact	After reviewing the evidence and the accused's presentation, the commander concluded that NJP was not appropriate.	The accused was an Air Force airman first class (E-3) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Iraq). The commander reviewed the evidence and offered the accused nonjudical punishment for wrongful sexual contact. After reviewing the evidence and the accused's presentation, the commander concluded that NJP was not appropriate.
1061	Q4	E4	E3		M	Wrongful sexual contact	Cruelty and maltreatment and wrongful sexual contact	Nonjudical punishment	The accused was an Air Force senior airman (E-4) and the victim was an Air Force airman first class (E-3). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Iraq). The commander reviewed the evidence and imposed punishment of reduction to airman first class, forfeiture of $325.00 pay per month for two months and reprimand.
1062	Q3	FOR MIL	O3		F	Wrongful Sexual Contact	Referred to Subject's Commander	Referred to Subject's Commander	The accused was a member of a coalition military and the victim was an Air Force captain (O-3). The victim made an allegation of wrongful sexual contact. The incident occurred on base OCONUS (Qatar). The case was referred to the subject's commander for action.